IWC - Latest Insights from The EV Project and ChargePoint America PEV Infrastructure Demonstrations

Jim Francfort & John Smart Idaho National Laboratory

IWC meeting Houston, Texas October 2014

www.inl.gov





INL was a primary partner in two national plug-in electric vehicle (PEV) charging infrastructure demonstrations

EV Project

- Purpose is to build mature EV charging infrastructure in 17 US regions. Study:
 - Infrastructure deployment process
 - Customer driving and charging behavior
 - Impact on electric grid
- 12,000+ AC level 2 charging units, 100+ DC fast chargers
- 8,000+ Electric drive vehicles
- INL data collection Jan 2011 Dec 2013
- Project partners:



ChargePoint America

- Deploy 4,700+ residential and public AC level 2 charging units in 11 US regions
- Study customer usage of residential and public infrastructure
- INL data collection May 2011 Dec 2013

-chargepoin+.

EV Project





ChargePoint America





Measures of "Goodness"

There are numerous ways to assess how "good" public charging sites are:

- Charging frequency: number of charge events per day or week
- Charging time: hours connected
- Charging energy: kWh consumed / EV miles provided
- Parking time: time spent in parking space / in store
- Charging site host may want electric vehicle supply equipment (EVSE) for other reasons, such as image or cool factor

Idaho National Laboratory

Public EVSE Usage Fees

Blink

- Public AC Level 2 fees started Jul Aug 2012
 - Varies from \$1.00 to \$2.00 per hour connected
 - 16% of sites were still free as of Dec 31, 2013 (per local site host discretion)
- DC Fast Charger fees started Jul 2013
 - \$5 for Blink member / \$8 for non-member per session
 - There is at least one DCFC at a work location that is free

ChargePoint

- Vary by site (per local site host discretion)
- Many are free (rumored 70% free / 30% cost)

AeroVironment (States of Washington and Oregon)

- Free prior to Apr 1, 2014
- After Apr 1, 2014 Monthly subscription fee of \$19.99 for unlimited usage or "drive up" fee of \$7.50 per session for DCFC and \$4 per session for L2



Outline

- How has public AC level 2 EVSE and DC fast charger (DCFC) usage changed over time
 - What was the impact of implementing payment for use of DCFC
- Electric vehicle miles traveled (eVMT)
 - Leaf vs. Volt eVMT
 - Did Leaf eVMT change as public infrastructure usage changed
- Workplace charging
 - Charge triangle
 - Facebook case study

- Which public charging sites are used most frequently
 - By EVSE make and cost
 - By charging level and venue
- Determining hot spots using vehicle data
 - Bay Area examples
- I-5 Corridor EVSE usage preview
- Future work



How has public AC level 2 EVSE and DC fast charger (DCFC) usage changed over time?

Usage Frequency of Public Level 2 EVSE and DC Fast Chargers





Usage Frequency of Public Level 2 EVSE and DC Fast Chargers



Usage Frequency of Public Level 2 EVSE and DC Fast Chargers by Region



Total Energy Consumption at Blink Stations in San Francisco



Total Energy Consumption at Blink and ChargePoint Stations in San Francisco

Energy Consumed by Public Level 2 EVSE and DCFC in San Francisco Region by Month 200,000 600 180,000 Energy Consumed by Blink DCFC 500 160,000 per Month Energy Consumed by Blink Public Level 140,000 2 EVSE 400 **Total Energy (kWh)** 120,000 Energy Consumed by ChargePoint EVSE Used Public Level 2 EVSE 300 100,000 Number of Blink DCFC 80,000 Number of 200 60,000 Number of Blink Public Level 2 EVSE 40,000 100 ---- Number of ChargePoint Public Level 2 EVSE 20,000 0 0 AU82013 Sep2012 1an2013 Feb2013 Mar2013 AP12013 May 2013 1002013 1012013 Sep2013 0022013 Nov2013 M042012 Dec2012 Dec 2013 Oct2012

Unintended Consequence of Per-session Fee

- Pricing model leads to an "all-you-can-eat" mentality?
- Tapering SOC increase vs. time gives diminishing returns for time invested



Infrastructure Usage by EV Project Leafs

 4719 vehicles contributing data in vehicle months where home location is known

3 months before DCFC fees (4/1/2013 – 7/1/2013) 3 months after DCFC fees (9/1/2013 – 12/1/2013)







Electric Vehicle Miles Traveled



Leaf vs. Volt Average Monthly eVMT

Oct 1, 2012 through Dec 31, 2013

	Nissan Leaf	Chevrolet Volt
Number of vehicles	4,039	1,867
Number of vehicle months	35,294	20,545
Total distance traveled (miles)	28,520,792	20,950,967
Distance traveled in EV mode (miles)	28,520,792	15,599,508
Percent of distance traveled in EV mode	100%	74.5%
Average monthly total VMT	808.1	1,019.8
Average monthly eVMT	808.1	759.3

Leafs only 6% more eVMT per month than Volts

Leaf vs. Volt Distribution of Monthly eVMT



Distribution of vehicle average monthly eVMT and VMT, where each data point in the distributions represents a single vehicle's average over the entire study period.

Idaho National Laboratory

EV Project Leaf Monthly eVMT vs. Nationwide Blink DCFC Usage



Workplace Charging





Charging Location Preference – Nissan Leaf

707 Nissan Leafs with Access to Workplace Charging, 2012 – 2013



Careful!

How important is this 3% to individual drivers' mobility needs?

Idaho National Laboratory

How does cost to use workplace charging influence this behavior?

Charging Location Preference – Chevy Volt

96 Chevrolet Volts with Access to Workplace Charging, 2013



Idaho National Laboratory

Workplace Charging Case Study: Facebook

- Menlo Park, CA office campus
- May 1, 2013 to Aug 15, 2013
 - 10 Blink Level 2 units (which were later replaced with ChargePoint units)

Google

- 12 ChargePoint units with Level 2 cord and Level 1 outlet
- 1 Blink DC fast charger Publicly accessible
- Blink/CP L2 units free
- DCFC Blink network fees instituted Jul 2013





Charging Frequency at Facebook



Frequency distributions of number of charging events per cord or outlet per work day for different charge power levels.

Time Connected at Facebook



Frequency distributions of time Level 1 outlets and Level 2 cords were connected to a vehicle per charging event.



Time Drawing Power at Facebook



Frequency distributions of time Level 1 outlets and Level 2 cords transferred power to a vehicle per charging event.



Energy Consumption at Facebook



Distribution of energy consumed per charging event by charge power level.



Facebook - ChargePoint Level 1 / Level 2 EVSE Usage

- Data were collected from 12 charging units that were capable of both AC Level 1 and AC Level 2 charging
- Drivers overwhelmingly preferred AC Level 2 cords over AC Level 1 outlets
 - When drivers arrived at these units and both Level 1 and Level 2 options were available, they chose to use the Level 2 cord 98% of time
- Drivers may have consciously chosen the faster charge rate or they may have been motivated simply by convenience
 - The Level 2 cord was available on the EVSE, but a driver needed to retrieve their own Level 1 cord to plug into the Level 1 outlet on the EVSE





Facebook - DC Fast Charger Usage

- The DC fast charger (DCFC) was typically used between 2 and 6 times per work day for 24 minutes or less per charging event
- 11% of the time when a DC fast charge event ended and another event began on the same work day, a vehicle was already connected to the second DC fast charger cord prior to the end of the first vehicle's charging event



Facebook - Company Policies & Practices

- Facebook followed a few simple guidelines to encourage employees to self-manage electric vehicle supply equipment (EVSE) usage
 - Charging units were installed to allow access from multiple parking spaces
 - Drivers wanting a charge would park close to EVSE in use and leave their charge port door open
 - Drivers were encouraged to plug in neighboring vehicles after their vehicle completed charging
 - Employees were provided with an online message board a Facebook page – allowing them to coordinate charging station usage



Facebook - Company Policies & Practices

- Data from the EVSE suggest that drivers leveraged these resources to minimize the time EVSE were not in use
 - 37% of the time when one charging event ended and the next began at the same AC Level 2 EVSE during the same work day, less than 30 seconds elapsed between the two charging events
 - 60% of the time, less than 3 minutes elapsed between consecutive charging events



Which public charging sites are used most frequently?

Usage of Publicly Accessible Level 2 Sites

Cumulative Distribution of Charging Frequency of Blink and ChargePoint Level 2 Publicly Accessible Sites



Usage of Publicly Accessible Level 2 Sites

Cumulative Distribution of Charging Frequency of Blink and ChargePoint Level 2 Publicly Accessible Sites



Distribution of Usage Frequency of Blink & ChargePoint Level 2 EVSE Sites by Venue






Blink & ChargePoint Level 2 Sites – Parking Lots and Garages



120

- 77.5 Downtown Palo Alto
- 73.4 Fifth & Mission Garage, San Francisco
- 70.6 Downtown Palo Alto
- 60.9 Downtown Redwood City
- 58.3 Parking Structure, Irvine CA
- 51.8 Parking Structure, Irvine CA
- 51.4 Parking garage, San Francisco CA
- 50.7 Sutter Stockton Garage, San Francisco CA

Blink & ChargePoint Level 2 Sites – Transportation Hubs

120

100

80

80

\$

20

0

Parking Lots/Garages

Fransportation Hub

Public Municipa

Retail

Leisure Destination

Average number of charging events per site per week

- 53.0 San Francisco Airport
- 39.3 Anaheim Canyon Metrolink
- 32.3 Oceanside Transit Center Metrolink train /lightrail/bus station park and ride

Idaho National Laboratory

- 21.9 Oakland International Airport parking
- 17.0 San Francisco Airport
- 15.6 Expresso Airport Parking, San Leandro CA
- 15.2 San Francisco Airport
- 13.3 MBTA Alewife Station, Cambridge MA
- 10.9 Long Beach airport parking garage; all-electric vehicles can park free at Long Beach Airport.



Blink & ChargePoint Level 2 Sites – Public / Municipal





- 76.7 SCAQMD HQ building, Diamond Bar CA
- 52.8 City library, Dublin CA
- 50.0 City library, Redwood City CA
- 39.3 City hall, Hungtington Beach CA
- 37.7 Civic center, Campbell CA
- 37.3 City hall, Hermosa Beach CA
- 35.1 SCAQMD HQ building, Diamond Bar CA
- 34.6 City hall, Orange CA



Blink & ChargePoint Level 2 Sites – Leisure 120 Average number of charging events per site per week 100 80 80 \$ 30.3 Rialto Sebastopol Cinemas, Sebastopol CA 20 La Cienega Tennis Center, Beverly Hills CA 25.7 23.9 Canal Park, Washington DC Post Office Square Garage, Boston MA 20.8 0 Retail Parking Lots/Garages Transportation Hub Leisure Destination Public Municipa Red Morton Community Center, Redwood City CA 18.2 14.4 San Diego Zoo 11.7 Balboa Park Air & Space Museum, Automotive Museum, gymnasium, Starlight theatre, etc.



Blink & ChargePoint Level 2 Sites – Retail



120

100

- Retail-Small (Becker Surfboards), Hermosa Beach CA
- Mall (Bella Terra Shopping Ctr), Huntington Beach CA
- Mall (Westfield Galleria Mall), Roseville CA
- 40.7 Mall (The Grove), Los Angeles CA
- 40.3 Mall (The Americana at Brand), Glendale CA
- 32.1 Mall (Stanford Shopping Center), Palo Alto CA
- 30.4 Mall, Beverly Hills CA
- 27.5 Mall (Fashion Valley Mall), San Diego CA
- 26.6 Retail-Small (Ralph's grocery store), Marina Del Ray CA
 - Retail-Small (Mollie Stone's Market grocery store), Saulsalito CA
 - Retail-Big (Kohl's), Yorba Linda CA
 - Electric Lodge Performing Arts Center (dance and fitness center), Venice CA



Distribution of Usage Frequency of Blink DCFC Sites by Venue



8/1/2013 to 1/1/2014 (after Blink network fees were instituted)



Distribution of Usage Frequency of Blink DCFC Sites by Venue





Distribution of Usage Frequency of Blink DCFC Sites by Venue









Blink DCFC Sites – Parking Lots and Garages

120

100

80

80

4

20

0

Retail

Parking Lots/Garages

Public Municipal

Average number of charging events per site per week



- 29.2 Downtown Seattle WA
- 16.0 Downtown Los Angeles CA
- 12.3 Public Library, park, shopping center, Santa Clara CA
- 6.9 Public parking, Azusa CA
- 5.9 Downtown San Francisco CA
- 4.6 Business park, South San Francisco CA



Blink DCFC Sites – Retail



120

100

- 54.4 Tahoma Market on I5, Tacoma WA
- 35.0 Fred Meyer, Kirkland WA
- Nissan dealership, Bellevue WA 30.4
- 23.1 Fred Meyer, Hillsboro OR
- 22.8 Fred Meyer, Seattle WA
- Mall on I205, Happy Valley OR 22.6
- Fred Meyer, Salem OR 20.9
- Fred Meyer, Portland OR 19.1
 - Nissan dealership, Santa Rosa CA
 - Shopping center near I5, Wilsonville OR
 - United Markets (grocery store), San Rafael CA
 - Nissan dealership, Petaluma CA



Identifying Hot Spots Using Vehicle Data

- EV Project Leaf away-from-home parking location density in San Francisco Bay Area
- Cumulative through the end of 2013





















Preview of Corridor EVSE Usage in Oregon and Washington





AeroVironment and Blink DCFCs along OR & WA Corridors

- AeroVironment provided INL data from DCFCs and Level 2 units that were installed as part of the Washington & Oregon West Coast Electric Highway
- Combined with Blink data fro DCFCs and Level
- Combined with vehicle data using GPS and time stamps





AeroVironment and Blink DCFCs along OR & WA Corridors

- 9/1/2012 to 1/1/2014
- Highest usage in metro areas
- Oregon's Electric Byways appear to be attracting users off the I-5 corridor
- Analysis continues





Future Work



Tasks for FY15

Leaf and Volt travel studies

- Leaf and Volt away-from-home infrastructure usage vs. eVMT
 - Day-time vs. night-time; home vs. away from home; L1 vs. L2 vs. DCFC
 - Update Volt Aug and Sep 2013 papers
- Leaf driving range
 - How often do they drive beyond single charge range?
 - When they do, what infrastructure do they use? How far from home do they drive?
 - How important are DCFCs for range extension?



Tasks for FY15 (cont.)

Workplace charging

- Longitudinal driving and charging behavior of drivers with access to WP charging
 - How many WP charging users "need" it based on commuting patterns?
 - Are they off-setting home off-peak charging with WP on-peak charging?
- Vehicle charging frequency at small, medium, large companies with WP charging
- Additional case studies



Tasks for FY15 (cont.)

EVSE usage by venue

- Venue definitions paper
- Overall comparison of usage by venue
- Deep dives by venue (airports, retail, leisure, etc.)

EVSE usage and PEV travel on inter-city corridors

- OR/WA I5
- San Diego/LA
- Nashville/Knoxville (?)
- Chattanooga/Atlanta (?)



Tasks for FY15 (cont.)

Demand charge impact

- DCFCs
- Banks of Level 2 EVSE

Grid demand and vehicle states as inputs to models

 Use EV Project and ChargePoint America data to support Collaborative PEV Smart Grid Integration Requirements and Opportunities Study

EVSE installation costs vs. use ("bang for buck" analysis)

- ~60 Lessons learned topics identified
- INL recently obtaining EV Project costs documentation allows additional analysis

Upcoming EV Project White Papers

Infrastructure Issues

- 1. What makes an L2 commercial site highly utilized correlation between utilization and three location based factors
- 2. What makes an L2 public site highly utilized correlation between utilization and three location based factors
- 3. What makes a DCFC site highly utilized correlation between utilization and three location based factors
- 4. What makes an L2 commercial site highly utilized correlation between utilization and three host based factors
- 5. What makes an L2 public site highly utilized correlation between utilization and three host based factors
- 6. What makes a DCFC site highly utilized correlation between utilization and three host based factors

User Issues

- 7. What makes an L2 commercial site highly utilized analyze correlation between utilization and three user based factors
- 8. What makes an L2 public site highly utilized analyze correlation between utilization and three user based factors

Upcoming EV Project White Papers

User Issues – cont'd

- 9. What makes a DCFC site highly utilized analyze correlation between utilization and three user based factors
- 10. Top mileage accumulators characterize use patterns, demographics and geographic of top 50 highest mileage accumulators
- 11. Top residential charging users characterize use patterns of top 50 users that never (or rarely) charge away from home
- 12. Top commercial/public charging users characterize use patterns of top 50 users of commercial/public charging (by percent of their total charging)
- 13. Top DCFC users characterize use patterns of top 50 users of DCFC (by percent of their total charging)

Cost Issues

- 14. What was the cost to add separate utility submeters at the time of EVSE installation
- 15. What is the impact of utility demand charges on a Level 2 host
- 16. What is the impact of utility demand charges on a DCFC host
- 17. What were the implementation challenges associated with workplace charging installation
- 18. What were the cost drivers for workplace charging installations

Upcoming EV Project White Papers

Cost Issues – cont'd

- 19. How do non-residential charging infrastructure installation costs vary by geographic location
- 20. What were the cost drivers for residential charging installations
- 21. How do residential charging infrastructure installation costs vary by geographic location
- 22. What were the cost drivers for DCFC installations
- 23. How do DCFC infrastructure installation costs vary by geographic location
- 24. What are the business models currently employed for commercial charging
- 25. What are the business models currently employed for workplace charging and what is the impact of free workplace charging
- 26. What are the business models currently employed for DCFC
- 27. How many Low Carbon Fuel Standard credits have been generated by the EV Project and how many gallons of gasoline have been saved in California
- 28. What are revenue streams and intangible benefits a charging site host can expect to gain from the installation of EVSE units

Grid Impact Issues

29. Characterize the demand and energy characteristics of L2 commercial EVSE



Upcoming EV Project White Papers

Grid Impact Issues – cont'd

- 30. Characterize the demand and energy characteristics of L2 public EVSE
- 31. Characterize the demand and energy characteristics of DCFC
- 32. Characterize the demand and energy characteristics of residential EVSE
- 33. Characterize clustering of L2 commercial EVSE
- 34. Characterize clustering of L2 public EVSE
- 35. Characterize clustering of DCFC
- 36. Characterize clustering of residential EVSE
- 37. Characterize global controllable demand from L2 commercial EVSE
- 38. Characterize global controllable demand from DCFC
- **39.** Characterize global controllable demand from residential EVSE
- 40. Characterize energy storage required to reduce peak Level 2 commercial charging demand
- 41. Characterize energy storage required to reduce peak Level 2 public charging demand
- 42. Characterize energy storage required to reduce peak DCFC charging demand
- 43. Characterize energy storage required to reduce peak L2 public/commercial charging demand

Upcoming EV Project White Papers

Grid Impact Issues – cont'd

- 44. Characterize impact of 6.6kW residential charging
- 45. Characterize impact of 6.6kW Level 2 commercial charging
- 46. Characterize impact of 6.6kW Level 2 public charging
- 47. Characterize the capability of L1 residential charging to satisfy Volt charging needs
- 48. Characterize the capability of L1 residential charging to satisfy Leaf charging needs
- 49. SDG&E Project description and lessons learned TOU rates
- 50. What was the impact of the car sharing on Publically Available charging infrastructure in San Diego
- 51. What were 'best practices' for residential infrastructure permitting
- 52. What were 'best practices' for public infrastructure permitting
- 53. What practices were used for non-residential charger locating (way-finding)
- 54. What were practices were used for workplace charging use allocation

Planning Issues

- 55. How does the location of public and commercial infrastructure actually deployed correlate with EV Project Micro-Climate planning locations
- 56. How does the use of public and commercial infrastructure actually deployed correlate with EV Project Micro-Climate planning locations



Upcoming EV Project White Papers

Planning Issues – cont'd

- 57. What percent of total charging energy is dispensed at Level 2 vs. DCFC
- 58. What percent of total charging energy is dispensed at residential vs. workplace vs. commercial vs. public venues
- 59. What practices were used for parking/charging enforcement issues

First FY-15 EV Project White Papers

- What were the cost drivers for workplace charging installations
- What were the cost drivers for publicly accessible charging installations
- What were the cost drivers for DCFC installations
- How do residential charging infrastructure installation costs vary by geographic location
- How do publicly accessible infrastructure installation costs vary by geographic location
- Characterize clustering of residential EVSE & grid impacts
- Characterize global controllable demand from residential EVSE
 "smart grid"
- How does the location of public and commercial infrastructure actually deployed correlate with EV Project Micro-Climate planning locations



First FY-15 EV Project White Papers: cont'd

- What percent of total charging energy is dispensed at residential vs. workplace vs. commercial vs. public venues vs. DCFC locations
- What makes a DCFC site highly utilized correlation between utilization and three location based factors
- Top commercial/public charging users characterize use patterns of top 50 users of commercial/public charging (by percent of their total charging)



For all EV Project and ChargePoint America publications, visit

http://avt.inl.gov/evproject.shtml http://avt.inl.gov/chargepoint.shtml http://avt.inl.gov

INL's funding for this work comes from DOE's Vehicle Technologies Office

INL/MIS-14-33421