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AVTA Participants and Goals

• Participants
  – The Advanced Vehicle Testing Activity (AVTA) is part of DOE’s Vehicle Technologies Program (EERE)
  – The Idaho National Laboratory (INL) conducts the light-duty vehicle portion of the AVTA per DOE guidance
  – Many of these testing activities are conducted with ECOtality North American
  – Support also provided to DOE Clean Cities and FEMP

• The AVTA goal - Petroleum reduction and energy security
  – Provide benchmark data to technology modelers, research and development programs, vehicle manufacturers (via VSATT), and target and goal setters
  – Assist fleet managers in making informed vehicle and infrastructure purchase, deployment and operating decisions
Vehicle / Infrastructure Testing Experience

• 32 million test miles accumulated on 5,500 electric drive vehicles representing 111 models
• Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles: 14 models, 430 PHEVs, 4 million test miles
• Extended Range Electric Vehicles: 1 model, 125 EREVs, 250,000 test miles
• Hybrid electric vehicles: 19 models, 50 HEVs, 6 million test miles
• Micro hybrid (stop/start) vehicles: 3 models, 7 MHVs, 300,000 test miles
• Neighborhood electric vehicles: 24 models, 372 NEVs, 200,000 test miles
• Battery electric vehicles: 47 models, 4,000 BEVs, 20 million test miles
• Urban electric vehicles: 3 models, 460 UEVs, 1 million test miles
• 4,000 EVSE and first hydrogen generation/dispensing station in United States
### Example: Vehicle/Infrastructure Data Sources

| Vehicle time-history data (second-by-second) | HEV: 12 vehicle models, 1 data logger  
HICE: 1 vehicle model, 1 data logger  
Conversion PHEVs: 8 vehicle models, 3 data loggers |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Ford</strong> Escape PHEV, Ford wireless logger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Chrysler</strong> Ram PHEV, Chrysler wireless logger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle event data (key-on, key-off)</td>
<td><strong>Nissan</strong> Leaf, Nissan telematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Chevrolet</strong> Volt, OnStar telematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charger event and 15 min time-history data</td>
<td><strong>ECOtality</strong> Blink networked level 2 EVSE, DC/fast chargers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Coulomb</strong> ChargePoint networked level 2 EVSE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Data Security and Protection

- All raw vehicle and EVSE data, and personal information protected by NDAs (Non Disclosure Agreements) or a CRADAs (Cooperative Research And Development Agreements), resulting in:
  - Limitations on how the proprietary data can be distributed, stored, and used
  - No raw data can or will be distributed by INL
  - Raw data, in both electronic and printed formats, cannot be shared with DOE in order to avoid exposure to FOIA

- Vehicle and EVSE data collection would not occur unless the above limitations are strictly adhered by INL
EV Project Locations (Largest World-Wide PEV and EVSE Data Collection Activity)
EV Project Residential Infrastructure

• Deploy 8,300 battery electric vehicles with data loggers
  – 5,700 Nissan Leaf BEVs
  – 2,600 Chevrolet Volt EREVs
• Install 8,300 level 2 residential EVSE with data loggers
EV Project Commercial Infrastructure

- Install ~5,000 level 2 EVSE with data loggers
  - Retail locations
  - Municipal locations
  - Employer locations
- Deploy 200+ Dual Port DC Fast Chargers with data loggers
EV Project EVSE and Fast Charger Data Parameters Collected per Charge Event

• Date/Time Stamp
• Unique ID for Charging Event
• Unique ID Identifying the EVSE – may not change
• Connect and Disconnect Times
• Start and End Charge Times
• Maximum Instantaneous Peak Power
• Average Power
• Total energy (kWh) per charging event
• Rolling 15 Minute Average Peak Power
• And other non-dynamic EVSE information (GPS, ID, type, contact info, etc.)
EV Project Vehicle Data Parameters Collected per each Key-on Key-off Event

- Date/Time Stamp
- Vehicle ID
- Event type (key on / key off)
- Odometer
- Battery state of charge
- GPS (longitude and latitude)
EV Project Number EVSE & Vehicles

• 2,822 Leafs and Volts, and 2,990 EVSE Reporting data
3rd Quarter 2011
EV Project – Nissan Leaf Usage

- Vehicle Usage – 3rd quarter 2011
  - Number of Trips: 536,548
  - Total distance traveled (miles): 3,718,272 mi
  - Ave trip distance: 6.9 mi
  - Ave distance per day when driven: 30.8 mi
  - Ave # trips between charging events: 4.3
  - Ave distance traveled between charging events: 30.1 mi
  - Ave # charging events per day when a vehicle was driven: 1.0
  - Vehicle petroleum used: 0 gallons
## EV Project – Nissan Leaf Usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Ave Miles / Trip</th>
<th>Trips per Charge</th>
<th>Charges / Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nation</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHX</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuscon</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San D.</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Fran</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN Chat</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN Knx</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN Nash</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash St</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table shows the average miles per trip, trips per charge, and charges per day for the Nissan Leaf usage across different locations.
EV Project – Nissan Leaf Usage

EV Project Leafs: Average Miles Per Day and Miles Per Charge
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EV Project – Nissan Leaf Usage

Percentage Charging Locations

- Home Charging
- Away Charging
- Unknown

EV Project – Nissan Leaf Usage

**Battery State of Charge (SOC) at the Start of Charging Events**

- Home location
- Away-from-home location

**Battery State of Charge (SOC) at the End of Charging Events**

- Home location
- Away-from-home location
EV Project – Residential EVSE

- Power demand range for any time during reporting quarter
- Yellow line is daily profile for the day with quarterly peak demand
- Both graphs in AC MW
- Based on 15 minute rolling average MW demand
- National data. All 2,413 Residential Level 2 EVSE. July-Sept 2011
EV Project – Residential EVSE

- Percentage of charging units with a vehicle connected
- Yellow line is for day with peak power demand
- Both graphs percent of charging units
- Based on 15 minute increments
- National data. All 2,413 Residential Level 2 EVSE. July-Sept 2011
EV Project – Public EVSE

- Power demand range for any time during reporting quarter
- Yellow line is daily profile for the day with quarterly peak demand
- Both graphs in AC MW
- Based on 15 minute rolling average MW demand
- National data. All 170 Public Level 2 EVSE. July-Sept 2011
EV Project – Public EVSE

- Percentage of charging units with a vehicle connected
- Yellow line is for day with peak power demand
- Both graphs percent of charging units
- National data. All 170 Public Level 2 EVSE. July-Sept 2011
EV Project – EVSE Infra. Summary Report

• National Data – 3rd quarter 2011
  – Ave time vehicle connected R2 WD 9.9 hours
  – Ave time vehicle connected R2 WE 10.0 hours
  – Ave time vehicle drawing power R2 WD 2.0 hours
  – Ave time vehicle drawing power R2 WE 1.8 hours
  – Ave energy per charge event R2 WD 7.5 AC kWh
  – Ave energy per charge event R2 WE 6.5 AC kWh

  – Ave time vehicle connected P2 All 6.8 hours
  – Ave time vehicle drawing power P2 All 1.7 hours
  – Ave energy per charge event P2 All 6.3 AC kWh

• R: residential, P: public, WD: weekday, WE: weekend, All: weekday/end combined
EV Project – EVSE Infra. Summary Report

Percent Time Res & Public L2 Connected & Drawing Power

- R2 % Connect
- R2 % Power
- P2 % Connect
- P2% Power

Nation PHX Tuscon LA San D. San Fran Oregon TN Chat TN Knx TN Nash Wash St
EV Project – EVSE Infra. Summary Report

Average Number of Charging Events Started per EVSE per Day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>WD</th>
<th>WE</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHX R2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHX P2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuscon R2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA R2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDR2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF R2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR R2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN Chat R2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN Knox R2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN Nash R2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN Nash P2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash ST R2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash ST P2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chevrolet Volt DOE ARRA Project

- 110 Volts 3rd quarter report 208,165 test miles
- All trips, 74.8 mpg, 185 AC Wh/mi
- EV mode, 369 AC Wh/mi no gasoline, 50.3% all miles
- Extended range mode, 37.2 mpg
- Average trip distance 7.4 miles city and 45.6 miles highway driving
- Average charging events per month 17
- Average # charging events per vehicle day 1.3
- Average miles per charging event 44 miles
- Average trips between charging events 3.3
- Average time connected per event 3.4 hours
- Average energy per charge event 7.1 AC kWh
- Average charging energy per vehicle month 119 AC kWh
Chevrolet Volt DOE ARRA Project

Battery State of Charge at End of Drive Prior to Plugging In

Number of Events

Percent State of Charge

Battery State of Charge at End of Charging Prior to Driving

Number of Events

Percent State of Charge
Ford Escape Advanced Research Vehicle

- 21 Ford Escape PHEVs (November 2009 – 2011)
- 395,000 test miles and 31,000 trips
- All trips, 38 mpg, 101 AC Wh/mi & 66 DC Wh/mi
- Charge Depleting (CD), 53 mpg & 165 DC Wh/mi
- Charge Sustaining (CS), 32 mpg
- Plugging in = 66% increase in overall MPG when comparing CD to CS trips
- CD city, 49 mpg, 166 DC Wh/mi
- CD highway, 58 mpg, 164 DC Wh/mi
- CS city, 30 mpg
- CS highway, 32 mpg
- Plugging in = 63% increase in city MPG and 81% increase in highway MPG (compare CD to CS)
- City - 38% CD and 23% CS miles engine off
- Highway - 12% CD and 4% CS miles engine off
Ford Escape Advanced Research Vehicle

- 18.9 miles per charge event
- 1.5 trips per charge event
- 3.0 charge events per vehicle day
- 6.1 average hours plugged in per charge
- 1.4 average hours drawing power per charge event
- 1.9 kWh average energy per charge event
- 44 average charge events / vehicle / month when driven
### Chrysler Ram PHEV Project

- **70 Chrysler Ram PHEVs**
- **70,000 test miles and 11,000 trips**
- **All trips, 18 mpg, 115 AC Wh/mi & 69 DC Wh/mi**
- **CD, 23 mpg & 248 DC Wh/mi**
- **CS, 17 mpg**
- **Plugging in = 35% increase in overall MPG when comparing CD to CS trips**

---

### Chrysler RAM PHEV Fleet

- **Number of vehicles:** 37
- **Date range of data received:** 7/1/2011 to 8/31/2011
- **Reporting period:** July 11 - Aug 11
- **Number of vehicle days driven:** 615

#### All Trips Combined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline fuel economy (mpg)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall AC electrical energy consumption (AC Wh/mi)</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall DC electrical energy consumption (DC Wh/mi)</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline fuel economy (mpg) captured from regenerative braking (DC Wh/mi)</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of trips</td>
<td>3,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total distance traveled (mi)</td>
<td>13,911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Trips in Charge Depleting (CD) mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline fuel economy (mpg)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC electrical energy consumption (DC Wh/mi)</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of trips</td>
<td>1,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of trips city</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of trips highway</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance traveled (mi)</td>
<td>3,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of total distance traveled</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Trips in both Charge Depleting & Charge Sustaining (CD/CS) modes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline fuel economy (mpg)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC electrical energy consumption (DC Wh/mi)</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of trips</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of trips city</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of trips highway</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance traveled CD</td>
<td>1,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance traveled CS</td>
<td>1,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of total distance traveled CD</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of total distance traveled CS</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Trips in Charge Sustaining (CS) mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline fuel economy (mpg)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of trips</td>
<td>1,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of trips city</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of trips highway</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance traveled (mi)</td>
<td>7,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of total distance traveled</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Driving Aggressiveness</th>
<th>Trip Fuel Economy (mpg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of Driving Aggressiveness on Fuel Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Notes:**

- Vehicles and may not necessarily demonstrate optimized performance.

---

**Graphs:**

- **Gasoline Fuel Economy by Trip Type:**
  - All
  - CD
  - CS
  - S
  - D

- **Distance Traveled by Trip Type:**
  - CS
  - CD
  - S
  - D

- **Percent of Drive Time by Operating Mode:**
  - CD
  - CS
  - S
  - D

---

**Images:**

- Logo of U.S. Department of Energy
- Chrysler Ram PHEV Fleet
- Graphs and charts related to fuel economy and driving aggressiveness.
Chrysler Ram PHEV Pickups

- 44% of Ram driving and stopped time, gas engine is stopped
- 54.4 miles per charge event
- 8.5 trips per charge event
- 0.64 charge events per vehicle day
- 1.9 average hours per charge event
- 6.3 kWh average energy / charge
- 240 L1 and 1,029 L2 charge events
- 14% at L1 & 86% at L2 total energy
- 29.8 hrs at L1 & 2.3 hrs at L2 to charge from 20% to 100% SOC
Summary – Based on Early Data

- Leafs: 31 miles per day, 30 miles per charge, 1 charge per vehicle day, 4.3 trips per charge, and 7.5 kWh per charge
- Most EV Project residential Level 2 charging occurs off-peak
- EV Project vehicles connected 5X’s longer than needed to recharge - opportunities to shift charging times
- San Diego: significant charge-starts occur at the midnight start of super off-peak kWh rates
- EV Project – accumulating ½ million test miles per week
- Today’s grid-connected electric drive technologies result in 35% to 100% reductions in petroleum use
- Data must be collected before we can report on the data
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