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#### Abstract

Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC, managing and operating contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy's Idaho National Laboratory, is the lead laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy's advanced vehicle testing. Battelle Energy Alliance, LLC contracted with Intertek Testing Services, North America (Intertek) to conduct several U.S. Department of Defense-based studies to identify potential U.S. Department of Defense transportation systems that are strong candidates for introduction or expansion of plug-in electric vehicles (PEVs).

Task 2 involved identifying daily operational characteristics of select vehicles and initiating data logging of vehicle movements in order to characterize the vehicle's mission. Individual observations of these selected vehicles provide the basis for recommendations related to PEV adoption and whether a battery electric vehicle or plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (collectively referred to as PEVs) can fulfill the mission requirements and provide observations related to placement of PEV charging infrastructure.

This report provides the results of the data analysis and observations related to replacement of current vehicles with PEVs. This fulfills part of the Task 3 requirements. Task 3 also includes an assessment of the charging infrastructure required to support this replacement, which is the subject of a separate report.

Intertek acknowledges the support of Idaho National Laboratory, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Northwest, and Naval Air Station Whidbey Island fleet managers and personnel for participation in this study.

Intertek is pleased to provide this report and is encouraged by enthusiasm and support from Naval Facilities Engineering Command Northwest personnel.


## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Federal agencies are mandated ${ }^{a}$ to purchase alternative fuel vehicles, increase consumption of alternative fuels, and reduce petroleum consumption. Available plug-in electric vehicles (PEVs) provide an attractive option for selection of alternative fuel vehicles. PEVs, which consist of both battery electric vehicles (BEVs) and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs), have significant advantages over internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles in terms of energy efficiency, reduced petroleum consumption, and reduced production of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and they provide performance benefits with quieter, smoother operation. This study intended to evaluate the extent to which Naval Air Station Whidbey Island (NASWI) could convert part or all of their fleet of vehicles from petroleum-fueled vehicles to PEVs.

It is likely that more fuel-efficient ICE vehicles, including hybrid electric vehicles, exist that may provide improvements for the current fleet; however, this study's focus is on replacing ICE vehicles with suitable PEVs.

BEVs provide the greatest benefit when it comes to fuel and emissions savings because all motive power is provided by the energy stored in the onboard battery pack. These vehicles use no petroleum and emit no pollutants at their point of use. PHEVs provide similar savings when their battery provides the motive power, but they also have the ability to extend their operating range with an onboard ICE. Because a PHEV can meet all transportation range needs, the adoption of a PHEV will be dependent on its ability to meet other transportation needs such as cargo or passenger carrying. Operation of PHEVs in battery-only mode can be increased with opportunity charging at available charging stations; however, it should be noted that not all PHEVs have a mode in which the battery provides all motive power at all speeds. This study focuses on the mission requirements of the fleet of vehicles with the objective of identifying vehicles that may be replaced with PEVs, with emphasis on BEVs that provide maximum benefit.

NASWI is located on Whidbey Island on the Puget Sound in Washington State. It is the premier naval aviation installation in the Pacific Northwest and home of all Navy tactical electronic attack squadrons flying the EA-6B Prowler and EA-18G Growler. In addition, there are four P-3 Orion Maritime Patrol squadrons and two Fleet Reconnaissance squadrons flying the EP-3E Aries. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

The relatively small geographic size of NASWI and close proximity to other naval facilities create significant opportunities for conversion of some vehicles to PEVs. NASWI identified 324 vehicles in its fleet, with 175 of those vehicles being selected to be part of this study. From these 175 vehicles, 60 vehicles that are representative of the full fleet were selected for closer monitoring. Fleet vehicle mission categories are defined in Section 4 and, while NASWI vehicles conduct many different missions, three (i.e., pool, support, and enforcement missions) were selected to be part of this fleet evaluation. The selected vehicles included many vehicle types.

[^0]The non-tactical fleet at NASWI is managed by the Transportation group of Naval Facilities Engineering Command Northwest. NASWI is composed of 25 tenant commands (i.e., Commands) and air station divisions and departments (i.e., Departments). The Commands operate 69 vehicles and the Departments operate the remaining 106 vehicles to be evaluated. This report actually provides three reports: one for the Commands, one for the Departments, and an overall report. It observes that a mix of BEVs and PHEVs are capable of performing most of the required missions and of providing an alternative vehicle for the pool, support, and enforcement vehicles, because, while some vehicles travel long distances, the group could support some BEVs for the short trips and PHEVs for the longer trips. The recommended mix of vehicles will provide sufficient range for individual trips and time is available each day for charging to accommodate multiple trips per day. Replacement of vehicles in the current fleet could result in significant reductions in the emission of GHGs and in petroleum use, as well as reduced fleet operating costs.


PEVs currently commercially available cannot replace certain vehicles and missions (such as those requiring heavy-duty trucks and certain specialty usage vehicles). However, based on data collected for the monitored vehicles, the 60-vehicle fleet subset could possibly consist of 32 BEVs and 28 PHEVs.

Puget Sound Energy provides the electric power for NASWI and its generation capacity consists mostly of hydroelectricity. Hydroelectricity generally provides lower electrical costs and lower generation GHG emissions than the national averages. Replacement of 60 ICE vehicles with PEVs potentially results in an annual fuel savings of over $\$ 42,500$ ( $86 \%$ reduction) and GHG savings of over $245,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}(70 \%$ reduction).

The monitored vehicles represent 60 vehicles of 175 on-road-rated vehicles in these represented fleets. Assuming that the balance of these fleets operates in a manner similar to those monitored and without consideration of specific cargo or other mission requirements not previously identified, Intertek suggests the total fleet composition could consist of 92 BEVs and 83 PHEVs. This replacement of ICE vehicles with PEVs could potentially result in an annual fuel savings of over \$123,000 ( $86 \%$ reduction) and annual GHG emissions avoided of over $700,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ ( $70 \%$ reduction).

The average vehicle monitored traveled approximately 5,090 miles per year. This is an average of less than 100 miles
 per week. This may reflect the opportunity to increase the percentage of BEVs over that analyzed in Section 5. Intertek suggests NASWI may wish to move forward in the near future with the replacement of pool, support, and enforcement vehicles with PEVs as current budget and vehicle replacement schedules allow. Certainly, the vehicle types studied in this report may be candidates for immediate replacement.
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## ACRONYMS

| AC | alternating current |
| :--- | :--- |
| BEV | battery electric vehicle |
| CD | charge depletion |
| CS | charge sustaining |
| DC | direct current |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| EVSE | electric vehicle supply equipment |
| GHG | greenhouse gas emissions |
| GSA | General Services Administration |
| ICE | internal combustion engine |
| Intertek | Intertek Testing Services, North America |
| NASWI | Naval Air Station Whidbey Island |
| OEM | original equipment manufacturer |
| PEV | plug-in electric vehicle (includes BEVs and PHEVs, but not hybrid electric vehicles) |
| PHEV | plug-in hybrid electric vehicle |
| SUV | sports utility vehicle |

# Utilization Assessment of Target Electrification Vehicles at Naval Air Station Whidbey Island: Task 3 

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Department of Defense signed a memorandum of understanding on July 22, 2010, for strengthening the coordination of efforts to enhance national energy security and to demonstrate federal government leadership in transitioning the United States to a low-carbon economy. The memorandum of understanding included efforts in the areas of energy efficiency, fossil fuels, alternative fuels, efficient transportation technologies and fueling infrastructure, grid security, smart grid, and energy storage.

In support of the memorandum of understanding, the Idaho National Laboratory, with funding provided by the U.S. Department of Energy's Vehicle Technologies Office and Federal Energy Management Program, directed Intertek Testing Services, North America (Intertek) to conduct several U.S. Department of Defense-based studies to identify potential transportation systems that are strong candidates for introduction or expansion of plug-in electric vehicles (PEVs). Intertek previously has conducted similar fleet, city, state, and countrywide studies using their micro-climate assessment process, which consists of the following four main tasks:

- Task 1: Conduct a non-tactical fleet and infrastructure assessment
- Task 2: Select vehicles for mission and fleet characterizations
- Task 3: Perform detailed assessment of selected vehicles and charging infrastructure needs
- Task 4: Prepare adoption approach for PEV and charging infrastructure

Assessment of the potential for replacing Naval Air Station Whidbey Island (NASWI) fleet vehicles with PEVs starts with assessment of the fleet vehicles' missions and vehicle characteristics. This assessment was conducted through a thorough review of fleet records and discussions with Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) Northwest personnel. The Task 1 report, titled, Assessment of Data and Survey Results for Naval Air Station Whidbey Island, dated January 2015, provided a summary and fleet assessment.

PEVs generally are classified into two vehicle types: battery electric vehicles (BEVs) and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs). A BEV contains an onboard battery that provides all motive power. PHEVs also have an onboard battery that provides some motive power but the battery is supplemented by another power source (such as a gasoline engine). Collectively, BEVs and PHEVs are referred to as PEVs.

The Task 1 effort led to identification of fleet vehicles that appear to be good candidates for replacement by PEVs. The Task 2 report, titled, Identification of Naval Air Station Whidbey Island Vehicles for Installation of Data Loggers, dated March 2015, identified the 60 vehicles within the candidate groups for further monitoring and analysis through addition of vehicle data loggers. The data loggers were installed and data collected on these selected vehicles. This Task 3 report provides a summary and the details of that data collection. The charging infrastructure assessment is the subject of a separate report.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1 Fleet Vehicle Survey

NASWI, NAVFAC, and Intertek identified 60 vehicles for further study (i.e., vehicles were identified in the Task 2 report). This subset of vehicles contains 19 sedans, nine minivans, three sports utility
vehicles (SUVs), 16 pickup trucks, four cargo vans, and nine passenger vans. This distribution is approximately representative of the entire non-tactical fleet. Figure 1 shows vehicle type distribution for all vehicles for comparison (taken from Task 1).


Figure 1. Vehicle type distribution for all vehicles.
Figures 2 through 6 present comparisons of model year, cumulative distance driven, and monthly distance driven of the vehicles monitored. The figures show that the selected vehicles are representative of a wide range of vehicle and mission types. The selection shifted the monitored vehicle types toward a larger percentage of smaller vans, which are the more likely candidates for replacement by PEVs in the near future. It also did not include many of the oldest vehicles, which are cargo vans and pickups, whose performances are better represented by newer models.


Figure 2. Vehicle types for vehicles with data loggers.


Figure 3. Model year for vehicles with data loggers.


Figure 4. Annual mileage for vehicles with data loggers.


Vehicle Mission

- Monitored All Inventory

Figure 5. Vehicle mission for vehicles with data loggers.


Figure 6. Distribution of monthly distance driven for vehicles with data loggers.
If one assumes a battery range of 70 miles for a BEV and 21 working days per month, then a vehicle that consistently travels the same distance each day would have to travel greater than approximately 1,500 miles per month to exceed the battery capacity. Fully $95 \%$ of the monitored vehicles average less than 1,500 miles per month in travel. This is the same percentage as the entire fleet inventory. Therefore, barring charging constraints associated with the timing of daily mission activities, payload requirements, and the range issues associated with off-base trips, a significant number of vehicles should be eligible for replacement by BEVs.

NASWI identified 175 fleet vehicles in its non-tactical, onroad fleet. Table 1 compares the monitored vehicles to the full fleet by mission type. (Note that Section 3 provides descriptions of the vehicle mission types.)

Intertek coordinated with NASWI fleet managers to identify specific vehicles for inclusion in the study. The vehicle selections represent high-interest vehicles based on vehicle missions and vehicle
type/class. Selection also favored a typical cross section of the full fleet. Because data loggers rely on the vehicle's battery power, non-use of the vehicle can result in the vehicle having a depleted battery. Intertek received no reports of depleted batteries during the study at NASWI.

Table 1. Fleet evaluation.

| Vehicle Mission | Study <br> Vehicles | Total Fleet <br> Reported | Percentage <br> Studied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pool Vehicles | 9 | 20 | $45 \%$ |
| Support Vehicles | 44 | 116 | $38 \%$ |
| Enforcement Vehicles | 7 | 25 | $28 \%$ |
| Transport Vehicles | - | 14 | - |
| Total Fleet Vehicles | 60 | 175 | $34 \%$ |

### 2.2 Data Collection

Individual privacy concerns exist when monitoring vehicle movement with data loggers. Data collection occurs through vehicle identification as identified by Intertek, data logger number, and an agency-assigned vehicle number. Intertek receives no information related to the vehicle operator and provides no raw data to the fleet managers. In this manner, Intertek does not collect, analyze, or report on individual driving habits.

### 2.2.1 Data Logger

Non-intrusive data loggers produced by InTouchMVC ${ }^{3}$ and depicted in Figure 7 were installed into the vehicle's onboard diagnostic port to collect and transmit the relevant data. Installation of the data logger and manual recording of information about the vehicle that ties the logger and vehicle together in the data typically takes less than 5 minutes. Once installed and activated (during vehicle use), the data loggers transmit vehicle information every minute during vehicle operation by cellular communication to the data center.


Figure 7. InTouchMVC data logger. ${ }^{4}$
Intertek maintains the data logger's connectivity and verifies data transmission weekly. Missing data (reported as "null" values) are frequently the result of lost global positioning system reception, logger device removal, or extended periods in regions with insufficient cellular reception. Intertek filters the vehicle and data logger information if these null values present a significant impact on the data collected and no resolution is possible. This report also identifies the statistics on this validation process.

[^1]NASWI requested and installed 60 data loggers into their fleet. NASWI removed and shipped the data loggers to Intertek at the conclusion of the data collection period.

### 2.2.2 Data Captured

Data consist of key-on events, key-off events, and position updates logged every minute while the vehicle is keyed-on. InTouchMVC fleet reporting converted these data points into records of trip events, stop events, and idle events.

From these data points, the following information was available for evaluation:

- Trip start and stop time and location
- Trip distance and duration
- Idle start time, location, and duration
- Stop start time, location, and duration.


### 2.3 Data Analysis

### 2.3.1 Definitions

Figure 8 illustrates a vehicle outing, which is comprised of trips, stops, and idle events, that may occur over 1 day or several days. The following list provides a definition of these terms:

1. Outing: An outing is the combination of trips and stops that begin at the home base and includes all travel until the vehicle returns home.
2. Trip: A trip begins with a key-on event and ends with the next key-off event.
3. Vehicle stop: A vehicle stop includes a key-off/key-on event pair.
4. Idle time: Idle time is the amount of time a vehicle spends stationary after a key-on event when the vehicle is not moving for a period of 3 minutes or longer.
5. Trip travel time: Trip travel time is the amount of time required to complete a trip, excluding stops, but including idle time.


Figure 8. Vehicle outing.

Definitions of additional analysis and survey terms are as follows:

1. Operating shift: Fleet manager-defined period worked
2. Study days: Days during which the data loggers are connected
3. Vehicle days: Study days during which a vehicle is used
4. Null values: Data record unusable for analysis for various reasons.

### 2.3.2 Data Evaluation

Processing the data involves removal of null values and aggregation by different spatial and temporal scales. Aggregation was by day, by trip, and by outing to produce figures showing the patterns of use. Aggregation by vehicle mission followed to characterize use for the agency fleet. Section 4 presents these results. Data were extrapolated to provide overall fleet usage and benefit analysis when fleet information was provided. Section 5 presents these benefits. Intertek observations are included in Section 6.

Statistical data analysis uses Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Excel and Tableau ${ }^{\circledR}$ software. Frequency distributions summarize the travel behavior of each vehicle and vehicle mission during the study period. Rounding of the tables and figures are to three significant digits.

## 3. VEHICLES

### 3.1 Vehicle Missions

Vehicle mission is an important characteristic in the fleet study. Information used to define the vehicle mission includes the vehicle's configuration, vehicle use, classification per 40 CFR Part 600.315-82 and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the participating agency use, and generally understood vehicle uses. Based on fleet information gathered, Intertek has established the following seven mission/vehicle categories for analysis, which are depicted in Figure 9:

1. Pool vehicles: A pool vehicle is any automobile (other than the low-speed vehicles identified below) manufactured primarily for use in passenger transportation, with not more than 10 passengers.
2. Enforcement vehicles: Vehicles specifically approved in an agency's appropriation act for use in apprehension, surveillance, police, or other law enforcement work. This category also includes site security vehicles, parking enforcement, and general use, but the vehicles are capable of requirements to support enforcement activities. Appendix A provides further definition.
3. Support vehicles: Vehicles assigned to a specific work function or group to support the mission of that group. Vehicles are generally passenger vehicles or light-duty pickup trucks and may contain after-market modifications to support the mission.
4. Transport vehicles: Light, medium, or heavy-duty trucks used to transport an operator and tools or equipment of a non-specific design or nature. The vehicle's uses include repair, maintenance, or delivery.
5. Specialty vehicles: Vehicles designed to accommodate a specific purpose or mission (such as ambulances, mobile cranes, and handicap controls).
6. Shuttles/buses: Vehicles designed to carry more than 12 passengers and further outlined in 49 CFR 532.2.
7. Low-speed vehicles: Vehicles that are legally limited to roads with posted speed limits up to 35 or 45 mph (depending on state law) and that have a limited load-carrying capability.


Figure 9. Vehicle missions.

### 3.2 Alternative Fuel Vehicles

As the operating agency, NASWI has a unique opportunity to plan for adoption of BEVs and PHEVs, along with planning for supporting infrastructure. The adoption of PHEVs and BEVs is a primary goal of the General Services Administration (GSA) and supports many directives in this area.

As GSA increases its certification of PHEVs and BEVs, agencies can plan for vehicle replacement through GSA for passenger vehicles and trucks. Table 2 presents the replacement requirements for fleet vehicles. Note that both the age and mileage requirements need to be met in order for the vehicle to qualify for replacement, except where noted as "or."

Table 2. GSA vehicle replacement requirements.

|  | GSA Vehicle Replacement Requirements ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fassenger vehicles | Gasoline or | Years | Miles |
|  | alternative fuel | 3 | 36,000 |
|  | vehicle | 4 | 24,000 |
|  |  | 5 | Any mileage |
|  | Hybrid | Any age | 75,000 |
|  | Low-speed BEV | 5 | Any mileage |
| Light trucks 4 x 2 | Non-diesel | 6 | Any mileage |
|  | Diesel | 7 or | 65,000 |
|  | Hybrid | 8 or | 150,000 |
| Light trucks 4 x 4 | Non-diesel | 7 | Any mileage |
|  | Diesel | 7 or | 60,000 |
|  | Hybrid | 8 or | 150,000 |
|  |  | 7 | Any mileage |

[^2]|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | GSA Vehicle Replacement Requirements |  |  |
|  | Fuel Type | Years | Miles |
| Medium trucks | Non-diesel | 10 or | 100,000 |
|  | Diesel | 10 or | 150,000 |
| Heavy Trucks | Non-diesel | 12 or | 100,000 |
|  | Diesel | 12 or | 250,000 |

### 3.3 Battery Electric Vehicle and Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle Benefits/Challenges

BEVs are powered completely by the battery energy storage system onboard the vehicle. The Nissan LEAF is an example of a BEV. Because the BEV has no other energy source for propulsion, the range, power requirements, and mission of the needed vehicle factor greatly in purchasing decisions. Maximizing BEV capabilities typically requires batteries more than an order of magnitude larger than the batteries in hybrid electric vehicles.

PHEVs obtain their power from two energy sources. The typical PHEV configuration uses a battery and an ICE, which is powered by either gasoline or diesel. PHEV designs differ between manufacturers. All PHEVs have a charge-depleting (CD) mode, in which the battery discharges its stored energy to propel the vehicle, and a charge-sustaining (CS) mode (or extended-range mode) that is entered after CD mode is complete, in which the battery and ICE work together to provide propulsion, while the state of charge of the battery is maintained between set limits. Some CD modes are purely electric, while other vehicle designers employ the engine to supplement the battery power during the initial battery depletion to a set state of charge (usually below 50\%).

### 3.3.1 Battery Electric Vehicle Benefits/Challenges

EPA identifies the following benefits and challenges of BEVs: ${ }^{6}$

- Energy efficient: Electric vehicles convert about 59 to $62 \%$ of electrical energy from the grid to power at the wheels, whereas conventional gasoline vehicles only convert about 17 to $21 \%$ of the energy stored in gasoline to power at the wheels.
- Environmentally friendly: PEVs emit no tailpipe pollutants, although the power plant producing the electricity may emit them. Electricity from nuclear, hydro, solar, or wind-powered plants causes no air pollutants.
- Performance benefits: Electric motors provide quiet, smooth operation and exhibit maximum torque at zero and low speeds, while also requiring less maintenance than ICEs.
- Reduce energy dependence: Electricity is a domestic energy source.

EPA also identifies challenges associated with BEVs, including the following:

- Driving range: Most BEVs can only travel about 100 to 200 miles (or less) before recharging, whereas gasoline vehicles can often travel over 300 miles before refueling and some much further.
- Recharge time: Fully recharging the battery pack can take 4 to 8 hours. Even a "fast charge" to $80 \%$ capacity can take 30 minutes.
- Battery cost: The large battery packs are expensive and may need to be replaced one or more times.

[^3]- Bulk and weight: Battery packs are heavy and take up considerable vehicle space.


### 3.3.2 Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle Benefits/Challenges

EPA identifies the following benefits and challenges of PHEVs: ${ }^{7}$

- Less petroleum use: PHEVs are expected to use about 40 to $60 \%$ less petroleum than conventional vehicles. Because electricity is produced primarily from domestic resources, PHEVs reduce dependence on oil.
- Fewer GHG emissions: PHEVs are expected to emit fewer GHG emissions than conventional vehicles, but, as with BEVs, the difference depends largely on the type of power plant supplying the electricity.
- Higher vehicle costs, lower fuel costs: PHEVs will likely cost $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 7,000$ more than comparable non-PHEVs. Fuel will cost less because electricity is much cheaper than gasoline, but the fuel savings depends on how much of the driving is done on the off-board electrical energy.
- Recharging takes time: Recharging the battery typically takes several hours. However, PHEVs do not have to be plugged in to be driven. They can be fueled solely with gasoline, but will not achieve maximum range, fuel economy, or fuel savings without charging.
- Measuring fuel economy: Because a PHEV can operate on electricity alone, gasoline alone, or a mixture of the two, EPA provides a fuel economy estimate for gasoline-only operation (CS mode), electric-only operation (all-electric CD mode), or combined gasoline and electric operation (blended CD mode).

In most cases, the PEV's retail cost is higher than a non-PEV model. This incremental purchase cost may be a fleet budget challenge; however, many original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) have offered incentives to encourage the use and adoption of BEVs and PHEVs. Some OEMs have recently reduced vehicle cost, while also increasing vehicle range. Additionally, federal and state incentives have increased the attractiveness of purchasing a PEV. A common assumption is that increasing PEV sales will result in a reduction in this incremental purchase cost and a positive feedback loop will ensue.

### 3.4 Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle Availability

GSA provides a summary of the light and medium-duty passenger vehicles that are available for lease or purchase through the GSA portal, ${ }^{8}$ although not all BEVs and PHEVs currently on the market are 'certified' to be GSA replacements. Vehicles not on the GSA list of 'certified' vehicles require an agency to self-certify a functional need or alternative measures for exemptions. Tables 3 and 4 summarize the vehicles that may be suitable replacements and are certified replacements through GSA. Note that the "CD/CS" column provides the EPA fuel economy values for CD and CS modes. The fuel economy of CD mode is provided in units of miles-per-gallon-of-gasoline-equivalent (MPGe). This metric allows for electricity consumption during CD mode to be compared with fuel consumption during CS mode (or against conventional vehicles). The Nissan Leaf and Mitsubishi i-MiEV are not included in the alternative fuel guide for 2014, but they have appeared in previous guides.

Replacement is dependent on vehicle configuration characteristics and vehicle mission. Further evaluation related to vehicle purpose, mission, and need should be completed.

OEMs provide information related to a vehicle's range in CD mode and EPA provides test results. However, actual results may vary depending on several factors other than travel, including changes in the

[^4]battery's capacity over time, area topography, weather conditions (e.g., cabin cooling/heating), and payload, that may also deplete a vehicle's battery. This report will identify a BEV's "safe range" as 70 miles because this is typically less than the advertised range of most BEV OEMs; a PHEV's safe range is 40 miles in CD mode.

Tables 5 through 8 provide summaries of PHEVs and BEVs either currently available or near commercialization in both passenger cars and pickup trucks, but do not appear on the GSA 'certified' vehicle list. These vehicles may qualify for use by the agency through demonstrating a functional need.

Table 3. GSA-certified PHEVs for 2014.

| Make/Model | GSA Class | Type | CD/CS | GSA Incremental Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chevrolet Volt | Sedan, Subcompact | PHEV | $98 \mathrm{MPGe} / 37 \mathrm{mpg}$ | $\$ 17,087.18$ |
| Ford C-MAX Energi | Sedan, Subcompact | PHEV | $88 / 38 \mathrm{mpg}$ | $\$ 14,899.52$ |
| Ford Fusion Energi | Sedan, Compact | PHEV | $88 / 38 \mathrm{mpg}$ | $\$ 19,289.99$ |

Table 4. GSA-certified BEVs.

| Make/Model | GSA Class | Type | City/Highway | GSA Incremental Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ford Focus Electric | Sedan, Subcompact | BEV | $110 / 99 \mathrm{MPGe}$ | $\$ 16,573.09$ |

Note that EPA differs in vehicle class. EPA identifies the Volt as a compact, the C-MAX Energi as a midsize, the Fusion Energi as a midsize, and the Focus as a compact. ${ }^{9}$
Table 5. OEM PHEV cars and availability.

| Make | EPA Class | Model | Model Year/Estimated Year <br> for Commercialization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chevrolet | Compact | Volt | 2011 |
| Ford | Midsize | C-MAX Energi | 2013 |
| Ford | Midsize | Fusion Energi | 2013 |
| Toyota | Midsize | Prius PHEV | 2012 |
| Honda | Midsize | Accord PHEV | 2014 |
| BMW | Subcompact | i3 REx | 2014 |
| BMW | Subcompact | i8 | 2014 |
| Audi | Compact | A3 eTron PHEV | 2015 (estimate) |
| Volvo | SUV | V60 Plug-in | 2016 (estimate) |

Table 6. OEM BEV cars and availability.

| Make | EPA Class | Model | Model Year/Estimated Year <br> for Commercialization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nissan | Midsize | Leaf | 2011 |
| Ford | Compact | Focus Electric | 2012 |
| Tesla | Large | Model S | 2012 |
| Fiat | Mini | 500e | 2013 |
| Honda | Small Station Wagon | Fit EV | 2013 |

[^5]| Make | EPA Class | Model | Model Year/Estimated Year <br> for Commercialization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BMW | Subcompact | i3 | 2014 |
| Chevrolet | Subcompact | Spark EV | 2014 |
| smart | Two Seater | ED | 2014 |
| Kia | Small Station Wagon | Soul EV | 2014 |
| Volkswagen | Compact | Golf e-Golf | 2015 |
| Mercedes-Benz | Midsize | B-Class ED | 2015 (estimate) |
| Volvo | Compact | C30 Electric | 2016 (estimate) |

Table 7. OEM PHEV trucks, vans, and availability.

| Make | EPA Class | Model | Model Year/Estimated Year <br> for Commercialization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Via | Standard Pickup Truck | VTRUX VR300 | 2013 |
| Via | Special Purpose Vehicle | VTRUX Cargo Van | 2013 |
| Via | Vans, Cargo Type | VTRUX Pass Van | 2013 |
| Mitsubishi | Small SUV | Outlander PHEV | 2015 (estimate) |
| Land Rover | Standard SUV | C30 Electric | 2016 (estimate) |

Table 8. OEM BEV trucks, vans, and availability.

| Make | EPA Class | Model | Model Year/Estimated Year <br> for Commercialization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toyota | SUV | RAV4 EV | 2013 (California only - |
|  |  |  | nationwide release date <br> unknown) |
| Tesla | Standard SUV | Model X | 2015 (estimate) |
| Land Rover | Standard SUV | C30 Electric | 2016 (estimate) |

As further indication of the expanding market for PEVs, companies are offering after-market vehicle upgrades involving the addition of plug-in capabilities to OEM vehicles. For example, Echo Automotive headquartered in Scottsdale, Arizona offers a "...low-cost, bolt-on, plug-in hybrid system that can quickly be installed on new or existing fleet vehicles to increase fuel efficiency and decrease operating costs - all without affecting the OEM power train or requiring costly infrastructure."10 EVAOS conducts conversions of Ford F-series pickup trucks to PHEV models and has delivered vehicles to the U.S. Air Force. ${ }^{11}$ Options such as this company's conversions might be of benefit to the passenger vans identified in the NASWI fleet, but for which no replacement PEV is currently available.

### 3.5 Plug-In Electric Vehicle Charging

Refueling electric vehicles presents some challenges and some opportunities not encountered when refueling petroleum-fueled vehicles. Recharging the battery of a PHEV follows the same methodology as that for BEVs. This section provides basic information on recharging PEVs.
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### 3.5.1 Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment Design

3.5.1.1 Charging Components. Electric vehicle supply equipment (EVSE) stations deliver electric power from the utility to the applicable charge port on the vehicle. Figure 10 illustrates the primary components of a typical alternating current (AC) Level 2 EVSE.


Figure 10. AC Level 2 charging diagram. ${ }^{12}$
The electric utility delivers AC current to the charging location. The conversion from AC to the direct current (DC) electricity necessary for battery charging can occur either on or off board the vehicle. Section 3.5.1.2 provides further explanation of the different EVSE configurations. For onboard conversion, AC current flows through the PEV inlet to the onboard charger. The charger converts AC to the DC current required to charge the battery. A connector attached to the EVSE inserts into a PEV inlet to establish an electrical connection to the PEV for charging and information/data exchange. Off-board conversion, also known as DC charging, proceeds in a similar manner except that the AC to DC conversion occurs in a charger that is off board the vehicle and, thus, bypasses any onboard charger. For both AC and DC charging, the PEV's battery management system onboard the vehicle controls the battery rate of charge, among other functions. All current PEVs have an onboard charger; some BEVs (but no PHEVs currently) accommodate DC charging.
3.5.1.2 Charging Configurations and Ratings. The Society of Automotive Engineers standardized the requirements, configurations, and equipment followed by most PEV suppliers in the United States in the J1772 Standard. Figure 11 summarizes these attributes and the estimated recharge times. Actual recharge times depend on the onboard equipment, including the charger, battery, and battery management system.

Most PEV manufacturers supply an AC Level 1 cordset with the vehicle, which provides sufficient capabilities for some drivers, but more typically provides an emergency backup capability because of the long recharge times. AC recharging capabilities found in the public arena more typically are AC Level 2. Figure 12 depicts a typical J1772-compliant inlet and connector for both AC Levels 1 and 2.

The J1772 standard also identifies requirements for DC charging. For PEVs that accept both AC and DC inputs, the Society of Automotive Engineers approved a single connector and inlet design. Figure 13 shows this connector, which is colloquially known as the J1772 "combo connector."

[^7]| 콘International |  | Ratings Termi | logy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC level 1 <br> (SAE J1772 ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ ) | PEV includes on-board charger | *DC Level 1 | EVSE includes an off-board charger |
|  | 120V, 1.4 kW @ 12 amp $120 \mathrm{~V}, 1.9 \mathrm{~kW}$ @ 16 amp |  | 200-450 V DC, up to 36 kW (80 A) |
|  | Est. charge time: |  | Est. charge time ( 20 kW off-board charger): |
|  | PHEV: 7 hrs (SOC* $-0 \%$ to full) |  | PHEV: 22 min . (SOC* $-0 \%$ to 80\%) |
|  | BEV: 17 hrs (SOC $-20 \%$ to full) |  | BEV: 1.2 hrs . (SOC $-20 \%$ to 100\%) |
| AC level 2 (SAE J1772 ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ ) | PEV includes on-board charger (see below for different types) | *DC Level 2 | EVSE includes an off-board charger |
|  | 240 V , up to 19.2 kW ( 80 A ) |  | 200-450 V DC, up to 90 kW (200 A) |
|  | Est. charge time for 3.3 kW on-board charger |  | Est. charge time ( 45 kW off-board charger): |
|  | PEV: 3 hrs (SOC* -0\% to full) |  | PHEV: 10 min . (SOC* $-0 \%$ to 80\%) |
|  | BEV: 7 hrs (SOC $-20 \%$ to full) |  | BEV: 20 min . (SOC $-20 \%$ to $80 \%$ ) |
|  | Est. charge time for 7 kW on-board charger |  |  |
|  | PEV: 1.5 hrs (SOC* $-0 \%$ to full) | *DC Level 3 (TBD) | EVSE includes an off-board charger |
|  | BEV: 3.5 hrs (SOC $-20 \%$ to full) |  | 200-600V DC (proposed) up to 240 kW (400 A) |
|  | Est. charge time for 20 kW on-board charger |  | Est. charge time ( 45 kW off-board charger): |
|  | PEV: 22 min . (SOC* $-0 \%$ to full) |  | BEV (only): <10 min. (SOC* $-0 \%$ to 80\%6) |
|  | BEV: 1.2 hrs (SOC $-20 \%$ to full) |  |  |
| *AC Level 3 (TBD) | $>20 \mathrm{~kW}$, single phase and 3 phase |  |  |
| *Not finalized <br> Voltages are nominal configuration voltages, not coupler ratings <br> Rated Power is at nominal configuration operating voltage and coupler rated current <br> Ideal charge times assume $90 \%$ efficient chargers, 150 W to 12 V loads and no balancing of Traction Battery Pack |  |  |  |
| Notes: <br> 1) BEV ( 25 kWh usable pack size) charging always starts at $20 \% \mathrm{SOC}$, faster than a 1 C rate (total capacity charged in one hour) will also stop at $80 \%$ SOC instead of 100\% <br> 2) PHEV can start from $0 \% S O C$ since the hybrid mode is available. <br> Developed by the SAE Hybrid Committee <br> ver. 031611 |  |  |  |
| Copyright SAE 2011 |  |  |  |

Figure 11. Society of Automotive Engineers charging configurations and ratings terminology. ${ }^{13}$


Figure 12. J1772 connector and inlet. ${ }^{14}$
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Figure 13. J1772-compliant combo connector. ${ }^{15}$
Some PEVs delivered in the United States prior to the approval of the J1772 standard for DC charging employed the CHAdeMO standard for connector and inlet design. Figure 14 shows this connector. EVSE units that are either J1772-compliant or CHAdeMO-compliant are both known as DC fast chargers (DCFCs).


Figure 14. CHAdeMO-compliant connector. ${ }^{16}$
The presence of the two separate standards for DC charging presents challenges for vehicle owners to ensure that the EVSE accessed provides the appropriate connector for their vehicle inlet. Not all PEV suppliers include DC charging options. BEV suppliers more typically provide DC inlets than PHEV suppliers do, because the rapid recharging provides opportunities for expanded vehicle range with minimal operator wait times. PHEV operators can rely on the gasoline drive in the event they deplete the vehicle's battery; at present, no PHEV on the market or near commercialization has DC charging capability (although the Mitsubishi Outlander PHEV is rumored to be offering DC charging capability as an option). It is noted that DC Level 1 and DC Level 2 charging are commonly combined and labeled DCFC.

Because the battery of a BEV is typically much larger than that of a PHEV, recharge times are longer (see Figure 11). BEVs that see daily mileage near the limits of the advertised range do better when recharged using AC Level 2 EVSE or DCFC, because AC Level 1 recharge times are usually extensive. PHEVs, on the other hand, generally can use AC Level 1 EVSE for overnight charging to ensure a fully charged battery at the start of daily use. AC Level 2 EVSE units provide greater range in the shortest

[^9]amount of time when intermediate or opportunity charging. DCFC provides the fastest recharge capability for those vehicles equipped with DCFC inlets.

### 3.5.2 Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment Stations

AC Level 2 charging is the predominant rating of publicly accessible EVSE because of its wide acceptance by auto manufacturers and faster recharge times than AC Level 1. Purchase and installation costs are more manageable than DCFCs and less space is required. There are several manufacturers of AC Level 2 equipment and the agency should review brands for comparison purposes. Figure 15 provides an example of a public AC Level 2 EVSE unit. ${ }^{17}$


Figure 15. Public AC Level 2 unit.
DCFCs also are available from several manufacturers. Figure 16 illustrates one such charger. 18 This particular unit uses the CHAdeMO connector standard.

In general, installation costs are higher for DCFC because of the higher voltage requirements and the inclusion of the AC to DC converter and other safety and design features. Costs for both types are highly dependent on site characteristics such as distance to the nearest power source, asphalt or concrete cutting and repair, conduit requirements, and payment systems, if any.

Payment and equipment control systems included by some suppliers provide the potential for use by privately owned vehicles for a fee, but allow agency fleet vehicle use without direct payment. These systems allow for accurate record keeping of vehicle charging requirements.

[^10]

Figure 16. Public DCFC unit.

## 4. NAVAL AIR STATION WHIDBEY ISLAND ANALYSIS

Sixty vehicles belonging to the Commands and Departments groups were included in the study at NASWI. Analysis by user is conducted first and is followed by aggregating across all users and vehicles. The Commands and Departments groups use the fleet vehicles for a variety of purposes. The Commands group includes Electronic Attack Wing Pacific, Patrol and Reconnaissance Wing, Fleet Logistics Support, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Detachment Northwest, Fleet Readiness Center Northwest, and others. The Departments group includes air operations support, security, housing, public works, and others.

### 4.1 Analysis Results - Commands

This section summarizes and aggregates data collection for the Commands group. The details of each vehicle monitored are included in Appendix B. Appendix D presents the full detailed analysis for the vehicles monitored and for the Commands fleet as a whole.

The Commands group manages 69 of the fleet vehicles, with 18 of those vehicles included with the monitored vehicles in this study. Table 9 provides a summary of all vehicles in this fleet by mission type and vehicle type. The 18 monitored vehicles serve only the support mission category and consist of four sedans, six minivans, one SUV, one cargo van, one passenger van, and five pickup trucks.

Table 9. Commands total fleet summary.

| Mission | Sedan Compact | Sedan <br> Midsize | Sedan <br> Large | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mini- } \\ \text { Van } \end{gathered}$ | SUV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cargo } \\ & \text { Van } \end{aligned}$ | Pass. <br> Van | Pickup <br> Truck | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 17 | 56 |
| Transport |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  | 13 |
| Total | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 31 | 5 | 17 | 69 |

### 4.1.1 Commands Support Vehicles Evaluation

Grouping the vehicles by mission creates an aggregated view of mission requirements to provide observations related to PEV replacement. All vehicles assigned to the Commands group are support vehicles.

Support vehicles provide a specific work function, facilitating the mission of a particular group. The vehicles are generally passenger or light-duty pickup trucks or vans and may contain after-market modifications to support the mission. Incorporation of BEVs and/or PHEVs into the support mission is a definite possibility. Support vehicles used for shorter trips or outings qualify for BEV or PHEV replacement, while other support vehicle activities that are associated with longer trips may require PHEV capabilities.

Appendix B provides the vehicle data sheets for each of the support vehicles monitored. Table 10 summarizes support travel during the study period for those days in which the vehicle was driven. The vehicles monitored traveled 9,831miles, logged 505 hours, and idled for 99 hours during the 47 -day study period.

Table 10. Command support vehicles travel summary.

|  | Support Vehicles Travel Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $26.4 / 379.7$ | $17.5 / 713.2$ | $5.0 / 228.1$ | 9,831 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $74.6 / 458.0$ | $38.0 / 1,043.0$ | $455.5 / 264.0$ | 30,308 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $13.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $5.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 5,964 |

Figure 17 shows the travel history for the Commands group vehicles. Vehicles were not used every day, but were used frequent enough to suggest retention of all vehicles. Figure 18 shows the typical day-shift operation.


Figure 17. Commands group support vehicles history.


Figure 18. Commands group daily use profile.
The distance a PEV can travel in CD mode between charge opportunities is the most important factor in considering vehicle replacement. The two most significant factors in vehicle analysis include the vehicle daily travel and vehicle outings. Section 2.3 provides the definitions of these terms. In both graphs of daily travel and outings, the distance axis was divided into 10 -mile segments, with green bars indicating all travel less than 40 miles. Forty miles is a typical PHEV range in CD mode. The blue bars indicate travel between 40 and 70 miles. Seventy miles is considered to be within the BEV safe range (blue and green bars). While BEV range can vary based on several factors, most BEVs provide at least 70 miles of vehicle range on a single battery charge. All travel greater than 70 miles is shown by the gray bars and indicate travel beyond the capability of a BEV. Figure 19 shows the daily travel summary for the monitored vehicles. For example, the figure shows that $60 \%$ of daily travel was less than 10 miles per day.


Figure 19. Command group support vehicle daily travel miles and time (all vehicles).
The average travel distance per day when driven for support vehicles was 26.4 miles. On $92 \%$ of these vehicle days, the daily travel was less than 70 miles and within the BEV safe range. Meanwhile, only $8 \%$ of support daily travel was greater than 70 miles, with $87 \%$ of vehicle travel days less than 40 miles. A very basic look might suggest that considering only daily travel, a fleet consisting of $92 \%$ BEVs and 8\% PHEVs would meet vehicle travel needs. However, other considerations will apply.

Figure 20 shows the outings for all vehicles (two outings of 713 and 601 miles were not shown for clarity). The figure notes that more than $90 \%$ of all vehicle outings travel was less than 10 miles.

The average travel outing when driven for support vehicles was 17.5 miles. On $98 \%$ of these vehicle outings, the distance traveled was less than the 70 miles and considered to be within the BEV safe range. Meanwhile, only $2 \%$ of support outing travel was greater than 70 miles, with $98 \%$ of vehicle travel outings less than the 40 miles considered to be within the CD range of a PHEV.


Figure 20. Command support vehicle combined outings.
4.1.1.1 Command Support Vehicle Observations/Summary. In summary, the vast majority of daily travel and outings were short and well within the capabilities of BEVs. This is consistent with the optimum goal to incorporate as many BEVs as possible to realize the advantages of reduced petroleum usage and reduced emissions of GHGs. A conservative approach to vehicle usage suggests that 11 BEVs with seven PHEVs would meet the needs of the support vehicles.

Considering a full complement of 56 support vehicles in the Commands fleet, Intertek suggests that a mixed fleet may be possible. These remaining vehicles were not monitored, but using the data collected for the 18 that were, Intertek suggests that a fleet of 34 BEVs and 22 PHEVs would conservatively meet vehicle travel requirements.
4.1.1.2 Commands Support Vehicle Charging Needs. As noted previously, AC Level 2 (240-VAC) overnight charging of BEVs is typical, whereas overnight charging of PHEVs can usually be accomplished with AC Level 1 (110-VAC) charging. Intertek's experience suggests that each vehicle should have an assigned charging location at its home base. Assigned stations require less management attention to ensure completion of overnight charging. BEVs and PHEVs not assigned to these locations also benefit during visits to the location as part of their normal operation. For the entire fleet of support vehicles, 34 BEVs require 34 AC Level 2 EVSE units for overnight charging and 22 PHEV requires 22 AC Level 1 outlets for home base charging. Intertek recommends a minimum of two EVSE at each location to maximize charge capability without a significant increase in installation costs. The PHEVs can use the AC Level 2 EVSE at the home base during the day to increase the amount of vehicle miles traveled in CD mode.

At times, fleet vehicles obtain benefit from using public charging infrastructure. Figure 21 displays the availability of public charging at the time of this writing for the NASWI area. The identified sites are AC sites, indicating AC Level 1 and Level 2 public locations.

Significant development in public charging infrastructure in the NASWI area is due to great public interest in PEV adoption and earlier emphasis on infrastructure through DOE-granted programs and EVSE supplier interests. Data show that most daily travel can be accomplished without the need for
additional charging throughout the day. However, PHEVs that may travel longer distances can increase the benefits of travel in CD mode if additional charge opportunities at public charging sites are accomplished.


Figure 21. Public EVSE in NASWI region. ${ }^{19}$

### 4.1.2 Commands Transport Fleet

Table 9 identifies 13 cargo vans in the Commands fleet with the transport mission. One cargo van with a support mission was monitored in this study and all of its daily travel and outings were less than 40 miles, suggesting that all cargo vans could be replaced with BEV. However, a more conservative approach would be to use the same ratio used above, resulting in eight BEVs and five PHEVS.

### 4.1.3 Commands Fleet Vehicles Mileage

The vehicle's annual miles factored into the calculations for replacement of vehicles as noted in Section 5 and Appendix D. NASWI provided vehicle information that identified the average monthly miles and vehicle mileage.

For the entire Commands fleet, the actual miles would project approximately 4,800 miles per year, whereas the provided information would suggest 7,700 miles. In either case, this reflects positively on the use of BEVs in the fleet.

### 4.1.4 Commands Group Vehicle Utilization

Vehicle utilization is an important factor in the evaluation of vehicles from an inventory perspective and for charging availability. During the study period, the average daily use for the total Commands fleet was $45 \%$ or 21 days of the 47 -day study.

The average daily usage of each was 1.1 hours. In general, the vehicles were used on frequent days, but average usage per day was quite low.
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### 4.1.5 Commands Fleet Summary

This study provides observations for both the vehicles monitored and for the entire non-tactical fleet of vehicles identified within the Commands group. The study indicates that PEVs offer alternatives to vehicles in the existing fleet, provided any specific cargo requirements are met by the PEV. In general, a mixed fleet of BEVs and PHEVs is suggested.


The fleet of support vehicles in this study included four sedans, six minivans, one SUV, one cargo van, one passenger van, and five pickup trucks. Section 3.4 provides information on PEVs currently or soon to be available in the automotive market. Without consideration of specific cargo requirements, replacement PEVs currently exist for all of these vehicles. Based on these travel data, Intertek suggests that replacing these 18 vehicles with 11 BEVs and seven PHEVs would meet current mission requirements. Section 5 identifies potential replacement PEVs and Appendix D provides specific recommendations.

The vehicles studied were utilized on $45 \%$ of the study days and averaged 1.2 hours of use per day. While daily usage was quite low, the vehicles were used often enough that eliminating a vehicle is not recommended.

Considering a full complement of 69 support vehicles in the Commands fleet, Intertek suggests that a mixed fleet may be possible. Based on the data
 collected for the monitored vehicles, Intertek suggests a fleet of 42 BEVs and 27 PHEVs conservatively meets vehicle travel requirements.

With potential replacement by PEVs established, Section 5 provides further evaluation of the benefits of such replacements. This will be factored into further observations and suggestions related to the business case and schedule for any replacements for the Commands fleet. Those observations will be addressed in Task 4 of this project.

### 4.2 Analysis Results - Departments Fleet

The Departments fleet contains 106 vehicles. Table 11 identifies these vehicles by vehicle type according to site records. The mission assignments identified in Table 11 are based on agency records and general assumptions. Forty-two vehicles were monitored as part of this study, including 15 sedans, three minivans, two SUVS, one cargo van, eight passenger vans, and 13 pickup trucks. This section summarizes and aggregates data collection for the Departments fleet. The details of each vehicle monitored are included in Appendix C. Appendix E presents the full detailed analysis for the vehicles monitored and for the group as a whole.

Table 11. Departments total fleet characterization.

| Mission | Sedan <br> Compact | Sedan <br> Midsize | Sedan <br> Large | Mini- <br> van | SUV | Cargo <br> Van | Pass. <br> Van | Pickup <br> Truck | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pool | 1 | 7 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| Support | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | - | 18 | 7 | 23 | 60 |
| Enforcement | - | 2 | 8 | - | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| Transport | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Total | 4 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 23 | 14 | 26 | 106 |

Grouping the vehicles by mission creates an aggregated view of mission requirements to provide observations related to PEV replacement. Analysis by mission type is provided in the following subsections.

### 4.2.1 Departments Group Pool Vehicles Analysis

Pool vehicles typically are light-duty motor vehicles for use in passenger transportation, with not more than 10 passengers. Pool missions can vary by agency, location, and jurisdiction. For the Departments group, the pool vehicles include four pickups, two passenger vans, and two minivans. Although currently there are no PEVs available to replace passenger vans, it is assumed that usage of these pool vehicles can be of value in considering the remaining pool vehicles in the Departments fleet. Pickups and minivans may be replaced by currently available PEVs.

Incorporation of BEVs and/or PHEVs into the pool mission is a definite possibility. Pool vehicles used for shorter trips or outings qualify for BEV or PHEV replacement, while other pool vehicle activities that are associated with longer trips may require PHEV capabilities.
4.2.1.1 Summary for Departments Group Pool Vehicles. Appendix C provides the vehicle data sheets for each of the nine pool vehicles monitored. This section aggregates data for all pool vehicles. Table 12 summarizes pool vehicle travel during the study period for those days in which the vehicle was driven. Vehicle use occurred primarily between 0700 and 1500 hours daily and they traveled 9,525 miles, logged 247 hours, and idled for 21 hours during the 47 -day study period.

Table 12. Pool vehicles travel summary.

|  | Pool Vehicles Travel Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $80.8 / 396.6$ | $92.5 / 1,008.4$ | $16.8 / 162.1$ | 9,525 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $125.4 / 486.0$ | $143.7 / 1,202.0$ | $26.2 / 237.0$ | 14,802 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $10.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 12.2/NA | $2.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 1,255 |

Figure 22 shows the travel history for the Departments group vehicles. Figure 23 shows the mostly typical day-shift operation.

The distance a PEV can travel in CD mode between charge opportunities is the most important factor in considering vehicle replacement. The two most significant factors in vehicle analysis include vehicle daily travel and vehicle outings. Section 2.3 provides the definitions of these terms. Figure 24 shows the travel summary for all monitored pool vehicles.

The average travel distance per day when driven for pool vehicles was 80.8 miles. On $51 \%$ of the vehicle travel days, the daily travel was less than the 70 miles considered to be within the BEV safe range (blue and green bars in Figure 24). Meanwhile, $48 \%$ of vehicle travel days were less than the 40 miles considered to be within the CD range of a PHEV (green bars of Figure 24).

The pool vehicles were used, on average, $28 \%$ of the study days. However, there were periods where each vehicle operated several days in a row and days that several vehicles were in use. Figure 25 shows the outings for all vehicles. It does not include outings of 559 and 1,008 miles for clarity of scale.

Appendix E provides the details of each of the pool vehicle's outings. The average travel outing when driven for pool vehicles was 92.5 miles, with $54 \%$ of the outings being less than the 70 miles considered to be within the BEV safe range. Furthermore, $53 \%$ of pool outings were less than the 40 miles considered to be within the CD mode range of a PHEV.


Figure 22. Departments group support vehicles history.


Figure 23. Departments group daily use profile.


Figure 24. Pool vehicle daily travel miles and time (all vehicles).


Figure 25. Pool vehicle combined outings.
4.2.1.2 Pool Vehicle Observations/Summary. Approximately half of the daily travel and outings were within the capabilities of BEVs. However, reviewing the individual vehicle data sheets identifies that all but one typically exceeded the BEV range. Thus, a more conservative approach is required. PHEVs would provide benefits for the remaining pool vehicles.

The fleet of pool vehicles in this study included one pickup truck, one minivan, six sedans, and one passenger van. Vehicles in the pool fleet have replacements types available and Intertek would suggest that one suitably styled BEV and eight PHEVs could meet mission requirements. Section 3.4 provides information on PEVs currently or soon to be available in the automotive market and Section 5 provides details on the suggestions.

Considering a full complement of 20 pool vehicles in the entire Departments group fleet, Intertek suggests that in extrapolating the collected data to the entire fleet, a mixed fleet of two BEVs and 18 PHEVs is possible.

The vehicle summary shows sufficient time for charging at the base location during the course of the day and additional opportunities at intermediate charging stations. These stations also provide charging opportunities for the visiting public, whose fees may assist in offsetting operating costs.
4.2.1.3 Pool Vehicle Charging Needs. Upon review of these data, Intertek suggests replacement of the Departments pool fleet with two BEVs and 18 PHEVs.

As noted previously, AC Level 2 overnight charging of BEVs is typical, whereas overnight charging of PHEVs can be accomplished with AC Level 1 charging.

Intertek's experience suggests that each vehicle have an assigned charging location at their home base. Assigned stations require less management attention to ensure completion of overnight charging. BEVs and PHEVs not assigned to these locations also benefit during visits to the location as part of their normal operation. Intertek recommends a minimum of two EVSE at each location to maximize charge capability without a significant increase in installation costs. The PHEVs can utilize the AC Level 2 EVSE at the home base during the day to increase the amount of vehicle miles traveled in CD mode.

At times, fleet vehicles obtain benefits from using public charging infrastructure. Figure 21 displays the availability of public charging for the NASWI area at the time of this writing. Because frequent travel was off base and to longer distances, there may be benefit in using public charging for PHEVs in the Departments group pool vehicles.

### 4.2.2 Departments Support Vehicles Analysis

Support vehicles provide a specific work function, facilitating the mission of a particular group. The vehicles are generally passenger or light-duty pickup trucks and may contain after-market modifications to support the mission. While assigned to maintenance and service areas, missions may vary depending on agency needs.

As shown above, Departments group support vehicles that were monitored included six sedans, 11 pickup trucks, two minivans, and seven passenger vans.
4.2.2.1 Summary for Departments Support Vehicles. Appendix C provides the vehicle data sheets for each of the 26 support vehicles monitored. This section aggregates the data for all support vehicles.

Table 13 summarizes support vehicle travel during the study period. The 26 support vehicles traveled 6,934 miles, logged 516 hours, and idled for 157 hours during the study period.
Table 13. Departments support vehicle travel summary.

|  | Support Vehicle Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $11.0 / 212.1$ | $19.4 / 1,008.4$ | $2.1 / 102.7$ | 6,934 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $49.0 / 338.0$ | $19.4 / 338.0$ | $9.2 / 192.0$ | 30,971 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $14.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $5.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 9,426 |  |

Figure 26 shows the travel history for the Departments group support vehicles. Figure 27 shows the mostly typical day-shift operation.

The distance a PEV can travel in CD mode between charge opportunities is the most important factor in considering vehicle replacement. The two most significant factors in vehicle analysis include the vehicle daily travel and vehicle outings. Section 2.3 provides the definitions of these terms. Figure 28 shows the travel summary for the support vehicles monitored.

The average travel distance per day when driven for support vehicles was 11 miles. On $97 \%$ of these vehicle days, the daily travel was less than the 70 miles considered to be within the BEV safe range. Meanwhile, 3\% percent of support vehicle daily travel was greater than 70 miles. Furthermore, $95 \%$ of vehicle travel days were less than the 40 miles considered to be within the CD mode range of a PHEV. Figure 29 shows the daily travel for all support vehicles combined.

Appendix C provides the details of each of the support vehicle's daily travel.


Figure 26. Departments group support vehicles history.


Figure 27. Departments group support vehicle daily use profile.


Figure 28. Departments group support vehicle daily travel miles and usage time (all vehicles).
The outings for all Department group support vehicles are shown in Figure 29.


Figure 29. Support vehicle combined outings.
The average travel outing, when driven, for support vehicles was 19.4 miles. On $98 \%$ of these vehicle outings, the distance traveled was less than the 70 miles considered to be within the BEV safe range. Furthermore, $98 \%$ of vehicle travel outings were less than the 40 miles considered to be within the CD mode range of a PHEV.
4.2.2.2 Departments Support Vehicle Observations/Summary. The vast majority of daily travel and outings are short and well within the capabilities of BEVs. This is consistent with the optimum goal to incorporate as many BEVs as possible to realize the advantages of reduced petroleum usage and reduced emissions of GHGs.

The fleet of support vehicles in this study included six sedans, 11 pickup trucks, two minivans, and seven passenger vans. Figure 28 would suggest that $97 \%$ of the support vehicles could be BEVs, but this does not allow for more flexibility. Intertek would suggest 18 suitably styled BEVs and eight PHEVs could meet mission requirements. Section 3.4 provides information on PEVs currently or soon to be available in the automotive market and Section 5 provides details on the suggestions.

Considering a full complement of 60 support vehicles in the entire Departments group support fleet, Intertek suggests that in extrapolating the collected data to the entire fleet, a mixed fleet may of 42 BEVs and 18 PHEVs may be possible.

The vehicle summary shows sufficient time for charging at the base location during the course of the day and additional opportunities at intermediate charging stations. These stations also provide charging opportunities for the visiting public, whose fees may assist in offsetting operating costs.
4.2.2.3 Departments Support Vehicle Charging Needs. As noted above, AC Level 2 overnight charging of BEVs is typical, whereas overnight charging of PHEVs can be accomplished with AC Level 1 charging. Opportunity charging at intermediate stops obtains greater benefits from AC Level 2 EVSE.

Greater management attention provides the possibility of reducing the overall number of AC Level 2 EVSE units. A ratio of two AC Level 2 charging stations to three vehicles typically sustains a normal fleet operation. Fleet managers rotate vehicles on the charger to complete charging of all vehicles in the allotted time. This analysis does assume a fully recharged battery at the start of each day. NASWI will gain experience in this management as the PEV fleet grows.

### 4.2.3 Departments Enforcement Vehicles Analysis

Enforcement vehicles are typically light-duty motor vehicles specifically approved in an agency's appropriation act for use in apprehension, surveillance, police, or other law enforcement work. Enforcement missions can vary by agency, location, and jurisdiction; however, they typically utilize sedans, minivans, vans, or small pickup trucks and typically do not carry specific cargo or equipment.

As shown above, Departments group enforcement vehicles that were monitored included three sedans, two SUVs, one pickup truck, and one cargo van.
4.2.3.1 Summary for Departments Enforcement Vehicles. Appendix C provides the vehicle data sheets for each of the seven enforcement vehicles monitored. This section aggregates the data for all enforcement vehicles.

Table 14 summarizes enforcement vehicle travel during the study period. The seven enforcement vehicles traveled 15,219 miles, logged 1,322 hours, and idled for 526 hours during the study period.

Table 14. Enforcement vehicle travel summary.

|  | Enforcement Vehicle Travel Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $60.2 / 294.5$ | $16.5 / 232.5$ | $4.9 / 86.7$ | 15,219 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $313.5 / 1,232.0$ | $86.0 / 1,072.0$ | $25.5 / 555.0$ | 79,315 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $124.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $34.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $10.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 31,570 |

Figure 30 shows the travel history for enforcement vehicles. Figure 31 shows the daily all-day operation.

The distance a PEV can travel in CD mode between charge opportunities is the most important factor in considering vehicle replacement. The two most significant factors in vehicle analysis include the vehicle daily travel and vehicle outings. Section 2.3 provides the definitions of these terms. Figure 32 shows the travel summary for the enforcement vehicles monitored.

The average travel distance per day when driven for enforcement vehicles was 60.2 miles. On $70 \%$ of these vehicle days, the daily travel was less than the 70 miles considered to be within the BEV safe range. Meanwhile, $30 \%$ percent of enforcement vehicle daily travel was greater than 70 miles. Furthermore, $48 \%$ of vehicle travel days were less than the 40 miles considered to be within the CD mode range of a PHEV. Appendix C provides the details of each of the enforcement vehicle's daily travel and outings. The
outings summary for the enforcement vehicles is shown in Figure 33Error! Reference source not found.


Figure 30. Departments group enforcement vehicles history.


Figure 31. Departments group enforcement vehicles daily use profile.


Figure 32. Enforcement vehicle daily travel miles and usage time (all vehicles).


Figure 33. Enforcement vehicle combined outings.
The average travel outing when driven for enforcement vehicles was 16.5 miles. On $96 \%$ of these vehicle outings, the distance traveled was less than the 70 miles considered to be within the BEV safe range. Furthermore, $89 \%$ of vehicle travel outings were less than the 40 miles considered to be within the CD mode range of a PHEV.
4.2.3.2 Departments Enforcement Vehicle Observations/Summary. The vast majority of daily travel and outings were short and well within the capabilities of BEVs. This is consistent with the optimum goal to incorporate as many BEVs as possible to realize the advantages of reduced petroleum usage and reduced emissions of GHGs.

The fleet of pool vehicles in this study included three sedans, two SUVs, one pickup truck, and one cargo van. Figure 32 suggests $70 \%$ of this fleet could be BEVs; however, fleet managers typically desire vehicles that have no range limitations for most enforcement vehicles. Intertek would suggest that two suitably styled BEVs and five PHEVs could meet mission requirements. Section 3.4 provides information on PEVs currently or soon to be available in the automotive market and Section 5 provides details on the suggestions.

Considering a full complement of 25 enforcement vehicles in the entire Departments group enforcement fleet, Intertek suggests when extrapolating the collected data to the entire fleet that a mixed fleet of six BEVs and 19 PHEVs may be possible.

The vehicle summary shows sufficient time for charging at the base location during the course of the day and additional opportunities at intermediate charging stations. These stations also provide charging opportunities for the visiting public, whose fees may assist in offsetting operating costs.
4.2.3.3 Departments Enforcement Vehicle Charging Needs. As noted above, AC Level 2 overnight charging of BEVs is typical, whereas overnight charging of PHEVs can be accomplished with AC Level 1 charging. Opportunity charging at intermediate stops obtains greater benefits from AC Level 2 EVSE. Most vehicles returned to their home base daily, with the exception of long trips lasting several days.

Greater management attention provides the possibility of reducing the overall number of AC Level 2 EVSE units. A ratio of two AC Level 2 charging stations to three vehicles typically sustains a normal fleet operation. Fleet managers rotate vehicles on the charger to complete charging of all vehicles in the allotted time. This analysis does assume a fully recharged battery at the start of each day. NASWI will gain experience in this management as the PEV fleet grows.

### 4.2.4 Departments Transport Vehicles Analysis

Table 11 shows that the Departments group has one cargo van with the transport mission. Because it was not monitored, a suitable styled PHEV is suggested to provide replacement of this vehicle.

### 4.2.5 Departments Group Vehicles Mileage

The vehicle's annual miles factor into the calculations for replacement of the vehicle as noted in Section 5 . The actual miles measured during the study were extrapolated to identify the calculated annual miles in the study. NASWI also provided vehicle information that identified the average monthly miles and vehicle mileage.

For the entire Departments group fleet, the extrapolated study miles yielded 5,800 average annual miles per vehicle and the annual miles provided by NASWI averaged 5,600 miles per vehicle. This is relatively low mileage and, on an average basis, reflects positively on the use of BEVs in the fleet.

### 4.2.6 Departments Group Vehicle Utilization

Vehicle utilization is an important factor in the evaluation of vehicles from an inventory perspective and for charging availability. During the study period, the average daily utilization for the total Departments fleet was $50 \%$ or 23 days of the 47 -day study.

The average daily usage of each was 1.8 hours. In general, the vehicles were used on frequent days, but average usage per day was quite low.

### 4.2.7 Departments Group Summary

This study provides observations for both the vehicles monitored and for the entire non-tactical fleet of vehicles identified with the Departments group. The study indicates that PEVs offer alternatives to existing vehicles, provided that any specific cargo requirements may be met by the PEV. In general, a mixed fleet of BEVs and PHEVs is suggested.


The vehicles monitored in this study included 42 pool, support, and enforcement vehicles. They were used on $51 \%$ of the study days and averaged 1.7 hours of use per day. Based on the travel data, Intertek suggests that replacing the vehicles with 21 BEVs and 21 PHEVs would meet current mission requirements. Section 5 identifies potential replacement PEVs and Appendix E provides specific recommendations.

The Departments group's full fleet of vehicles contains 106 vehicles. Intertek suggests a fleet of 50 BEVs and 56 PHEVs would conservatively meet the balance of vehicle travel requirements.

With potential replacement by PEVs established, Section 5 and Appendix E provide further evaluation of the
 benefits of such replacements. This will be factored into further observations and suggestions related to the business case and schedule for any replacements for the Departments group. Those observations will be addressed in Task 4 of this project.

### 4.3 Analysis Results - Combined Groups

The full fleet in the study contains 175 vehicles, not including the low-speed vehicles and non-powered trailer type vehicles. Table 15 identifies these vehicles by vehicle type according to site records. The mission assignments identified in this table are based on survey responses received and extrapolated to the entire fleet.
Table 15. All fleet vehicles by type and mission.

| Mission | Sedan <br> Compact | Sedan <br> Midsize | Sedan <br> Large | Mini- <br> Van | SUV | Cargo | Pass. | Pickup |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Van | Truck | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pool | 1 | 7 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| Support | 4 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 36 | 12 | 40 | 116 |
| Enforcement | - | 2 | 8 | - | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| Transport | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | 14 |
| Total | 5 | 20 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 54 | 19 | 43 | 175 |

Grouping the vehicles by mission creates an aggregated view of mission requirements to provide observations related to PEV replacement. Analysis by mission type is provided in the following subsections.

### 4.3.1 All Vehicles Pool Analysis

All 20 pool vehicles are assigned to the Departments group and fully detailed in Section 4.2.1.

### 4.3.2 All Support Vehicles Analysis

Both Commands and Departments groups contain support vehicles as detailed in Sections 4.1.1 and 4.2.2. This section provides the combined analysis
4.3.2.1 Summary for All Support Vehicles. Table 16 summarizes support vehicle travel during the study period. Support vehicles traveled 16,766 miles, logged 1021 hours, and idled for 256 hours during the study period.
Table 16. Support vehicle travel summary.

| Support Vehicle Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day Average/Peak | Per Outing Average/Peak | Per Trip Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | 16.6/379.7 | 5.6/1,008.4 | 2.6/228.1 | 16,766 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | 60.5/458.0 | 20.6/1,043.0 | 9.6/264.0 | 61,279 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | 15.2/NA | 5.2/NA | 2.4/NA | 15,390 |

The history and shift profiles are shown in Figures 17, 18, 22, 23, 26, and 27. The combined graphics are similar.

The distance a PEV can travel in CD mode between charge opportunities is the most important factor in considering vehicle replacement. The two most significant factors in vehicle analysis include the vehicle's daily travel and vehicle outings. Figure 34 shows the travel summary for all support vehicles by vehicle.


Figure 34. All support vehicle daily travel miles and time.
The average travel distance per day when driven for support vehicles was 16.6 miles. On $95 \%$ of these vehicle days, the daily travel was less than the 70 miles considered to be within the BEV safe range. Meanwhile, $5 \%$ percent of support vehicle daily travel was greater than 70 miles. Furthermore, $92 \%$ of vehicle travel days were less than the 40 miles considered to be within the CD range of a PHEV.

Figure 35 shows the outings for all support vehicles combined. It does not show outings of 601, 713, and 1,008 miles for clarity of scale. All outings of greater than 70 miles represent $2 \%$ of all the travel and do not show clearly on the figure. Details are provided in the vehicle data sheets of Appendices B and C.


Figure 35. All vehicles support vehicle combined outings.
The average travel outing when driven for support vehicles was 5.6 miles. On $98 \%$ of these vehicle outings, the distance traveled was less than the 70 miles considered to be within the BEV safe range.

Meanwhile, 2\% of support outing travel was greater than 70 miles. Furthermore, $98 \%$ of vehicle travel outings were less than the 40 miles considered to be within the CD range of a PHEV.
4.3.2.2 All Vehicles Support Vehicle Observations/Summary. Combining the results noted in Sections 4.1.2 and 4.2.2 for support vehicles, Intertek would suggest that 18 BEVs and eight PHEVs could meet mission requirements for the vehicles monitored. Considering a full complement of 60 support vehicles in the entire fleet, Intertek suggests a mixed fleet of 42 BEVs and 18 PHEVs may be possible.

### 4.3.3 All Enforcement Vehicles Analysis

All 25 enforcement vehicles are assigned to the Departments group and fully analyzed in Section 4.2.3.

### 4.3.4 All Vehicles Transport Vehicles Analysis

The information provided by the Commands and Departments groups for transport vehicles are found in Sections 4.1.2 and 4.2.4, respectively. No transport vehicles were monitored, except for the single transport mission cargo van, where a PHEV was suggested.

### 4.3.5 All Vehicles Summary

This study provides observations for both the vehicles monitored and for the entire non-tactical fleet of vehicles identified with all vehicles. The study indicates that PEVs offer alternatives to vehicles in the existing fleet, provided any specific cargo requirements are met by the PEV. In general, a mixed fleet of BEVs and PHEVs is suggested.


The vehicles monitored in this study included 19 sedans, nine minivans, three SUVs, nine passenger vans, 18 pickup trucks, and two cargo vans (all conventional ICE based). Based on the travel data, Intertek suggests that replacing these vehicles with 32 PHEVs and 28 BEVs would meet current mission requirements. Section 5 identifies potential replacement PEVs and Appendices D and E provide specific recommendations.
The full fleet contains 175 vehicles. Intertek suggests that a fleet of 92 BEVs and 83 PHEVs would conservatively meet the vehicle travel requirements.

With potential replacement by PEVs established, Section 5 and Appendices D and E provide further evaluation of the benefits of these replacements. This will be factored into further observations and suggestions related to the business case and schedule for any replacements Commands and Departments groups. Those
 observations will be addressed in Task 4 of this project.

## 5. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AVOIDED AND FUEL COST REDUCTION ANALYSIS

### 5.1 Background and Methods

PEV substitution for an existing conventional vehicle avoids GHG emissions and reduces fuel costs. The GHG emissions avoided occur due to the difference in emissions associated with power plant electricity generation versus fuel combustion that occurs in the engine of a conventional vehicle. This analysis does not account for life-cycle emissions that occur outside of electricity generation and fuel combustion phases (i.e., materials and resource extraction, production supply chains, and decommissioning are not accounted for). These phases are beyond the scope of this report due to the
significant effort required to conduct an accurate environmental life-cycle assessment for a transportation system in a very specific setting. The analysis used is known as a "tank-to-wheel" analysis, rather than a "well-to-wheel" analysis that would include the aforementioned phases. Cost reduction also occurs because the cost of electricity is much less than the cost of gasoline and PEVs are more efficient than conventional ICE vehicles. Because fuel logs were not kept, the mileage accumulated by each vehicle during the study is compared to the average miles reported by NASWI. The NASWI report provides the source of fuel consumption estimates for the study vehicles.

Several PEV types are available for potential replacement in the NASWI fleets (see Section 3). For this analysis, Table 17 provides the vehicles suggested as replacement vehicles for those identified in the analysis of Section 4. The EPA class of the replacement PEV is the same or similar to the current vehicle class. The energy consumption values for each PEV are displayed with the vehicle; note that for PHEVs, the energy consumption is only for CD mode.
Table 17. PEV substitutions for current vehicles.

| Vehicle Class | Current Vehicle Example | Replacement PHEV | Replacement BEV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sedan Compact/Subcompact | Dodge Avenger | Chevrolet Volt $350 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ | Ford Focus Electric $310 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Sedan - Midsize/Large | Chevrolet Malibu | Ford Fusion Energi $370 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ | Nissan Leaf $300 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| SUV and Minivan | Chevrolet Tahoe | Mitsubishi Outlander PHEV $440 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ | Honda FIT EV <br> $290 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Pickup Truck | Dodge Dakota | Via Motors VTRUX $475 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ | Nissan eNV200 $400 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ |


| Vehicle Class | Current Vehicle Example | Replacement PHEV | Replacement BEV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pickup Truck (alternate) | Ford F150 | Mitsubishi Outlander PHEV $440 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ | Toyota RAV4 EV $440 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Cargo Van | Chevrolet G2500 | Via eREV Van <br> $475 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ | Nissan eNV200 $400 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ |
| Passenger Van | Ford E350 |  | Nissan eNV200 $400 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$ |

In order to perform the analysis, EPA fuel economy ratings are used. ${ }^{20}$ The ratings for each vehicle are recorded in the vehicle data sheets of Appendices B and C. Because these data are estimates, assumptions include the following:

1. PHEVs operate in CD mode only for the percentage of travel less than 40 miles per day. This is reasonable for most daily operations, as described in Section 4, and is conservative because additional charge time exists between most outings. It is also conservative in that the replacement PEV typically will have greater fuel economy when operating in CS mode. BEVs operate in electric mode for $100 \%$ of travel.
2. Annual miles in the study are compared to NASWI reported miles. NASWI reported miles are used because these records have been collected over a greater period. The NASWI reported miles are extrapolated to a full 365-day year. Miles in CD mode are the extrapolated miles times the percent of daily travel less than 40 miles for the PHEV replacement and full extrapolated miles for the BEV replacement.
3. Energy consumption for the Mitsubishi Outlander is the same as the RAV4 and energy consumption for the Via Motors vehicles and Nissan eNV200 are estimated because EPA has not yet created ratings for these vehicles.
4. SUV-type vehicles (as shown above) may replace some of the existing pickup trucks.

Calculations provided for GHG emissions and fuel savings include both a total U.S. perspective and a perspective for the local area. The electricity generation mix of power plants for the total United States is different from the local mix of generation in the NASWI area. Likewise, the national average cost for petroleum fuel is different from the local cost for fuel. This analysis includes both approaches in order to

[^12]allow for local evaluation and to provide the potential benefit for fleet vehicles in other locations of the United States that may be of interest. The final report from Intertek to Idaho National Laboratory primarily will consider the national figures.

For the GHG emissions avoided portion of the analysis, the GHG emissions (in pounds of carbon dioxide equivalent, which accounts for other GHGs such as methane and nitrous oxide [lb-CO $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}\right]$ ) from combustion of gasoline is $20.1 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e} /$ gallon. ${ }^{21}$ The United States' average for GHG emissions for the production of electricity is $1.53 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{kWh}{ }^{22}$

NASWI reported receiving base power from Puget Sound Energy. Puget Sound Energy reports a mix of its own generation and power provided by other sources. Puget Sound Energy's overall GHG emission intensity from generated and purchased electricity decreased from $1.03 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{kWh}$ in 2010 to $0.87 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{kWh}$ in 2011. ${ }^{23}$ The $0.87 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{kWh}$ emission rate reflects the high local reliance on hydroelectricity as the generation source and, thus, emissions are much lower than the national average.

GHG emissions avoided are the annual GHG emitted by the current vehicle (total annual gallons gasoline $\times$ GHG emissions/gallon) minus the annual GHG emitted by the replacement PEV (total annual $\mathrm{kWh} \times \mathrm{GHG}$ emissions $/ \mathrm{kWh}$ ). For the PHEVs, only the percentages of outings less than 40 miles are counted for the annual miles saved in CD mode.

For the cost-avoided piece of the analysis, current fuel prices are used. At this report, fuel costs for regular gasoline are $\$ 2.46 /$ gallon for the United States and $\$ 2.83 /$ gallon for the Washington area. ${ }^{24}$ Electrical cost assumptions are $0.13 \$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ for the United States and $0.057138 \$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ for industrial customers on Schedule 49 in the Puget Sound Energy service territory. ${ }^{25}$ Therefore, fuel costs savings are the current vehicle's calculated annual gasoline cost (total annual gallons gasoline $\times$ cost/gallon) minus the electricity cost (total annual $\mathrm{kWh} \times$ cost $/ \mathrm{kWh}$ ) of the replacement PEV traveling the same distance.

The miles calculated above for CD mode yields estimates for yearly GHG emissions avoided and fuel cost reductions. Appendices D and E provide the details of the results of analyses for each of the fleets studied. While the appendices provide both national and local figures, only the local NASWI area figures are reported here for clarity.

### 5.2 Monitored Vehicles Fuel Cost Reduction

Tables 18,19 , and 20 provide the summary of potential fuel cost reduction with the replacement of monitored vehicles in the mission identified with PEVs as noted in Appendices D and E. Only the local Washington State fuel costs are considered in these tables. However, because Washington State fuel costs are higher than the national average, local gasoline costs are higher than national figures. Also, because Puget Sound Energy relies more on cheaper hydroelectric power than the average of all national power providers, electrical fuel costs in Washington State are lower. The comparison to national averages occurs in the appendices.

Tables 18, 19, and 20 provide the results by vehicle mission for all monitored vehicles. Table 21 combines all missions for the overall monitored vehicle reductions.

In summary, if all possible monitored vehicles were replaced with PEVs, over 17,000 gallons of gasoline would be avoided each year. This would save over $\$ 42,000$ in gasoline fuel costs, while only using about $\$ 7,000$ of electricity. Appendices D and E provide the details of this information.

[^13]Table 18. Monitored pool mission PEV replacement fuel cost reduction.

| Annual Miles CD | Gas Gallons Saved | Gas Cost Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel Saving Local | Fuel Red Local\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33,203 | 1,761 | \$ 4,984 | \$ 794 | \$ 4,190 | 84\% |

Table 19. Monitored support mission PEV replacement fuel cost reduction (Washington State).
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Annual Miles } \\ \text { CD }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Gas } \\ \text { Gallons } \\ \text { Saved }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Gas Cost } \\ \text { Local }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Elect Fuel } \\ \text { Cost Local }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fuel Saving } \\ \text { Local }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fuel Red } \\ \text { Local\% }\end{array} \\ \hline 259,190 & 14,045 & \$ 39,747 & \$ & 5,579 & \$\end{array} 34,168\right)$

Table 20. Monitored enforcement mission PEV replacement fuel cost reduction (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel Saving <br> Local | Fuel Red <br> Local\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26,981 | 1,669 | $\$ 4,723$ | $\$$ | 545 | $\$$ |
| 4,178 | $88 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 21. Monitored vehicle PEV replacement fuel cost reduction (Washington State) all vehicles.

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel Saving <br> Local | Fuel Red <br> Local\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 319,373 | 17,475 | $\$ 49,454$ | $\$ 6,918$ | $\$ 42,536$ | $86 \%$ |

### 5.3 Monitored Vehicles Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction

Tables 22, 23, and 24 provide the summary of potential GHG emission reduction with the replacement of monitored vehicles with PEVs in the mission identified as noted in Appendices D and E. Only the local Washington State GHG reductions are considered in these tables. However, as mentioned previously, because NASWI receives it power from Puget Sound Energy and it relies more on cleaner hydroelectric power than the average of all national power providers, electrical emissions in Washington State are lower. Electrical emissions are significantly lower than gasoline emissions.

Table 22. Monitored pool mission PEV replacement GHG emission reduction (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | SHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 33,203 | 1,761 | 35,396 | 12,083 | 23,313 | $66 \%$ |

Table 23. Monitored support mission PEV replacement GHG emission reduction (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | SHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 259,190 | 14,045 | $\$ 282,301$ | $\$ 84,943$ | $\$ 197,357$ | $70 \%$ |

Table 24. Monitored enforcement mission PEV replacement GHG emission reduction (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 26,981 | 1,669 | 33,548 | 8,303 | 25,245 | $75 \%$ |

Tables 22, 23, and 24 provide the results by vehicle mission for all monitored vehicles. Table 25 combines all missions for the overall monitored vehicle reductions.

Table 25. Monitored vehicle PEV replacement GHG emission reduction (Washington State) all vehicles.

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 319,373 | 17,475 | 351,245 | 105,330 | 245,915 | $70 \%$ |

In summary, if all possible monitored conventional vehicles are replaced with PEVs, GHG emissions are reduced by over $245,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ per year.

### 5.4 Full Fleet Fuel and Greenhouse Gas Reduction

The full fleet contains 175 vehicles. Section 4 analysis suggests that a fleet of 92 BEVs and 83 PHEVs would conservatively meet the vehicle travel requirements. Table 26 shows the potential reductions in fuel costs and GHG emissions should these replacements occur.

Table 26. Projected fuel costs and GHG reduction potential for all vehicles (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel <br> Saving <br> Local | Fuel <br> Red <br> Local\% | GHG <br> Emission <br> ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 888,038 | 50,923 | $\$ 144,112$ | $\$ 20,513$ | $\$ 123,599$ | $86 \%$ | $1,026,453$ | 312,391 | 714,062 | $70 \%$ |

For the vehicles represented by these four groups, the annual potential fuel savings are over $\$ 120,000$ and the potential annual GHG reduction is over $700,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$.

## 6. OBSERVATIONS

Intertek appreciates the opportunity to present the results of this evaluation. The following observations provide input to the next phases of this study:

- Suggested PEV replacements lead to identification of charging infrastructure needs and locations to be reported separately as part of Task 3.
- Suggested PEV replacements can be considered with vehicle age to prepare a replacement schedule as part of Task 4.
- The replacement schedule will identify the charging infrastructure deployment schedule.
- Vehicle and EVSE schedules can factor into budget considerations for implementing vehicle replacements.
- Vehicle and EVSE schedules can factor into base objectives in fuel cost reductions and GHG emissions reductions.

The average vehicle travels approximately 5,090 miles per year. This is an average of 424 miles per month or less than 100 miles per week. This may reflect the opportunity to increase the percentage of BEVs over that analyzed in Section 5.

Intertek suggests that NASWI may wish to move forward in the near future with replacement of pool, support, and enforcement vehicles with PEVs as current budget and vehicle replacement schedules allow. Certainly, the vehicle types studied in this report may be candidates for immediate replacement.

## Appendix A

## Definitions

| Alternative fuel | An alternative fuel means any fuel other than gasoline and diesel fuels, such <br> as methanol, ethanol, and gaseous fuels (40 CFR 86.1803-01). A fuel type <br> other than petroleum-based gasoline or diesel as defined by the Energy <br> Policy Act (examples include ethanol, methanol, compressed natural gas, <br> propane, and electrical energy). |
| :--- | :--- |
| City fuel economy |  |
| City fuel economy means the city fuel economy determined by operating a |  |
| vehicle (or vehicles) over the driving schedule in the federal emission test |  |
| procedure or determined according to the vehicle-specific 5-cycle or derived |  |
| 5-cycle procedures (40 CFR 600.001). |  |


| Heavy light-duty truck | Heavy light-duty truck means any light-duty truck rated greater than <br> 6,000 lb GVWR. The light-duty truck 3 (LDT3) and LDT4 classifications <br> comprise the heavy light-duty truck category (40 CFR 86.1803-01). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway fuel economy <br> (Hwy MPG) | Highway fuel economy means the highway fuel economy determined either <br> by operating a vehicle (or vehicles) over the driving schedule in the federal <br> highway fuel economy test procedure or determined according to either the |
| vehicle-specific, 5-cycle equation, or the derived 5-cycle equation for |  |
| highway fuel economy (40 CFR 600.001). |  |
| Hybrid electric vehicle | Hybrid electric vehicle means a motor vehicle that draws propulsion energy <br> from onboard sources of stored energy that are both an internal combustion <br> engine or heat engine using consumable fuel and a rechargeable energy |
| storage system (such as a battery, capacitor, hydraulic accumulator, or |  |
| flywheel), where recharge energy for the energy storage system comes |  |
| solely from sources on board the vehicle. |  |


| LDT3 means any heavy light-duty truck up through 5,750-lb adjusted |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| loaded vehicle weight. |  |
| LDT4 means any heavy light-duty truck greater than 5,750-lb adjusted |  |
| loaded vehicle weight (US Government Printing Office 2009) |  |
| Light-duty vehicle means a passenger car or passenger car derivative |  |
| capable of seating 12 passengers or less. |  |
| Light-duty vehicle | Low-speed vehicle means a motor vehicle |
| (1) That is 4-wheeled |  |
| Low-speed vehicle |  |
| (2) Whose speed attainable in 1.6 km (1 mile) is more than 32 kilometers |  |
| per hour (20 miles per hour) and not more than 40 kilometers per hour |  |
| (25 miles per hour) on a paved level surface |  |
| (3) Whose GVWR is less than 1,361 kilograms (3,000 pounds) (49 CFR |  |
| 571.3 - Definitions). |  |
| Medium-duty passenger |  |
| Medium-duty passenger vehicle means any heavy-duty vehicle (as defined |  |
| in this subpart) with a GVWR of less than 10,000 pounds that is designed |  |
| vehicle | primarily for transportation of persons. The medium-duty passenger vehicle |
| definition does not include any vehicle which |  |
| (1) Is an "incomplete truck" as defined in this subpart |  |
| (2) Has a seating capacity of more than 12 persons |  |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Owning agency } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Owning agency means the executive agency that holds the vehicle title, } \\
\text { manufacturer's Certificate of Origin or is the lessee of a commercial lease. } \\
\text { This term does not apply to agencies that lease motor vehicles from the GSA } \\
\text { fleet (41 CFR Part 102-34.35). }\end{array} \\
\text { Passenger automobile } & \begin{array}{l}\text { A passenger automobile is any automobile (other than an automobile } \\
\text { capable of off-highway operation) manufactured primarily for use in the } \\
\text { transportation of not more than 10 individuals (49 CFR 523.4 - Passenger } \\
\text { automobile). A sedan or station wagon designed primarily to transport } \\
\text { people (41 CFR 102-34). } \\
\text { Pickup truck means a non-passenger automobile, which has a passenger } \\
\text { compartment and an open cargo bed (49 CFR 523.2). }\end{array}
$$ <br>

PHEV means a hybrid electric vehicle that has the capability to charge the\end{array}\right\}\)| Plug-in hybrid electric |
| :--- | :--- |
| battery from an off-vehicle electric source, such that the off-vehicle source |
| cannot be connected to the vehicle while the vehicle is in motion (40 CFR |
| 86.1803). |

## Appendix B

## Commands Group Vehicle Data Sheets

Table B-1. NASWI Commands Group vehicle index.

|  |  | Fleet |  | Vehicle Index |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sheet | Log | Vehicle Id | Make | Model | Year | EPA Class | Mission |  |  |
| 1 | 4 | G10-1138M | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2012 | Sedan - Midsize | Support |  |  |
| 2 | 7 | G10-1140M | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2012 | Sedan - Midsize | Support |  |  |
| 3 | 16 | G10-3576L | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2015 | Sedan - Midsize | Support |  |  |
| 4 | 19 | G10-7547F | Dodge | Avenger | 2008 | Sedan - Midsize | Support |  |  |
| 5 | 38 | G41-1136K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | 2010 | Minivan | Support |  |  |
| 6 | 40 | G41-1139K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | 2010 | Minivan | Support |  |  |
| 7 | 41 | G41-1140K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | 2010 | Minivan | Support |  |  |
| 8 | 43 | G41-1155K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | 2010 | Minivan | Support |  |  |
| 9 | 47 | G41-1351G | Dodge | Dakota | 2008 | Pickup | Support |  |  |
| 10 | 74 | G41-2911M | Chevrolet | Colorado | 2012 | Pickup | Support |  |  |
| 11 | 75 | G41-3153P | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | 2014 | Minivan | Support |  |  |
| 12 | 76 | G41-3159P | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | 2014 | Minivan | Support |  |  |
| 13 | 80 | G42-0587K | Chevrolet | Silverado | 2010 | Pickup | Support |  |  |
| 14 | 82 | G42-0590K | Chevrolet | Silverado | 2010 | Pickup | Support |  |  |
| 15 | 90 | G42-1232M | Ford | F150 | 2012 | Pickup | Support |  |  |
| 16 | 91 | G42-1281M | Ford | E-350 | 2013 | Van - Pass | Support |  |  |
| 17 | 100 | G43-3437B | Ford | E-350 | 2006 | Van - Cargo | Support |  |  |
| 18 | 103 | G61-0513K | Ford | Explorer | 2010 | SUV | Support |  |  |

Table B-2. NASWI Commands monitored vehicle summary

|  | Sedan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compact | Sedan <br> Midsize | Sedan <br> Large | Mini- | Can | Cargo | Pass. | Pickup |  |  |
| Mission | 1 | 3 | - | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 18 |
| Support |  |  |  |  | Van | Truck | Total |  |  |

Sheet 1


| Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2012 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Near Building 385, Lexington St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-1138M |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $22 / 33 / 2625 / 23 / 18$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO $_{2} /$ Mi) | $342 / 345$ |
| Study Logger ID | 4 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $19 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G10-1138M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $58.6 / 379.7$ | $48.4 / 713.2$ | $12.4 / 228.1$ | 1,114 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $98.9 / 395.0$ | $81.7 / 738.0$ | $20.9 / 220.0$ | 1,880 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $13.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $10 / 8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 249 |  |


| Total Stops |  | Stop Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 52 | $74.3 \%$ | Less than 2 | 54 |
| 10 to 20 | 8 | $11.4 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 1 |
| 20 to 40 | 1 | $1.4 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 9 | $12.8 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 14 |

G10-1138M Vehicle Stops


Figure B-1. Vehicle G10-1138 stops.


Figure B-2. Vehicle G10-1138 history.


Figure B-3. Vehicle G10-1138M travel and time-of-day graphs. Note: Outing graph does not show single outing of 713 miles for clarity of scale.

## Vehicle G10-1138M Observations

Logger 4 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 19 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for the Commands group. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 385 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 17,125 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 5,024 miles. The vehicle was used on $40 \%$ of the
 available days, with an average daily usage of 1.6 hours and a peak daily usage of 6.6 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during all hours of the day.

Figure B-3 shows that $74 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles, with $86 \%$ of the outings also within this range. Further, $74 \%$ of daily travel and $86 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel. However, significant travel does occur within the capabilities of a BEV and the fleet manager may consider a fleet of vehicles with a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 2

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2593, Orion St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-1140M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $22 / 33 / 26$ 25/23/18 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $342 / 345$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 7 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $13 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G10-1140M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $33.3 / 34.1$ | $24.1 / 33.7$ | $11.4 / 16.8$ | 433 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $58.7 / 66.0$ | $42.4 / 60.0$ | $20.1 / 33.0$ | 763 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $0.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 0 |


| Total Stops |  | Stop Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 21 | $61.8 \%$ | Less than 2 | 11 |
| 10 to 20 | 13 | $38.2 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 8 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 3 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 12 |



Figure B-4. Vehicle G10-1140M stops.


Figure B-5. Vehicle G10-1140M history.


Figure B-6. Vehicle G10-1140M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-1140M Observations

Logger 7 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 13 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for the Commands group. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2593 near Orion Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 9,185 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 2,756 miles. The vehicle was used on $28 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.0 hours and a peak daily usage of 1.1 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily
 during day-shift hours.

Figure B-6 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All of the outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was assigned a home base.

Sheet 3

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 993, Franklin St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-3576L |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 25/36/29 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 307 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 16 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 16/47 |


| Vehicle G10-3576L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $98.7 / 358.5$ | $121.5 / 601.0$ | $5.2 / 107.3$ | 1,579 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $154.6 / 458.0$ | $190.3 / 1,043.0$ | $8.2 / 106.0$ | 2,474 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $12.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $15.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 203 |


| Total Stops |  | Stop Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 16 | $15.5 \%$ | Less than 2 | 76 |
| 10 to 20 | 5 | $4.9 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 5 |
| 20 to 40 | 5 | $4.9 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 4 |
| 40 to 60 | 77 | $74.8 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 18 |



Figure B-7. Vehicle G10-3576L stops.


Figure B-8. Vehicle G10-3576L history.


Figure B-9. Vehicle G10-3576L travel and time-of-day graphs. Note: The highest outing of 601 miles is not shown for clarity of scale.

## Vehicle G10-3576L Observations

Logger 16 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 16 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $99.5 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for the hospital. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near the hospital (Building 993) on Franklin Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 1,720 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 9,000 miles. The vehicle was used on $34 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 2.6 hours and a peak daily usage of 7.6 hours on the
 days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening of the day.

Figure B-9 shows that 56\% of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $67 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $44 \%$ of daily travel and $67 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs. The peak outing of 601 miles occurred over a several day period when the vehicle was frequently offsite.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel, but a significant portion of this vehicle's travel was within the CD range of a PHEV. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 4

|  | Make/Model/Year | Dodge Avenger - 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 386, Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-7547F |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $19 / 27 / 2213 / 20 / 16$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $404 / 388$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 19 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $11 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G10-7547F Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $2.2 / 5.2$ | $2.7 / 12.0$ | $0.8 / 3.5$ | 24 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $18.5 / 80.0$ | $22.6 / 117.0$ | $6.8 / 67.0$ | 203 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $0.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $10.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $3.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 92 |  |


| Total Stops |  | Stop Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 19 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 6 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 1 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 2 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 10 |



Figure B-10. Vehicle G10-7547F stops.


Figure B-11. Vehicle G10-7547F history.


Figure B-12. Vehicle G10-7547F travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-7547F Observations

Logger 19 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 11 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for the VAQ. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 386 on Charles Porter Ave (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 17,566 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 2,464 miles. The vehicle was used on $23 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.3 hours and a peak daily usage of 1.3 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during normal day-shift hours.


Figure B-12 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and all outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was assigned a home base.

Sheet 5

|  | Make/Model/Year | Dodge Grand Caravan - 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Minivan |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2547, Essex Rd |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1136K |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $17 / 24 / 19$ 12/17/13 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $468 / 477$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 38 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $23 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1136K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $28.8 / 56.8$ | $2.5 / 11.4$ | $2.0 / 10.8$ | 661 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $176.0 / 310.0$ | $15.5 / 53.0$ | $12.4 / 53.0$ | 4,048 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $39.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $3.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 917 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 307 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 214 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 77 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 15 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 1 |



Figure B-13. Vehicle G41-1136K stops.


Figure B-14. Vehicle G41-1136K history.


Figure B-15. Vehicle G41-1136K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1136K Observations

Logger 38 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 23 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for FRC. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2547 near Essex Road (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 68,516 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 13,004 miles. The vehicle was used on $83 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 2.9 hours and a peak daily usage of 5.2 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during all hours of the day.


Figure B-15 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and all outings were also within this range. Further, $83 \%$ of daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was assigned a home base.

Sheet 6

|  | Make/Model/Year | Dodge Grand Caravan - 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Minivan |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 410, Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1139K |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 17/24/19 12/17/13 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 468/477 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 40 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 35/47 |


| Vehicle G41-1139K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $12.5 / 215.7$ | $3.3 / 216.7$ | $1.8 / 106.6$ | 438 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $48.8 / 319.0$ | $12.8 / 297.0$ | $6.9 / 143.0$ | 1,709 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $9.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 321 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 204 | $99.5 \%$ | Less than 2 | 143 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 17 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 12 |
| 40 to 60 | 1 | $0.5 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 33 |



Figure B-16. Vehicle G41-1139K stops.


Figure B-17. Vehicle G41-1139K history.


Figure B-18. Vehicle G41-1139K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1139K Observations

Logger 40 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 35days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for VP1. This vehicle’s data indicate it parks near Building 410 near N. Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 54,551 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 10,781 miles. The vehicle was used on $74 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.8 hours and a peak daily usage of 5.3 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening of the day.

Figure B-18 shows that $97 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and
 $99 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $97 \%$ of daily travel and $99 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs. A single excursion off-base to SEATAC airport and other locations accounted for the long outing.

A BEV could meet most of the daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at a home base. A PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 7

|  | Make/Model/Year | Dodge Grand Caravan - 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Minivan |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 410, Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1140K |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 17/24/19 12/17/13 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 468/477 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 41 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 23/47 |


| Vehicle G41-1140K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $6.2 / 27.4$ | $3.3 / 27.4$ | $1.3 / 26.1$ | 142 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $28.8 / 81.0$ | $15.4 / 84.0$ | $6.3 / 47.0$ | 663 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $4.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 108 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 84 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 48 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 6 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 9 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 21 |



Figure B-19. Vehicle G41-1140K stops.


Figure B-20. Vehicle G41-1140K history.


Figure B-21. Vehicle G41-1140K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1140K Observations

Logger 41 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 23 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for VQ1. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 410 near N. Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 9,773 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,635 miles. The vehicle was used on $49 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.5 hours and a peak daily usage of 1.4 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during the
 evening hours of the day.

Figure B-21 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 8


| Make/Model/Year | Dodge Grand Caravan - 2010 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Minivan |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 993, E Franklin St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1155K |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $17 / 24 / 1912 / 17 / 13$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO $2 /$ Mi) | $468 / 477$ |
| Study Logger ID | 43 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $20 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1155K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $28.7 / 134.8$ | $17.9 / 134.8$ | $7.8 / 44.9$ | 574 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $68.4 / 256.0$ | $42.7 / 256.0$ | $18.5 / 77.0$ | 1,367 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | 8.5/NA | $5.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 169 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 58 | $93.5 \%$ | Less than 2 | 38 |
| 10 to 20 | 2 | $3.2 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 4 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 2 |
| 40 to 60 | 2 | $3.2 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 18 |



Figure B-22. Vehicle G41-1155K stops.


Figure B-23. Vehicle G41-1155K history.


Figure B-24. Vehicle G41-1155K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1155K Observations

Logger 43 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 20 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for NHOH. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near the hospital (Building 993) near E. Franklin Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 23,361 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 4,995 miles. The vehicle was used on $43 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.1 hours and a peak daily usage of 4.3 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.


Figure B-24 shows that 95\% of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $97 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $85 \%$ of daily travel and $94 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. However, a PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 9


| Make/Model/Year | Dodge Dakota - 2008 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 2544, Prowler St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1351G |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $14 / 19 / 159 / 12 / 10$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $592 / 620$ |
| Study Logger ID | 47 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $32 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1351G Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $7.0 / 20.9$ | $1.0 / 12.1$ | $0.5 / 12.1$ | 223 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $62.6 / 153.0$ | $9.0 / 77.0$ | $4.5 / 43.0$ | 2,002 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $11.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 379 |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 387 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 321 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 23 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 14 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 26 |



Figure B-25. Vehicle G41-1351G stops.


Figure B-26 Vehicle G41-1351G history.


Figure B-27. Vehicle G41-1351G travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1351G Observations

Logger 47 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 32 days of the47-day study period. Validation occurred on $99.8 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for VP69. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2544 near Prowler Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 26,112 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 3,634 miles. The vehicle was used on $68 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.0 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.6 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening.


Figure B-27 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 10

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Colorado - 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Pickup |  |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 975, Midway St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-2911M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $18 / 25 / 21$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | 423 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 74 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $25 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-2911M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $32.3 / 124.3$ | $12.0 / 124.2$ | $5.8 / 51.4$ | 805 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $71.3 / 213.0$ | $26.6 / 181.0$ | $12.8 / 74.0$ | 1,783 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $14.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $5.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 366 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 116 | $92.1 \%$ | Less than 2 | 89 |
| 10 to 20 | 1 | $0.8 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 8 |
| 20 to 40 | 9 | $7.1 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 5 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 24 |



Figure B-28. Vehicle G41-2911M stops.


Figure B-29. Vehicle G41-2911M history.
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Figure B-30. Vehicle G41-2911M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-2911M Observations

Logger 74 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 25 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for VP69. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 975 near Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 4,925 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,184 miles. The vehicle was used on $53 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.2 hours and a peak daily usage of 3.6 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.


Figure B-30 shows that 88\% of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $91 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $60 \%$ of daily travel and $90 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at a home base. However, a PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 11

|  | Make/Model/Year | Dodge Grand Caravan- 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Minivan |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 385, Charles Porter <br> Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-3153P |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $17 / 25 / 2012 / 18 / 14$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO $/$ /Mi) | $444 / 434$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 75 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $6 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-3153P Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $1.5 / 2.0$ | $1.0 / 1.8$ | $0.5 / 0.9$ | 9 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $9.8 / 14.0$ | $6.6 / 9.0$ | $3.3 / 7.0$ | 59 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $0.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 3 |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 17 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 11 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 0 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 0 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 6 |



Figure B-31. Vehicle G41-3153P stops.


Figure B-32. Vehicle G41-3153P history.


Figure B-33. Vehicle G41-3153P travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-3153P Observations

Logger 75 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 6 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for CMS. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 385 on Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 683 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 744 miles. The vehicle was used on $13 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.2 hours and a peak daily usage of 0.2 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during day-shift hours.

Figure B-33 shows that all daily travel was within the
 typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 12

|  | Make/Model/Year | Dodge Grand Caravan- 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Minivan |  |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 976, Princeton St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-3159P |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $17 / 25 / 20$ 12/18/14 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $444 / 434$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 76 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $1 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-3159P Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient <br> data |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient <br> data |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient <br> data |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Less than 2 | Insufficient <br> data |
| 10 to 20 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 2 to 4 | Insufficient <br> data |
| 20 to 60 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 4 to 8 | Insufficient <br> data |
| Greater than 60 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Greater than 8 | Insufficient <br> data |



Figure B-34. Vehicle G41-3159P stops.

## Insufficient Data

Figure B-36. Vehicle G41-3159P travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-3159P Observations

Insufficient data were collected by Logger 76 on this vehicle. Although this was recognized early in the study period, it could not be resolved.

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 2,245 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,921 miles.

Sheet 13


| Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Silverado - 2010 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | No data |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-0587K |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 15/22/18 11/16/13 |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO 2 /Mi) | $494 / 477$ |
| Study Logger ID | 80 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days |  |


| Vehicle G42-0587K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration <br> (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Less than 2 | Insufficient data |
| 10 to 20 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 2 to 4 | Insufficient data |
| 20 to 40 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 4 to 8 | Insufficient data |
| 40 to 60 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Greater than 8 | Insufficient data |
| Insufficient Data |  |  |  |  |
| Insufficient Data |  |  |  |  |

Figure B-37. Vehicle G42-0587K stops.
Figure B-38. Vehicle G42-0587K history.
Insufficient data
Figure B-39. Vehicle G42-0587K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-0587K Observations

Insufficient data were collected by Logger 76 on this vehicle. Although this was recognized early in the study period, it could not be resolved.

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 14,415 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 2,798 miles.

Sheet 14


| Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Silverado - 2010 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 385, Lexington St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-0590K |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 15/22/18 11/16/13 |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $494 / 477$ |
| Study Logger ID | 82 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $32 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G42-0590K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $15.8 / 46.5$ | $8.7 / 33.4$ | $2.6 / 32.8$ | 505 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $82.4 / 246.0$ | $45.5 / 157.0$ | $13.3 / 131.0$ | 2.637 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $12.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $6.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 402 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 205 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 171 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 7 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 26 |



Figure B-40. Vehicle G42-0590K stops.


Figure B-41. Vehicle G42-0590K history.


Figure B-42. Vehicle G42-0590K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-0590K Observations

Logger 82 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 32 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for CDO. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 385 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 21,557 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 4,260 miles. The vehicle was used on $68 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.4 hours and a peak daily usage of 4.1 hours on the days it was
 used. The vehicle was used during late night and early morning hours.

Figure B-42 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, $94 \%$ of daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 15

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford F150 - 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Pickup |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2737, Lexington St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-1232M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $17 / 23 / 1912 / 17 / 14$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO $/$ /Mi) | $468 / 443$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 90 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $31 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G42-1232M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $5.0 / 11.5$ | $1.3 / 4.1$ | $0.6 / 2.3$ | 155 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $46.2 / 92.0$ | $11.6 / 52.0$ | $5.1 / 25.0$ | 1,431 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $5.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 154 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 243 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 187 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 18 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 7 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 31 |



Figure B-43. Vehicle G42-1232M stops.


Figure B-44. Vehicle G42-1232M history.


Figure B-45. Vehicle G42-1232M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-1232M Observations

Logger 90 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 31 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for NADEP. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2737 near N. Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 4,892 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,479 miles. The vehicle was used on $66 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.8 hours and a peak daily usage of 1.5 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure B-45 shows that all daily travel was within the typically
 advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford E350-2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2737,Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-1281M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 12/16/13 9/12/10 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 671/625 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 91 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 42/47 |


| Vehicle G42-1281M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/10.0/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $43.9 / 268.4$ | $7.4 / 213.0$ | $4.6 / 209.3$ | 1,845 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $136.8 / 427.0$ | $23.2 / 340.0$ | $14.3 / 264.0$ | 5,747 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $45.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $7.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $4.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 1,897 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 364 | $98.6 \%$ | Less than 2 | 264 |
| 10 to 20 | 2 | $0.5 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 54 |
| 20 to 40 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 23 |
| 40 to 60 | 2 | $0.5 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 28 |




Figure B-48. Vehicle G42-1281M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-1281M Observations

Logger 91 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 42 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Q129. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2737/112 near N. Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 28,079 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 12,725 miles. The vehicle was used on $89 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 2.3 hours and a peak daily usage of 7.1 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during all hours of the day.


Figure B-48 shows that $81 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $97 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $81 \%$ of daily travel and $97 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel. However, a PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 17

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford E350 - 2006 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Cargo |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2642, Essex Rd |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G43-3437B |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined)* | $13 / 17 / 15$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO 2 /Mi)* | 592 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 100 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $25 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G43-3437B Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $4.6 / 16.4$ | $1.7 / 13.1$ | $0.6 / 3.8$ | 114 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $47.9 / 149.0$ | $17.9 / 90.0$ | $6.1 / 64.0$ | 1,197 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $12.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $4.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 307 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 193 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 158 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 8 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 26 |



Figure B-49. Vehicle G43-3437B stops.


Figure B-50. Vehicle G43-3437B history.
*Fuel economy for 2006 E350 is unavailable. Information is for 2006 E150 van.


Figure B-51. Vehicle G43-3437B travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G43-3437B Observations

Logger 100 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 25 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $99.8 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Q209. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2642 on Essex Road (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 9,095 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 986 miles. The vehicle was used on $53 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.8 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.5 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.


Figure B-51 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 18

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford Explorer - 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | SUV |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2897, Ranger St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G61-0513K |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 15/21/17 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 523 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 103 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 28/47 |


| Vehicle G61-0513K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $43.2 / 261.9$ | $22.8 / 305.5$ | $8.9 / 89.8$ | 1,209 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $83.8 / 402.0$ | $44.2 / 441.0$ | $17.2 / 117.0$ | 2,345 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $14.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $7.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 397 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 84 | $71.8 \%$ | Less than 2 | 73 |
| 10 to 20 | 6 | $5.1 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 6 |
| 20 to 40 | 25 | $21.4 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 12 |
| 40 to 60 | 2 | $1.7 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 26 |



Figure B-52. Vehicle G61-0513K stops.


Figure B-53. Vehicle G61-0513K history.


Figure B-54. Vehicle G61-0513K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G61-0513K Observations

Logger 103 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 28 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Fire. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2897 on Ranger Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 38,881 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 7,229 miles. The vehicle was used on $60 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of
 1.4 hours and a peak daily usage of 6.7 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening.

Figure B-54 shows that 78\% of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $89 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $70 \%$ of daily travel and $89 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel. However, a PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

## Appendix C

## NASWI Departments Vehicle Data Sheets

Table C-1. NASWI Departments group vehicle index.

| Vehicle Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fleet Vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheet | Log | Id | Make | Model | Year | EPA Class | Mission |
| 19 | 2 | G10-0984N | Dodge | Avenger | 2008 | Sedan - Compact | Support |
| 20 | 3 | G10-1137M | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2012 | Sedan - Midsize | Support |
| 21 | 5 | G10-1139M | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2012 | Sedan - Midsize | Support |
| 22 | 8 | G10-1141M | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2012 | Sedan - Midsize | Support |
| 23 | 9 | G10-2844L | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2011 | Sedan - Midsize | Pool |
| 24 | 10 | G10-3590P | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2015 | Sedan - Midsize | Support |
| 25 | 13 | G10-2848L | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2011 | Sedan - Midsize | Pool |
| 26 | 14 | G10-2850L | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2011 | Sedan - Midsize | Pool |
| 27 | 15 | G10-2968L | Chevrolet | Malibu | 2011 | Sedan - Midsize | Pool |
| 28 | 17 | G10-5286H | Pontiac | G6 | 2009 | Sedan - Compact | Support |
| 29 | 18 | G42-1940N | Chevrolet | G1300 | 2014 | Van - Pass | Pool |
| 30 | 20 | G61-0245G | Ford | Escape | 2008 | SUV | Pool |
| 31 | 35 | G11-0472L | Chevrolet | Impala | 2011 | Sedan - Large | Enforcement |
| 32 | 36 | G11-1424L | Chevrolet | Impala | 2012 | Sedan - Large | Enforcement |
| 33 | 37 | G11-2898K | Chevrolet | Impala | 2014 | Sedan - Large | Enforcement |
| 34 | 39 | G41-1137K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | 2010 | Minivan | Support |
| 35 | 42 | G41-1142K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | 2010 | Minivan | Pool |
| 36 | 45 | G41-1349G | Dodge | Dakota | 2008 | Pickup | Support |
| 37 | 46 | G41-1350G | Dodge | Dakota | 2008 | Pickup | Support |
| 38 | 59 | G41-1536L | Dodge | Grd Caravan Exp | 2011 | Minivan | Support |
| 39 | 68 | G41-1597L | Dodge | Dakota | 2011 | Pickup | Support |
| 40 | 69 | G41-1763H | Ford | Ranger | 2009 | Pickup | Support |
| 41 | 70 | G41-1765H | Ford | Ranger | 2009 | Pickup | Support |
| 42 | 71 | G41-1767H | Ford | Ranger | 2009 | Pickup | Support |
| 43 | 73 | G41-1768H | Ford | Ranger | 2009 | Pickup | Support |
| 44 | 77 | G41-4334M | Chevrolet | Colorado | 2012 | Pickup | Support |
| 45 | 78 | G42-0334G | Chevrolet | G1300 | 2008 | Van - Pass | Pool |
| 46 | 79 | G42-0335G | Chevrolet | G1300 | 2008 | Van - Pass | Support |
| 47 | 83 | G42-0656K | Chevrolet | Silverado | 2010 | Pickup | Support |
| 48 | 84 | G42-0766L | Chevrolet | C1500 | 2011 | Pickup | Support |
| 49 | 86 | G42-0881H | Ford | F150 | 2009 | Pickup | Pool |
| 50 | 87 | G42-1222M | Chevrolet | G1300 Express | 2012 | Van - Pass | Support |
| 51 | 88 | G42-1223M | Chevrolet | G1300 Express | 2012 | Van - Pass | Support |
| 52 | 92 | G42-2035L | Chevrolet | Tahoe | 2012 | SUV | Enforcement |
| 53 | 94 | G43-0895K | Ford | E-350 | 2010 | Van - Pass | Support |
| 54 | 95 | G43-0896K | Ford | E-350 | 2010 | Van - Pass | Support |
| 55 | 96 | G43-0936K | Chevrolet | C2500HD | 2011 | Van - Cargo | Enforcement |
| 56 | 98 | G43-2287M | Chevrolet | G2300 | 2012 | Van - Pass | Support |


| Fleet Vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vehicle Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheet | Log | Id | Make | Model | Year | EPA Class | Mission |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | 99 | G43-2288M | Chevrolet | G2300 Express | 2012 | Van - Pass | Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | 104 | G62-0203H | Ford | F150 | 2009 | Pickup | Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | 108 | G62-0871K | Ford | Expedition | 2010 | SUV | Enforcement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 110 | G62-2420P | Ford | FI50 SSV | 2014 | Pickup | Enforcement |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C-2. Departments total fleet characterization.

| Mission | Sedan <br> Compact | Sedan <br> Midsize | Sedan <br> Large | Mini- <br> Van | SUV | Cargo <br> Van | Pass. <br> Van | Pickup <br> Truck | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pool | 2 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Support | 2 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 7 | 11 | 26 |
| Enforcement |  |  | 3 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 7 |
| Total | 4 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 42 |

Sheet 19

|  | Make/Model/ ear | Dodge Avenger - 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Compact |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 382, Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-0984N |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 19/27/22 13/20/16 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 404/388 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 2 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 26/47 |


| Vehicle G10-0984N Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $9.3 / 261.9$ | $3.9 / 305.5$ | $1.6 / 89.8$ | 243 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $48.0 / 402.0$ | $19.8 / 441.0$ | $8.4 / 117.0$ | 1,247 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $16.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $7.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $3.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 440 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 131 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 94 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 10 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 2 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 25 |

G10-0984N Vehicle Stops


Figure C-1. Vehicle G10-0984N stops.


Figure C-2. Vehicle G10-0984N history.


Figure C-3. Vehicle G10-0984N travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-0984N Observations

Logger 2 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 26 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $99.7 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Galley. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 382 near N. Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 29,008 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 4,015 miles. The vehicle was used on $55 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.8 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.2 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.


Figure C-3 shows all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.


| Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2012 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 243, Midway St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-1137M |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $22 / 33 / 2615 / 23 / 18$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $342 / 345$ |
| Study Logger ID | 3 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $30 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G10-1137M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $6.7 / 25.0$ | $4.4 / 19.1$ | $2.0 / 11.8$ | 200 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $81.8 / 198.0$ | $53.4 / 198.0$ | $24.1 / 192.0$ | 2,455 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $49.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $32.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $14.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 1,477 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 85 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 54 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 5 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 2 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 24 |



Figure C-4. Vehicle G10-1137M stops.


Figure C-5. Vehicle G10-1137M history.


Figure C- 6. Vehicle G10-1137M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-1137M Observations

Logger 3 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 30 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on 82.6\% of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Fuels. This vehicle’s data indicate it parks near Building 243 near Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 11,215 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 3,434 miles. The vehicle was used on $64 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.4 hours and a peak daily usage of 3.3 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-6 shows all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 103, Eisenhower St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-1139M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 22/33/26 15/23/18 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 342/345 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 5 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 31/47 |


| Vehicle G10-1139M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $34.2 / 145.7$ | $12.5 / 130.5$ | $4.6 / 63.5$ | 1,059 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $87.0 / 240.0$ | $31.7 / 197.0$ | $11.8 / 107.0$ | 2,696 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $13.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $5.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 426 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 196 | $91.6 \%$ | Less than 2 | 171 |
| 10 to 20 | 2 | $0.9 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 12 |
| 20 to 40 | 16 | $7.5 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 30 |



Figure C-7. Vehicle G10-1139M stops.


Figure C-8. Vehicle G10-1139M history.


Figure C-9. Vehicle G10-1139M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-1139M Observations

Logger 5 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 31 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Public Works. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 103 near Eisenhower Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 16,019 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 5,200 miles. The vehicle was used on $66 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.4 hours and a peak daily usage of 1.8 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-9 shows that $84 \%$ of daily travel was within
 e typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $94 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $74 \%$ of daily travel and $93 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. However, a PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 22

|  | Make/Model/Year |  | Chevrolet Malibu - 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size |  | Sedan - Midsize |  |
|  | Mission |  | Support |  |
|  | Contact |  | NAVFAC NW |  |
|  | Parking Location |  | Building 103, Eisenhower St |  |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID |  | G10-1141M |  |
|  | Fuel Type |  | Gas/E85 |  |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) |  | 22/33/26 15/23/18 |  |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) |  | 342/345 |  |
|  | Study Logger ID |  | 8 |  |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days |  | 31/47 |  |
| Vehicle G10-1141M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
|  | Per Day Average/Peak | Per Outing Average/Peak | Per Trip Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | 38.0/143.0 | 16.3/128.9 | 4.9/73.4 | 1,025 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | 90.0/239.0 | 38.6/231.0 | 11.6/140.0 | 2,430 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | 11.9/NA | 5.1/NA | 1.5/NA | 320 |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 174 | $91.6 \%$ | Less than 2 | 154 |
| 10 to 20 | 6 | $3.2 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 9 |
| 20 to 40 | 7 | $3.7 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 3 | $1.6 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 26 |

G10-1141M Vehicle Stops


Figure C-10. Vehicle G10-1141M stops.


Figure C-11. Vehicle G10-1141M history.


Figure C-12. Vehicle G10-1141M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-1141M Observations

Logger 8 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 27 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Public Works. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 103 near Eisenhower Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 24,026 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 7,061 miles. The vehicle was used on $57 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.5 hours
 and a peak daily usage of 2.3 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-12 shows that $78 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $90 \%$ of outings were also within this range. Further, $70 \%$ of daily travel and $89 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. However, a PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 23

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
|  | Mission | Pool |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 124, Midway St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-2844L |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 22/33/26 15/23/18 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 342/345 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 9 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 12/47 |


| Vehicle G10-2844L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $120.0 / 264.5$ | $130.9 / 264.5$ | $22.5 / 130.3$ | 1,439 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $181.2 / 352.0$ | $197.6 / 390.0$ | $34.0 / 174.0$ | 2,174 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $11.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $12.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 141 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 24 | $41.4 \%$ | Less than 2 | 39 |
| 10 to 20 | 10 | $17.2 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 5 |
| 20 to 40 | 13 | $22.4 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 2 |
| Greater than 40 | 11 | $19.0 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 12 |



Figure C-13. Vehicle G10-2844L stops.


Figure C-14. Vehicle G10-2844L history.


Figure C-15. Vehicle G10-2844L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-2844L Observations

Logger 9 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 12 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 124 on Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 53,998 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 12,943 miles. The vehicle was used on $26 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 3.0 hours and a peak daily usage of 5.9 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.


Figure C-15 shows that $17 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $18 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $17 \%$ of daily travel and $18 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel because of the frequent long distances. However, a PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of enforcement vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building LDG 243, Midway St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-3590P |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $25 / 36 / 29$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | 307 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 10 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $14 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G10-3590P Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $6.7 / 14.8$ | $5.2 / 14.3$ | $1.6 / 8.8$ | 94 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $21.9 / 46.0$ | $17.1 / 46.0$ | $5.1 / 27.0$ | 307 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $0.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 6 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 42 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 25 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 3 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 0 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 14 |

G10-3590P Vehicle Stops


Figure C-16. Vehicle G10-3590P stops.


Figure C-17. Vehicle G10-3590P history.


Figure C-18. Vehicle G10-3590P travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-3590P Observations

Logger 10 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 14 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Safety. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 243 on Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 1,215 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 9,708 miles. The vehicle was used on $30 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.4 hours and a peak daily usage of 0.8 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during
 day-shift hours.

Figure C-18 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.


| Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2011 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
| Mission | Pool |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 124, Midway St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-2848L |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $22 / 33 / 2615 / 23 / 18$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $342 / 345$ |
| Study Logger ID | 13 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $15 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G10-2848L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $76.5 / 268.0$ | $76.5 / 263.8$ | $11.8 / 133.9$ | 1,148 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $140.3 / 374.0$ | $140.3 / 377.0$ | $21.7 / 178.0$ | 2,104 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $15.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $15.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 228 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 60 | $70.6 \%$ | Less than 2 | 67 |
| 10 to 20 | 9 | $10.6 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 2 |
| 20 to 40 | 1 | $1.2 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 2 |
| Greater than 40 | 15 | $17.7 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 14 |



Figure C-19. Vehicle G10-2848L stops.


Figure C-20. Vehicle G10-2848L history.


Figure C-21. Vehicle G10-2848L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-2848L Observations

Logger 13 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 15 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 124 on Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 50,134 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 12,118 miles. The vehicle was used on $30 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 2.3 hours and a peak daily usage of 6.2 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-21 shows that $60 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $60 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $53 \%$ of daily travel and $60 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel because of frequent long distances. However, a PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 26


| Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2011 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
| Mission | Pool |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 124, Midway St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-2850L |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $22 / 33 / 2615 / 23 / 18$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $342 / 345$ |
| Study Logger ID | 14 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $16 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G10-2850L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $82.7 / 267.7$ | $120.3 / 267.7$ | $21.0 / 133.9$ | 1,323 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $121.3 / 316.0$ | $176.5 / 316.0$ | $30.8 / 157.0$ | 1,941 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $7.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $11.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 121 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 26 | $45.6 \%$ | Less than 2 | 37 |
| 10 to 20 | 12 | $21.1 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 1 |
| 20 to 40 | 10 | $17.5 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 2 |
| Greater than 40 | 9 | $15.8 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 17 |

## G10-2850L Vehicle Stops



Figure C-22. Vehicle G10-2850L stops.


Figure C-23. Vehicle G10-2850L history.


Figure C-24. Vehicle G10-2850L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-2850L Observations

Logger 14 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 16 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 124 on Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 51,272 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 12,371 miles. The vehicle was used on $34 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 2.0 hours and a peak daily usage of 5.3 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening.


Figure C-24 shows that $44 \%$ of daily travel as within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $27 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $38 \%$ of daily travel and $27 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel because of the frequent long distances traveled. However, a PHEV provides benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 27

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Malibu - 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Midsize |
|  | Mission | Pool |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 124, Midway St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-2968L |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 22/33/26 15/23/18 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 342/345 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 15 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 14/47 |


| Vehicle G10-2968L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $77.4 / 128.3$ | $60.2 / 244.5$ | $17.8 / 86.6$ | 1,083 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $112.4 / 180.0$ | $87.4 / 326.0$ | $25.8 / 98.0$ | 1,573 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $5.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $4.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 72 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 34 | $63.0 \%$ | Less than 2 | 35 |
| 10 to 20 | 2 | $3.7 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 0 |
| 20 to 40 | 17 | $31.5 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 4 |
| 40 to 60 | 1 | $1.9 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 15 |

G10-2968L Vehicle Stops


Figure C-25. Vehicle G10-2968K stops.


Figure C-26. Vehicle G10-2968K history.


Figure C-27. Vehicle G10-2968L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-2968L Observations

Logger 15 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 14 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 124 on Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 48,057 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 11,509 miles. The vehicle was used on $30 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.9 hours and a peak daily usage of 3.0 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.


Figure C-27 shows that $36 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $67 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $36 \%$ of daily travel and $67 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. One overnight trip was also encountered. However, PHEVs provide benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 28

|  | Make/Model/Year | Pontiac G6 - 2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Compact |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 960, Intruder St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G10-5286H |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $19 / 29 / 23$ 14/22/17 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $386 / 365$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 17 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $22 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G10-5286H Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $10.6 / 112.1$ | $5.8 / 112.1$ | $1.9 / 38.5$ | 232 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $35.2 / 266.0$ | $19.4 / 266.0$ | $6.5 / 76.0$ | 775 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $4.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 93 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 101 | $94.4 \%$ | Less than 2 | 76 |
| 10 to 20 | 4 | $3.7 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 8 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 2 | $1.9 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 22 |



Figure C-28. Vehicle G10-5286H stops.


Figure C-29. Vehicle G10-5286H history.


Figure C-30. Vehicle G10-5286H travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G10-5286H Observations

Logger 17 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 22 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for the Chapel. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 960 near Intruder Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 31,548 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 5,103 miles. The vehicle was used on $47 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.6 hours and a peak daily usage of 4.4 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours day and into the evening.

Figure C-30 shows that $96 \%$ of daily travel was within
 the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $98 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $96 \%$ of daily travel and $98 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at a home base. However, PHEVs provide benefit for the first 40 miles of a trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet G1300-2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |
|  | Mission | Pool |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 124, Midway St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-1940N |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 13/17/14 10/13/11 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 622/580 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 18 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 10/47 |


| Vehicle G42-1940N Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $176.2 / 396.6$ | $220.3 / 1,008.4$ | $28.0 / 162.1$ | 1,762 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $234.9 / 486.0$ | $293.6 / 1,202.0$ | $37.3 / 149.0$ | 2,349 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $13.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $17.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 138 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 23 | $39.0 \%$ | Less than 2 | 46 |
| 10 to 20 | 3 | $5.1 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 3 |
| 20 to 40 | 15 | $25.4 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 0 |
| Greater than 40 | 18 | $30.5 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 10 |



Figure C-31. Vehicle G42-1940N stops.


Figure C-32. Vehicle G42-1940N history.


Figure C-33. Vehicle G42-1940N travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-1940N Observations

Logger 18 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 10 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 124 on Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 3,732 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 7,464 miles. The vehicle was used on $21 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 3.9 hours and a peak daily usage of 8.1 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the
 evening.

Figure C-33 shows that 20\% of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $28 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $20 \%$ of daily travel and $14 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet daily travel because of the frequent long distance trips. However, PHEVs provide benefits for the first 40 miles of a trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford Escape - 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | SUV |
|  | Mission | Pool |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 124, Midway St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G61-0245G |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $19 / 24 / 21$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | 423 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 20 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $3 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G61-0245G Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $84.6 / 100.3$ | $126.9 / 153.4$ | $10.6 / 50.7$ | 254 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $150.0 / 152.0$ | $225.0 / 298.0$ | $18.8 / 79.0$ | 450 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $9.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $13.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 27 |  |


| Total Stops |  | Stop Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From Home <br> Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 6 | $30.0 \%$ | Less than 2 | 16 |
| 10 to 20 | 5 | $25.0 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 0 |
| 20 to 40 | 3 | $15.0 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 6 | $30.0 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 3 |

G61-0245G Vehicle Stops


Figure C-34. Vehicle G61-0245G stops.


Figure C-35. Vehicle G61-0245G history.
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A. All outings less than 70 miles
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Figure C-36. Vehicle G61-0245G travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G61-0245G Observations

Logger 20 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 3 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $94.3 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 124 on Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 60,585 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 8,655 miles. The vehicle was used on $6 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 2.5 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.5 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening.

Figure C-36 shows that none of the daily travel as within
 the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. None of the outings were within this range. Further, none of daily travel and none of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs. Only 3 days of travel were included in the study, but it was assumed that these 3 days were typical for this vehicle.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel, but PHEVs would provide benefit for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 31

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Impala - 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Large |
|  | Mission | Enforcement |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 994, Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G11-0472L |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG <br> (City/Hwy/Combined) | $19 / 29 / 2314 / 22 / 17$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO 2 /Mi) | $386 / 365$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 35 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $39 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G11-0472L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $34.5 / 137.0$ | $19.2 / 137.0$ | $4.7 / 49.9$ | 1,347 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $169.5 / 494.0$ | $94.4 / 592.0$ | $23.0 / 218.0$ | 6,610 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $75.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $42.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $10.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 2,949 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 258 | $98.5 \%$ | Less than 2 | 197 |
| 10 to 20 | 4 | $1.5 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 18 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 10 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 37 |

## G11-0472L Vehicle Stops



Figure C-37. Vehicle G11-0472L stops.


Figure C-38. Vehicle G11-0472L history.


Figure C-39. Vehicle G11-0472L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G11-0472L Observations

Logger 35 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 39 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has an enforcement mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 994 near Langley/N. Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 84,620 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,712 miles. The vehicle was used on $83 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 2.8 hours and a peak daily usage of 8.2 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during all hours of the day.

Figure C-39 shows that $85 \%$ of daily travel was within
 the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $96 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $64 \%$ of daily travel and $84 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. However, fleet managers typically do not prefer enforcement vehicles that contain range limitations. Thus, a fleet of enforcement vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 32

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Impala - 2012 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Large |
|  | Mission | Enforcement |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Farking Location | Building 994, Charles Porter |
| Ave |  |  |


| Vehicle G11-1424L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $47.4 / 183.9$ | $13.9 / 117.2$ | $4.3 / 44.2$ | 1,989 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $244.0 / 1,093.0$ | $71.7 / 949.0$ | $22.2 / 491.0$ | 10,248 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $99.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $29.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $9.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 4,179 |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 423 | $99.5 \%$ | Less than 2 | 351 |
| 10 to 20 | 2 | $0.5 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 27 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 17 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 30 |



Figure C-40. Vehicle G11-1424L stops.


Figure C-41. Vehicle G11-1424L history.


Figure C-42. Vehicle G11-1424L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G11-1424L Observations

Logger 36 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 42 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has an enforcement mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 994 near Langley/N. Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 72,580 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 20,109 miles. The vehicle was used on $89 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 4.1 hours and a peak daily usage of 18.2 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during all hours of the day.


Figure C-42 shows that $83 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $99 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $50 \%$ of daily travel and $92 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. In addition, fleet managers typically do not prefer enforcement vehicles that contain range limitations. Thus, a fleet of enforcement vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Impala - 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Sedan - Large |
|  | Mission | Enforcement |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 994, Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G11-2898K |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $19 / 29 / 22$ 14/20/16 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $399 / 384$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 37 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $40 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G11-2898K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $35.2 / 128.5$ | $11.2 / 68.2$ | $4.1 / 47.8$ | 1,406 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $186.0 / 748.0$ | $59.0 / 575.0$ | $21.5 / 555.0$ | 7,439 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $76.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $24.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $8.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 3,043 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 326 | $99.7 \%$ | Less than 2 | 248 |
| 10 to 20 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 29 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 1634 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 |  |



Figure C-43. Vehicle G11-2898K stops.


Figure C-44. Vehicle G11-2898K history.


Figure C-45. Vehicle G11-2898K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G11-2898K Observations

Logger 37 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 40 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has an enforcement mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 994 near Langley/N. Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 170 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 20,230 miles. The vehicle was used on $85 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 3.1 hours and a peak daily usage of 12.5 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during all hours of the day.


Figure C-45 shows that $92 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, $62 \%$ of daily travel and $93 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. However, fleet managers typically do not prefer enforcement vehicles that contain range limitations. Thus, a fleet of enforcement vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 34

|  | Make/Model/Year | Dodge Grand Caravan - 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Minivan |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2556, Lexington St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1137K |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $17 / 24 / 19$ 12/17/13 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $468 / 477$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 39 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $10 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1137K travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $12.0 / 15.7$ | $9.2 / 15.7$ | $3.8 / 7.3$ | 120 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $36.5 / 52.0$ | $28.1 / 46.0$ | $11.4 / 28.0$ | 365 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $3.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 33 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 31 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 20 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 1 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 0 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 10 |

G41-1137K Vehicle Stops


Figure C-46. Vehicle G41-1137K stops.


Figure C-47. Vehicle G41-1137K history.


Figure C-48. Vehicle G41-1137K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1137K Observations

Logger 39 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 10 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Housing. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2556 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 9,309 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,783 miles. The vehicle was used on $21 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily
 usage of 0.6 hours and a peak daily usage of 0.9 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-48 shows that all travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 35

|  | Make/Model/Year | Dodge Grand Caravan - 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Minivan |
|  | Mission | Pool |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 385, Lexington St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1142K |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $17 / 24 / 19$ 12/17/13 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $468 / 477$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 42 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $21 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1142K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $40.6 / 246.2$ | $40.6 / 559.4$ | $9.7 / 130.1$ | 853 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $71.0 / 275.0$ | $71.0 / 891.0$ | $17.0 / 146.0$ | 1,492 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $7.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $7.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 160 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 57 | $67.9 \%$ | Less than 2 | 49 |
| 10 to 20 | 1 | $1.2 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 10 |
| 20 to 40 | 6 | $7.1 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 4 |
| Greater than 40 | 20 | $23.8 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 21 |



Figure C-49. Vehicle G41-1142K stops.


Figure C-50. Vehicle G41-1142K history.


Figure C-51. Vehicle G41-1142K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1142K Observations

Logger 42 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 21 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 385 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 36,101 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 7,011 miles. The vehicle was used on $45 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.2 hours and a peak daily usage of 4.6 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening.


Figure C-51 shows that $76 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $90 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $76 \%$ of daily travel and $90 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel because of the longer excursions. However, PHEVs provide benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 36


| Make/Model/Year | Dodge Dakota - 2008 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 378, Intruder St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1349G |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $14 / 19 / 159 / 12 / 10$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $592 / 620$ |
| Study Logger ID | 45 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $20 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1349G Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $5.7 / 12.8$ | $1.1 / 11.0$ | $0.6 / 5.0$ | 114 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $60.9 / 142.0$ | $11.7 / 45.0$ | $6.1 / 45.0$ | 1,217 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $24.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $4.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 480 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 169 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 126 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 17 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 14 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 12 |



Figure C-52. Vehicle G41-1349G stops.


Figure C-53. Vehicle G41-1349G history.


Figure C-54. Vehicle G41-1349G travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1349G Observations

Logger 45 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 20 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $99.5 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for CBQ. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 378 near Intruder Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 16,956 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 2,337 miles. The vehicle was used on $43 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.0 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.4 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during dayshift hours.


Figure C-54 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 37


| Make/Model/Year | Dodge Dakota - 2008 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 385, Lexington St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1350G |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $14 / 19 / 259 / 12 / 10$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $592 / 620$ |
| Study Logger ID | 46 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $16 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1350G Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $3.4 / 12.7$ | $2.0 / 10.3$ | $1.0 / 5.4$ | 54 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $15.5 / 40.0$ | $9.2 / 34.0$ | $4.6 / 15.0$ | 248 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $2.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 42 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 44 | $100 \%$ | Less than 24 | 24 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 3 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 3 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 14 |

G41-1350G Vehicle Stops


Figure B-55. Vehicle G41-1350G stops.


Figure B-56. Vehicle G41-1350G history.


Figure C-57. Vehicle G41-1350G travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1350G Observations

Logger 46 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 16 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for FAC. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 385 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 7,238 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 926 miles. The vehicle was used on $34 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.3 hours and a peak daily usage of 0.7 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening.


Figure C-57 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 38

|  | Make/Model/Year | Dodge Grand Caravan - 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Minivan |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 103, Eisenhower St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1536L |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $17 / 25 / 2012 / 18 / 14$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $444 / 443$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 59 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $27 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1536L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $7.0 / 19.2$ | $4.8 / 19.2$ | $1.4 / 7.0$ | 190 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $28.7 / 91.0$ | $19.4 / 91.0$ | $5.5 / 29.0$ | 774 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $2.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 68 |  |


| Total Stops |  | Stop Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 121 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 87 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 6 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 27 |

G41-1536L Vehicle Stops


Figure C-58. Vehicle G41-1536L stops.


Figure C-59. Vehicle G41-1536L history.


Figure C-60. Vehicle G41-1536L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1536L Observations

Logger 59 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 27 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Public Works. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 103 near Eisenhower Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 6,901 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,364 miles. The vehicle was used on $57 \%$ of the available days, with an
 average daily usage of 0.5 hours and a peak daily usage of 1.5 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-60 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 39


| Make/Model/Year | Dodge Dakota - 2011 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 103, Eisenhower St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1597L |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $14 / 19 / 169 / 13 / 10$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $555 / 620$ |
| Study Logger ID | 68 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $30 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1597L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $6.8 / 17.4$ | $3.6 / 17.3$ | $1.4 / 16.2$ | 203 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $37.5 / 122.0$ | $19.7 / 122.0$ | $7.9 / 120.0$ | 1,125 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $10.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $5.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 300 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 136 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 94 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 9 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 4 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 29 |

G41-1597L Vehicle Stops


Figure C-61. Vehicle G41-1597L stops.


Figure C-62. Vehicle G41-1597L history.


Figure C-63. Vehicle G41-1597L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1597L Observations

Logger 68 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 30 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Public Works. This vehicle’s data indicate it parks near Building 103 near Eisenhower Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 16,980 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 4,065 miles. The vehicle was used on $64 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.6 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.0 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during day-shift hours.


Figure C-63 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 40

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford Ranger - 2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Pickup |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2734, Lexington St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1763H |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $19 / 24 / 21$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | 423 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 69 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $42 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1763H Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $3.9 / 12.1$ | $0.7 / 12.1$ | $0.6 / 6.5$ | 165 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $72.8 / 192.0$ | $12.9 / 109.0$ | $11.5 / 109.0$ | 3,056 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $50.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $8.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $7.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 2,099 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 174 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 91 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 31 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 12 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 40 |

G41-1763H Vehicle Stops


Figure C-64. Vehicle G41-1763H stops.


Figure C-64. Vehicle G41-1763H history.


Figure C-66. Vehicle G41-1763H travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1763H Observations

Logger 69 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 42 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Air Terminal. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 103 near Eisenhower Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 13,857 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 2,246 miles. The vehicle was used on $89 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.2 hours and a peak daily usage of 3.2 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening.


Figure C-66 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 41

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford Ranger - 2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Pickup |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | No data |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1765H |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $19 / 24 / 21$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | 423 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 70 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | Insufficient data |


| Vehicle G41-1765H Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration <br> (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Less than 2 | Insufficient data |
| 10 to 20 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 2 to 4 | Insufficient data |
| 20 to 40 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 4 to 8 | Insufficient data |
| 40 to 60 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Greater than 8 | Insufficient data |

Insufficient data
Insufficient data
Figure C-67. Vehicle G41-1765H stops.
Figure C-68. Vehicle G41-1765H history. Insufficient data

Figure C-69. Vehicle G41-1765H travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1765H Observations

Logger 76 collected insufficient data on this vehicle. Although this was recognized early in the study period, it could not be resolved.

NASWI reports the vehicle odometer indicated 19,085 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 3,073 miles.

Sheet 42


| Make/Model/Year | Ford Ranger - 2009 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 103, Eisenhower St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1767H |
| Fuel Type | Gas |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $19 / 24 / 21$ |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | 423 |
| Study Logger ID | 71 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $21 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-1767H Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $11.2 / 28.8$ | $6.0 / 22.9$ | $2.4 / 21.0$ | 236 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $39.2 / 95.0$ | $21.1 / 63.0$ | $8.6 / 53.0$ | 824 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $2.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 52 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 89 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 63 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 4 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 2 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 20 |

G41-1767H Vehicle Stops


Figure C-70. Vehicle G41-1767H stops.


Figure C-71. Vehicle G41-1767H history.


Figure C-72. Vehicle G41-1767H travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1767H Observations

Logger 71 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 21 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Public Works. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 103 on Eisenhower Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 19,354 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 3,072 miles. The vehicle was used on $45 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.7 hours and a peak daily usage of 1.6 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-72 shows that all daily travel was within the
 typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 43

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford Ranger - 2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Pickup |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | No data |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-1768H |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $19 / 24 / 21$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | 423 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 73 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | Insufficient data |


| Vehicle G41-1768H Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration <br> (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Less than 2 | Insufficient data |
| 10 to 20 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 2 to 4 | Insufficient data |
| 20 to 40 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 4 to 8 | Insufficient data |
| 40 to 60 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Greater than 8 | Insufficient data |

Insufficient data
Figure C-73. Vehicle G41-1768H stops.

Insufficient data
Figure C-74. Vehicle G41-1768H history. Insufficient Data

Figure C-75. Vehicle G41-1768H travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-1768H Observations

Logger 73 collected insufficient data on this vehicle. Although this was recognized early in the study period, it could not be resolved.

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 11,571 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,896 miles.

Sheet 44

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Colorado - 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Pickup |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2699, Ranger St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G41-4334M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $18 / 25 / 21$ |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | 423 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 77 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $28 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G41-4334M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $7.3 / 137.3$ | $3.3 / 138.5$ | $1.2 / 48.3$ | 205 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $34.1 / 304.0$ | $15.4 / 315.0$ | $5.7 / 81.0$ | 954 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $10.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $4.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 286 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 123 | $95.3 \%$ | Less than 2 | 92 |
| 10 to 20 | 4 | $3.1 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 6 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 4 |
| 40 to 60 | 2 | $1.6 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 27 |



Figure C-76. Vehicle G41-4334M stops.


Figure C-77. Vehicle G41-4334M history.


Figure C-78. Vehicle G41-4334M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G41-4334M Observations

Logger 77 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 28 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for SAR. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2699 near Ranger Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 11,782 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 2,016 miles. The vehicle was used on $60 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.6 hours and a
 peak daily usage of 5.1 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-78 shows that $96 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $98 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $96 \%$ of daily travel and $98 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. However, PHEVs provide benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 45

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet G1300-2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |
|  | Mission | Pool |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | Building 124, Midway St |
|  | Fuel Type | G42-0334G |
|  | EPA Label/MPG <br> (City/Hwy/Combined)* | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO 2 /Mi)* | $13 / 17 / 1410 / 13 / 11$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | $635 / 564$ |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 78 |


| Vehicle G42-0334G Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $72.8 / 320.8$ | $145.6 / 424.8$ | $25.9 / 128.8$ | 1,165 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $114.1 / 450.0$ | $228.1 / 584.0$ | $40.6 / 237.0$ | 1,825 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $16.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $33.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $5.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 267 |  |


| Total Stops |  | Stop Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 30 | $75.0 \%$ | Less than 2 | 20 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 1 |
| 20 to 40 | 3 | $7.5 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 3 |
| Greater than 40 | 10 | $17.5 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 16 |



Figure C-80. Vehicle G42-0334G history.
*Fuel economy for G1300 is unavailable. Economy for G1500 is used.


Figure C-81. Vehicle G42-0334G travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-0334G Observations

Logger 78 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 16 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 124 on Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 51,997 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 7,170 miles. The vehicle was used on $34 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.9 hours and a peak daily usage of 7.5 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-81 shows that $56 \%$ of daily travel was within the
 typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $50 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $56 \%$ of daily travel and $50 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities. However, PHEVs provide benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 46

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet G1300 - 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2704, Prowler St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-0335G |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined)* | $13 / 17 / 14$ 10/13/11 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi)* | $635 / 564$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 79 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $8 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G42-0335G Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Stops |  | Stop Duration |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 |  |  | Less than 2 |  |
| 10 to 20 |  |  | 2 to 4 |  |
| 20 to 40 |  |  | 4 to 8 |  |
| 40 to 60 |  |  | Greater than 8 |  |

G42-0335G Venicle Stops


Figure C-82. Vehicle G42-0335G stops.
Figure C-83. Vehicle G42-0335G history.
*Fuel economy for G1300 is unavailable. Economy for G1500 is used.


Figure C-84. Vehicle G42-0335G travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-0335G Observations

Logger 79 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 8 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for TSCOMM. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2704 on Prowler Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 44,318 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 5,759 miles. The vehicle was used on $17 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.9 hours and a peak daily usage of 5.6 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-84 shows that $62 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $77 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $62 \%$ of daily travel and $77 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel. However, PHEVs provide benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.


| Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Silverado - 2010 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 103, Eisenhower St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-0656K |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 15/22/18 11/16/13 |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $494 / 477$ |
| Study Logger ID | 83 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $29 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G42-0656K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $4.1 / 16.6$ | $2.4 / 15.3$ | $1.1 / 7.5$ | 119 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $32.7 / 95.0$ | $19.4 / 68.0$ | $8.7 / 66.0$ | 949 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $12.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $7.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $3.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 374 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 105 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 66 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 5 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 5 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 29 |

G42-0656K Vehicle Stops


Figure C-85. Vehicle G42-0656K stops.


Figure C-86. Vehicle G42-0656K history.


Figure C-87. Vehicle G42-0656K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-0656K Observations

Logger 83 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 29 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Public Works. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 103 near Eisenhower Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 10,695 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 2,074 miles. The vehicle was used on $62 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.5 hours and a peak daily usage of 1.6 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during
 day-shift hours.

Figure C-87 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.


| Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet C1500 - 2011 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 2555, Langley Blvd |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-0766L |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 15/18/22 11/16/13 |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $494 / 477$ |
| Study Logger ID | 84 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $34 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G42-0766L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $20.2 / 122.1$ | $7.1 / 121.9$ | $3.7 / 61.6$ | 688 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $51.9 / 168.0$ | $18.2 / 164.0$ | $9.4 / 87.0$ | 1,765 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $5.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 196 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 178 | $97.8 \%$ | Less than 2 | 131 |
| 10 to 20 | 1 | $0.5 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 14 |
| 20 to 40 | 3 | $1.6 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 36 |



Figure C-88. Vehicle G42-0766L stops.


Figure C-89. Vehicle G42-0766L history.


Figure C-90. Vehicle G42-0766L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-0766L Observations

Logger 84 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 34 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Recycle. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2555 near Langley Boulevard (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 11,582 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 2,766 miles. The vehicle was used on $72 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.9 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.8 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during day-shift hours.


Figure C-90 shows that $91 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $97 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $88 \%$ of daily travel and $96 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. However, PHEVs provide benefit for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.


| Make/Model/Year | Ford F150 - 2009 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Pool |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | Building 124, Midway St |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-0881H |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 14/20/16 10/14/12 |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $555 / 517$ |
| Study Logger ID | 86 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $11 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G42-0881H Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $45.2 / 235.5$ | $55.2 / 239.5$ | $8.2 / 133.1$ | 497 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $81.3 / 415.0$ | $99.3 / 409.0$ | $14.7 / 166.0$ | 894 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $9.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $11.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 101 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 40 | $60.6 \%$ | Less than 2 | 51 |
| 10 to 20 | 2 | $3.0 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 4 |
| 20 to 40 | 5 | $7.6 \%$ | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| Greater than 40 | 19 | $28.8 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 10 |



Figure C-91. Vehicle G42-0881H stops.


Figure C-92. Vehicle G42-0881H history.


Figure C-93. Vehicle G42-0881H travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-0881H Observations

Logger 86 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 11 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 124 on Midway Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 50,830 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 8,243 miles. The vehicle was used on $23 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.4 hours and a peak daily usage of 6.9 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.


Figure C-93 shows that $82 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $78 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $82 \%$ of daily travel and $78 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel. However, PHEVs provide benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet G1300 Exp - 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 385, Lexington St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-1222M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined)* | 13/17/14 10/13/11 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ )* | 635/564 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 87 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 26/47 |


| Vehicle G42-1222M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $15.1 / 140.8$ | $7.3 / 137.2$ | $2.3 / 49.3$ | 393 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $60.5 / 235.0$ | $29.1 / 216.0$ | $9.1 / 112.0$ | 1,573 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $13.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $6.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 350 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 116 | $95.1 \%$ | Less than 2 | 84 |
| 10 to 20 | 5 | $4.1 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 9 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 3 |
| Greater than 40 | 1 | $0.8 \%$ | Greater than 8 | 26 |



Figure C-94. Vehicle G42-1222M stops.


Figure C-95. Vehicle G42-1222M history.


Figure C-96. Vehicle G42-1222M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-1222M Observations

Logger 87 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 26 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a pool mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 385 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 10,894 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 3,215 miles. The vehicle was used on $55 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.0 hours and a peak daily usage of 3.9 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.


Figure C-96 shows that $96 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $98 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $92 \%$ of daily travel and $96 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base. However, PHEVs provide benefits for the first 40 miles of each trip. Thus, a fleet of vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

Sheet 51

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet G1300 Exp - 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2734, Lexington St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-1223M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined)* | $13 / 17 / 14$ 10/13/11 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $635 / 564$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 88 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $40 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G42-1223M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $2.6 / 17.9$ | $1.3 / 37.4$ | $0.8 / 17.6$ | 105 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $15.2 / 85.0$ | $7.7 / 139.0$ | $4.8 / 57.0$ | 608 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $4.7 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 187 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 65 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 34 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 7 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 4 |
| Greater than 40 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 20 |

## G42-1223M Vehicle Stops



Figure C-97. Vehicle G42-1223M stops.


Figure C-98. Vehicle G42-1223M history.
*Fuel Economy for G1300 is unavailable. Economy for G1500 is used.


Figure C-99. Vehicle G42-1223M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-1223M Observations

Logger 88 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 40 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for ODO. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2734 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 3,179 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 988 miles. The vehicle was used on $85 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.3 hours and a peak daily usage of 1.4 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during
 day-shift hours.

Figure C-99 shows all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet Tahoe - 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | SUV |
|  | Mission | Enforcement |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 994, Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G42-2035L |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $15 / 21 / 17$ 11/16/13 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $523 / 477$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 92 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $29 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G42-2035L Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $5.2 / 27.4$ | $3.0 / 26.2$ | $1.5 / 23.4$ | 150 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $22.0 / 119.0$ | $12.8 / 110.0$ | $6.2 / 74.0$ | 638 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $2.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $0.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 61 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 99 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 58 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 11 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 2 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 28 |



Figure C-100. Vehicle G42-2035L stops. *2004 Ford F150 information. EPA data for 2004 Ford 350 not available.


Figure C-102. Vehicle G42-2035L travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G42-2035L Observations

Logger 92 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 29 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has an enforcement mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 994near Langley/N. Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 11,329 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 3,680 miles. The vehicle was used on $62 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.4 hours and a peak daily
 usage of 2.0 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during day-shift hours.

Figure C-102 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

| Make/Model/Year |  |  |  | Ford E350 - 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |  |  |
|  | Mission | Support |  |  |
|  | Parking Location | NAVFAC NW |  |  |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | Building 2734, Lexington St |  |  |
|  | Fuel Type | G43-0895K |  |  |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined)* | Gas |  |  |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi)* | $10 / 14 / 12$ |  |  |
|  | Study Logger ID | 741 |  |  |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 94 |  |  |


| Vehicle G43-0895K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $3.4 / 13.7$ | $1.1 / 11.7$ | $0.8 / 10.1$ | 132 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $37.0 / 186.0$ | $11.6 / 133.0$ | $8.5 / 71.0$ | 1,444 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $16.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $5.2 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $3.8 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 644 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 124 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 77 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 7 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 5 |
| Greater than 40 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 35 |

## G43-0895K Vehicle Stops



Figure C-103. Vehicle G43-0895K stops.


Figure C-104. Vehicle G43-0895K history.


Figure C-105. Vehicle G43-0895K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G43-0895K Observations

Logger 94 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 39 days of the 41 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for the Air Terminal. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2734 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 24,610 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 4,842 miles.
 The vehicle was used on $83 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.6 hours and a peak daily usage of 3.1 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours and into the evening.

Figure C-105 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

| Make/Model/Year |  |  |  | Ford E350 - 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |  |  |
|  | Mission | Support |  |  |
|  | Parking Location | NAVFAC NW |  |  |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | Building 2734, Lexington St |  |  |
|  | Fuel Type | G43-0896K |  |  |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined)* | Gas |  |  |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi)* | $10 / 14 / 12$ |  |  |
|  | Study Logger ID | 741 |  |  |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 95 |  |  |


| Vehicle G43-0896K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $3.7 / 21.7$ | $1.1 / 15.5$ | $0.8 / 11.2$ | 150 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $35.1 / 149.0$ | $10.0 / 132.0$ | $7.2 / 67.0$ | 1,402 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $14.1 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $4.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 564 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 150 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 97 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 12 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 4 |
| Greater than 40 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 37 |



Figure C-106. Vehicle G43-0896K stops. *Fuel Economy for 2010 E350 is unavailable. 2011 E350 information is used.


Figure C-108. Vehicle G43-0896K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G43-0896K Observations

Logger 95 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 40 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for the Air Terminal. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2734 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 7,212 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,401 miles. The vehicle was used on $85 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.6 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.5 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during day-shift hours.


Figure C-108 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 55

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet C2500HD - 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Cargo |
|  | Mission | Enforcement |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2815, Langley Blvd |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G43-0936K |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 10/16/12 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{Mi}$ ) | 741 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 96 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | 25/47 |


| Vehicle G43-0936K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $4.6 / 35.2$ | $1.7 / 35.2$ | $0.6 / 11.6$ | 114 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $47.9 / 174.0$ | $17.9 / 133.0$ | $6.1 / 70.0$ | 1,197 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $12.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $4.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 307 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 193 | $100 \%$ | Less than 2 | 158 |
| 10 to 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 to 4 | 8 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 1 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 26 |

## G43-0936K Vehicle Stops



Figure C-109. Vehicle G43-0936K stops.


Figure C-110. Vehicle G43-0936K history.


Figure C-111. Vehicle G43-0936K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G43-0936K Observations

Logger 96 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 25 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $99.8 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has an enforcement mission. This vehicle’s data indicate it parks near Building 2815 on Langley Boulevard (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 9,996 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 2,307 miles. The vehicle was used on $38 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.8 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.9 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during day-shift hour.

Figure C-111 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of
 approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, all daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 56

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet G2300 - 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 2737, Lexington St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G43-2287M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $11 / 16 / 13$ 9/8/11 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO $/$ /Mi) | $684 / 689$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 98 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $34 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G43-2287M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $8.9 / 55.3$ | $4.2 / 44.1$ | $1.6 / 23.1$ | 301 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $48.1 / 179.0$ | $22.7 / 108.0$ | $8.7 / 59.0$ | 1,636 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $10.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $4.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $1.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 351 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 148 | $98.7 \%$ | Less than 2 | 95 |
| 10 to 20 | 2 | $1.3 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 12 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 7 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 36 |



Figure C-112. Vehicle G43-2287M stops.


Figure C-113. Vehicle G43-2287M history.


Figure C-114. Vehicle G43-2287M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G43-2287M Observations

Logger 98 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 34 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Gnd Elec. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 2737 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 4,896 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 1,441 miles. The vehicle was used on $72 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 0.8 hours and a peak daily usage of 3.0 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during dayshift hours.


Figure C-114 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, $97 \%$ of daily travel and $99 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.

Sheet 57

|  | Make/Model/Year | Chevrolet G2300 Exp - 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | Van - Passenger |
|  | Mission | Support |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 385, Lexington St |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G43-2288M |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $11 / 16 / 13$ 9/8/11 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $684 / 689$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 99 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $38 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G43-2288M Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $9.7 / 46.0$ | $4.8 / 36.7$ | $1.9 / 18.6$ | 370 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $57.8 / 139.0$ | $28.5 / 137.0$ | $11.0 / 76.0$ | 2,197 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $13.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $6.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $2.6 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 508 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 130 | $97.7 \%$ | Less than 2 | 79 |
| 10 to 20 | 3 | $2.3 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 5 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 10 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 39 |

G43-2288M Vehicle Stops


Figure C-115. Vehicle G43-2288M stops.


Figure C-116. Vehicle G43-2288M history.


Figure C-117. Vehicle G43-2288M travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G43-2288M Observations

Logger 99 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 38 days of the 47-day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has a support mission for Gnd Elec. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 385 near Lexington Street (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 3,797 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 977 miles. The vehicle was used on $81 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 1.0 hours and a peak daily usage of 2.3 hours on the
 days it was used. The vehicle was used primarily during day-shift hours.

Figure C-117 shows that all daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles. All outings were also within this range. Further, $97 \%$ of daily travel and all outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could meet all daily travel without additional charging opportunities, assuming the vehicle was charged at its home base.


| Make/Model/Year | Ford F150 - 2009 |
| :--- | :---: |
| EPA Class Size | Pickup |
| Mission | Support |
| Contact | NAVFAC NW |
| Parking Location | No data |
| Fleet Vehicle ID | G62-0203H |
| Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
| EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | 14/20/16 10/14/12 |
| EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $555 / 517$ |
| Study Logger ID | 104 |
| Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | Insufficient data |


| Vehicle G62-0203H Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Insufficient data |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration <br> (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Less than 2 | Insufficient data |
| 10 to 20 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 2 to 4 | Insufficient data |
| 20 to 40 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | 4 to 8 | Insufficient data |
| 40 to 60 | Insufficient data | Insufficient data | Greater than 8 | Insufficient data |

Insufficient data
Insufficient data
Figure C-118. Vehicle G62-0203H stops. Figure C-119. Vehicle G62-0203H history. Insufficient data

Figure C-120. Vehicle G62-0203H travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G62-0203H Observations

Logger 104 collected insufficient information to analyze. Although it was identified early in the study, it was not resolved.

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 69,473 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 11,252 miles.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford Expedition - 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | EPA Class Size | SUV |
|  | Mission | Enforcement |
|  | Contact | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Parking Location | Building 994, Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | G62-0871K |
|  | Fuel Type | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | $14 / 20 / 16$ 9/13/11 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $555 / 564$ |
|  | Study Logger ID | 108 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $43 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G62-0871K Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $92.0 / 278.6$ | $14.5 / 114.9$ | $6.2 / 71.5$ | 3,955 |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $428.2 / 1,000.0$ | $67.5 / 777.0$ | $28.8 / 269.0$ | 18,414 |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $155.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $24.5 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $10.4 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 6,680 |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration <br> (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 590 | $99.8 \%$ | Less than 2 | 509 |
| 10 to 20 | 1 | $0.2 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 39 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 25 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 18 |

## G62-0871K Vehicle Stops



Figure C-121. Vehicle G62-0871K stops.


Figure C-122. Vehicle G62-0871K history.


Figure C-123. Vehicle G62-0871K travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G62-0871K Observations

Logger 108 collected data on this vehicle for a period of 43 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $99.8 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has an enforcement mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 994 on Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 101,291 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 18,874 miles. The vehicle was used on $91 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 7.1 hours and a peak daily usage of 16.7 hours on the days it was used. The
 vehicle was used during all hours of the day.

Figure C-123 shows that 44\% of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles and $98 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $19 \%$ of daily travel and $92 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel. However, PHEVs provide benefit for the first 40 miles of all trips. Thus, a fleet of enforcement vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

|  | Make/Model/Year | Ford F150 SSV - 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Mission | Pickup |
|  | Contact | Enforcement |
|  | Parking Location | NAVFAC NW |
|  | Fleet Vehicle ID | Building 994, Charles Porter Ave |
|  | Fuel Type | G62-2420P |
|  | EPA Label/MPG (City/Hwy/Combined) | Gas/E85 |
|  | EPA GHG Emissions (Grams CO2/Mi) | $464 / 23 / 19$ 12/17/14 |
|  | Study Logger ID | 110 |
|  | Total Vehicle Days/Total Study Days | $47 / 47$ |


| Vehicle G62-2420P Travel Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Day <br> Average/Peak | Per Outing <br> Average/Peak | Per Trip <br> Average/Peak | Total |  |
| Travel Distance (Miles) | $131.9 / 294.5$ | $25.4 / 232.5$ | $5.2 / 86.7$ | 6,201 |  |
| Travel Time (Minutes) | $744.0 / 1,232.0$ | $143.3 / 1,072.0$ | $29.4 / 515.0$ | 34,968 |  |
| Idle Time (Minutes) | $302.9 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $58.3 / \mathrm{NA}$ | $12.0 / \mathrm{NA}$ | 14,235 |  |


| Total Stops |  |  | Stop Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance From <br> Home Base (Miles) | Stops | Percentages | Stop Duration (Hours) | Stops |
| Less than 10 | 1,064 | $99.9 \%$ | Less than 2 | 1,019 |
| 10 to 20 | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | 2 to 4 | 27 |
| 20 to 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 to 8 | 11 |
| 40 to 60 | 0 | 0 | Greater than 8 | 8 |

G62-2420P Vehicle Stops


Figure C-124. Vehicle G62-2420P stops.


Figure C-125. Vehicle G62-2420P history.


Figure C-126. Vehicle G62-2420P travel and time-of-day graphs.

## Vehicle G62-2420P Observations

Logger 110 collected data on this vehicle for all 47 days of the 47 -day study period. Validation occurred on $100 \%$ of the input data. Data indicate that this vehicle has an enforcement mission. This vehicle's data indicate it parks near Building 994 on Charles Porter Avenue (see Google Earth figure to the right).

NASWI reports that the vehicle odometer indicated 15,980 miles at the start of this study and its average annual mileage is 4,752 miles. The vehicle was used on $100 \%$ of the available days, with an average daily usage of 12.4 hours and a peak daily usage of 20.5 hours on the days it was used. The vehicle was used during all hours of the day.

Figure C-126 shows that $23 \%$ of daily travel was within the typically advertised range of a BEV of approximately 70 miles
 and $88 \%$ of the outings were also within this range. Further, $2 \%$ of daily travel and $80 \%$ of outings were within the typically advertised CD mode of 40 miles for PHEVs.

A BEV could not meet all daily travel requirements due to long trips and infrequent charge opportunities. However, PHEVs provide benefits for the first 40 miles of a trip. In addition, enforcement fleet managers typically do not prefer enforcement vehicles that contain range limitations. Thus, a fleet of enforcement vehicles would likely contain a mix of BEVs and PHEVs.

## Appendix D

## Greenhouse Gas Emissions Avoided and Fuel Cost Reduction Analysis - Commands Fleet

## D. 1 Replacement Plug-In Electric Vehicles for the Commands Fleet

Section 4.1 provided analysis results for the Commands fleet vehicles monitored during the study period based on data recorded and reported in the vehicle data sheets found in Appendix B. For the study, PEV replacements were based on vehicle class. The observations of Section 4.1.1.1 suggest that replacing these 18 vehicles with 11 BEVs and seven PHEVs would meet current mission requirements. Specific vehicle cargo requirements have not been specified; therefore, they were not considered in these replacement suggestions.
Table D-1. NASWI Commands fleet PEV replacements.

| Vehicle Replacements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle ID | Make | Current Vehicle | Model | EPA Class | PEV Make Replacement | PEV Model |
| Mission |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G10-1138M | Chevrolet | Malibu | Sedan-Midsize | Ford | Fusion | Support |
| G10-1140M | Chevrolet | Malibu | Sedan-Midsize | Nissan | Leaf | Support |
| G10-3576L | Chevrolet | Malibu | Sedan-Midsize | Ford | Fusion | Support |
| G10-7547F | Dodge | Avenger | Sedan- Midsize | Nissan | Leaf | Support |
| G41-1136K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | Minivan | Nissan | Leaf | Support |
| G41-1139K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | Minivan | Honda | Fit | Support |
| G41-1140K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | Minivan | Honda | Fit | Support |
| G41-1155K | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | Minivan | Mitsubishi | Outlander | Support |
| G41-1351G | Dodge | Dakota | Pickup | Toyota | Rav4 | Support |
| G41-2911M | Chevrolet | Colorado | Pickup | Via | VTRUX PU | Support |
| G41-3153P | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | Minivan | Honda | Fit | Support |
| G41-3159P | Dodge | Grd Caravan SE | Minivan | Honda | Fit | Support |
| G42-0587K | Chevrolet | Silverado | Pickup | Via | VTRUX PU | Support |
| G42-0590K | Chevrolet | Silverado | Pickup | Nissan | eNV200 | Support |
| G42-1232M | Ford | F150 | Pickup | Toyota | Rav4 | Support |
| G42-1281M | Ford | E-350 | Van - Pass | Via | VTRUX Van | Support |
| G43-3437B | Ford | E-350 | Van - Cargo | Nissan | eNV200 | Support |
| G61-0513K | Ford | Explorer | SUV | Mitsubishi | Outlander | Support |

The vehicle data sheets for vehicles G41-3159P and G42-0587K report that insufficient data were collected for detailed analysis. Replacement vehicles were selected based on their reported annual mileage and the ratio of the balance of vehicles. In addition, the average of all daily distance traveled less than 40 miles was used for analysis.

Section 5 provides the methodology and assumptions for calculating the reduction in GHG and fuel costs. NASWI provided the recorded annual miles for each vehicle. If the PEV replacing the monitored vehicle is a BEV, all annual miles occur in CD mode. If the PEV is a PHEV, the percentage of miles that are less than 40 miles per day recorded by the monitored vehicles (Appendix $B$ ) is multiplied by the annual miles to identify miles in CD mode. Only these miles are used in the reduction calculations. This is conservative because the replacement PHEV is likely more fuel efficient than the monitored vehicle when powered by ICE.

## D. 2 Monitored Vehicle Fuel Cost Reduction

Tables D-2 and D-3 identify the calculated miles in CD mode for each replacement vehicle and the projected fuel cost reductions in Washington State and nationally. As noted in Section 5, both the local cost of fuel and the national average were used for comparisons.

Because Washington State fuel costs are higher than the national average, local gasoline costs are greater than the national figures. Also, because Puget Sound Energy relies more on cheaper hydroelectric power than the average of all national power providers, electrical fuel costs in Washington State are lower.

The following is an example calculation for Vehicle G10-1138M. The NASWI reported annual miles for this vehicle as 4,164 miles. The suggested replacement PEV is a PHEV. The Appendix B daily travel percentage for this vehicle less than 40 miles was $74 \%$; therefore, annual miles in CD mode were 3,081 miles. EPA fuel economy for the vehicle replaced is 26 mpg , implying 118.5 gallons of gasoline are unused. The Washington State cost for gasoline is $\$ 2.83 /$ gallon for an annual cost of $\$ 335$. The replacement PEV requires $370 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$; therefore, $1,140 \mathrm{kWh}$ are required for recharging the battery. Local power cost is $\$ 0.057138 / \mathrm{kWh}$, resulting in annual electric cost of $\$ 65$. The savings are $\$ 270$ for an $81 \%$ reduction in fuel cost.
Table D-2. Monitored vehicle replacement fuel cost reduction (Washington State).

|  | Replacement | \% CD <br> Mode <br> Travel | Annual <br> Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Fuel <br> Cost <br> Wash. | Elect <br> Cost <br> Wash. | Fuel <br> Savings <br> Wash. | Fuel <br> Reduction <br> Wash. $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle ID | PEV | $74 \%$ | 3,081 | 119 | $\$ 335$ | $\$ 65$ | $\$ 270$ | $81 \%$ |
| G10-1138M | Fusion | Leaf | $100 \%$ | 5,724 | 220 | $\$ 623$ | $\$ 98$ | $\$ 525$ |
| G10-1140M | Fen | $84 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G10-3576L | Fusion | $44 \%$ | 3,342 | 115 | $\$ 326$ | $\$ 71$ | $\$ 255$ | $78 \%$ |
| G10-7547F | Leaf | $100 \%$ | 23,110 | 1,050 | $\$ 2,973$ | $\$ 396$ | $\$ 2,577$ | $87 \%$ |
| G41-1136K | Leaf | $100 \%$ | 5,232 | 275 | $\$ 779$ | $\$ 90$ | $\$ 690$ | $88 \%$ |
| G41-1139K | Fit | $100 \%$ | 7,392 | 389 | $\$ 1,101$ | $\$ 122$ | $\$ 979$ | $89 \%$ |
| G41-1140K | Fit | $100 \%$ | 3,000 | 158 | $\$ 447$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 397$ | $89 \%$ |
| G41-1155K | Outlander | $85 \%$ | 3,356 | 177 | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 84$ | $\$ 415$ | $83 \%$ |
| G41-1351G | Rav4 | $100 \%$ | 2,580 | 172 | $\$ 487$ | $\$ 65$ | $\$ 422$ | $87 \%$ |
| G41-2911M | VTRUX PU | $60 \%$ | 3,722 | 177 | $\$ 502$ | $\$ 101$ | $\$ 401$ | $80 \%$ |
| G41-3153P | Fit | $100 \%$ | 22,522 | 1,126 | $\$ 3,187$ | $\$ 373$ | $\$ 2,814$ | $88 \%$ |
| G41-3159P | Fit | $100 \%$ | 4,044 | 202 | $\$ 572$ | $\$ 67$ | $\$ 505$ | $88 \%$ |
| G42-0587K | VTRUX PU | $87 \%$ | 10,054 | 559 | $\$ 1,581$ | $\$ 273$ | $\$ 1,308$ | $83 \%$ |
| G42-0590K | eNV200 | $100 \%$ | 10,776 | 599 | $\$ 1,694$ | $\$ 246$ | $\$ 1,448$ | $85 \%$ |
| G42-1232M | Rav4 | $100 \%$ | 1,272 | 67 | $\$ 189$ | $\$ 32$ | $\$ 157$ | $83 \%$ |
| G42-1281M | VTRUX Van | $81 \%$ | 6,629 | 510 | $\$ 1,443$ | $\$ 180$ | $\$ 1,263$ | $88 \%$ |
| G43-3437B | eNV200 | $100 \%$ | 1,716 | 114 | $\$ 324$ | $\$ 39$ | $\$ 285$ | $88 \%$ |
| G61-0513K | Outlander | $70 \%$ | 6,829 | 402 | $\$ 1,137$ | $\$ 172$ | $\$ 965$ | $85 \%$ |
| Total |  |  | 124,382 | 6,431 | $\$ 18,200$ | $\$ 2,524$ | $\$ 15,676$ | $86 \%$ |

In summary, over $\$ 15,000$ in fuel costs in Washington State can be saved by using PEVs as replacement vehicles. This represents an $86 \%$ reduction in costs.

Table D-3. Monitored vehicle replacement fuel cost reduction (national comparisons).

| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | \% CD <br> Mode <br> Travel | Annual Miles CD | Gas Gallons Saved |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fuel Red. } \\ \text { National } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G10-1138M | Fusion | 74\% | 3,081 | 119 | \$292 | \$148 | \$143 | 49\% |
| G10-1140M | Leaf | 100\% | 5,724 | 220 | \$542 | \$223 | \$318 | 59\% |
| G10-3576L | Fusion | 44\% | 3,342 | 115 | \$284 | \$161 | \$123 | 43\% |
| G10-7547F | Leaf | 100\% | 23,110 | 1,050 | \$2,584 | \$901 | \$1,683 | 65\% |
| G41-1136K | Leaf | 100\% | 5,232 | 275 | \$677 | \$204 | \$473 | 70\% |
| G41-1139K | Fit | 100\% | 7,392 | 389 | \$957 | \$279 | \$678 | 71\% |
| G41-1140K | Fit | 100\% | 3,000 | 158 | \$388 | \$113 | \$275 | 71\% |
| G41-1155K | Outlander | 85\% | 3,356 | 177 | \$434 | \$192 | \$243 | 56\% |
| G41-1351G | Rav4 | 100\% | 2,580 | 172 | \$423 | \$148 | \$276 | 65\% |
| G41-2911M | VTRUX PU | 60\% | 3,722 | 177 | \$436 | \$230 | \$206 | 47\% |
| G41-3153P | Fit | 100\% | 22,522 | 1,126 | \$2,770 | \$849 | \$1,921 | 69\% |
| G41-3159P | Fit | 100\% | 4,044 | 202 | \$497 | \$152 | \$345 | 69\% |
| G42-0587K | VTRUX PU | 87\% | 10,054 | 559 | \$1,374 | \$621 | \$753 | 55\% |
| G42-0590K | eNV200 | 100\% | 10,776 | 599 | \$1,473 | \$560 | \$912 | 62\% |
| G42-1232M | Rav4 | 100\% | 1,272 | 67 | \$165 | \$73 | \$92 | 56\% |
| G42-1281M | VTRUX Van | 81\% | 6,629 | 510 | \$1,254 | \$409 | \$845 | 67\% |
| G43-3437B | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,716 | 114 | \$281 | \$89 | \$192 | 68\% |
| G61-0513K | Outlander | 70\% | 6,829 | 402 | \$988 | \$391 | \$598 | 60\% |
| Total |  |  | 124,382 | 6,431 | \$15,820 | \$5,743 | \$10,077 | 64\% |

For national comparisons, replacement of these vehicles with PEVs could save over $\$ 10,000$ per year in fuel costs.

## D. 3 Monitored Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Reduction

Tables D-4 and D-5 identify the reduction in GHGs projected in Washington State when replacing the current vehicles with PEVs. The calculated miles in CD mode were also used in the calculation of GHG reduction. As noted in Section 5, the emissions from burning gasoline are known and the emissions from the use of electricity are dependent on the power generation mix that is used to recharge the PEV battery. As before, both the local power production emissions figures and the national average are used for comparisons.

Because Puget Sound Energy relies more on cleaner hydroelectric power than the average of all national power providers, electrical emissions in Washington State are lower. Electrical emissions are significantly lower than gasoline emissions.

The following is an example calculation for vehicle G10-1138M. The annual miles in CD mode are 3,081 miles and 118.5 gallons of gasoline are unused. ICE vehicles produce $20.1 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{gallon}$; therefore, the annual emissions for the monitored vehicle are $2,382 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$. Puget Sound Energy produces $0.8700 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{kWh}$. As above, $1,140 \mathrm{kWh}$ are required for recharging the battery, resulting in $992 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$. The savings are $1,390 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ for a $58 \%$ reduction in GHG emissions.

Table D-4. GHG reduction of monitored vehicle replacement (Washington State).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Replacement | Mode | Annual | Miles | Gas | GHG | PEV |
| Gallons | Emission | GHG | GHG Sav | GHG |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle ID | PEV | Travel | CD | Saved | ICE | Wash. | Wash. | Wash. \% |
| G10-1138M | Fusion | $74 \%$ | 3,081 | 119 | 2,382 | 992 | 1,390 | $58 \%$ |
| G10-1140M | Leaf | $100 \%$ | 5,724 | 220 | 4,425 | 1,494 | 2,931 | $66 \%$ |


| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CD } \\ \text { Mode } \\ \text { Travel } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Miles } \\ & \text { CD } \end{aligned}$ | Gas Gallons Saved | GHG Emission ICE ICE | PEV <br> GHG <br> Wash. | PEV GHG Sav Wash. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G10-3576L | Fusion | 44\% | 3,342 | 115 | 2,317 | 1,076 | 1,241 | 54\% |
| G10-7547F | Leaf | 100\% | 23,110 | 1,050 | 21,114 | 6,032 | 15,082 | 71\% |
| G41-1136K | Leaf | 100\% | 5,232 | 275 | 5,535 | 1,366 | 4,169 | 75\% |
| G41-1139K | Fit | 100\% | 7,392 | 389 | 7,820 | 1,865 | 5,955 | 76\% |
| G41-1140K | Fit | 100\% | 3,000 | 158 | 3,174 | 757 | 2,417 | 76\% |
| G41-1155K | Outlander | 85\% | 3,356 | 177 | 3,550 | 1,285 | 2,265 | 64\% |
| G41-1351G | Rav4 | 100\% | 2,580 | 172 | 3,457 | 988 | 2,470 | 71\% |
| G41-2911M | VTRUX PU | 60\% | 3,722 | 177 | 3,563 | 1,538 | 2,025 | 57\% |
| G41-3153P | Fit | 100\% | 22,522 | 1,126 | 22,635 | 5,682 | 16,952 | 75\% |
| G41-3159P | Fit | 100\% | 4,044 | 202 | 4,064 | 1,020 | 3,044 | 75\% |
| G42-0587K | VTRUX PU | 87\% | 10,054 | 559 | 11,227 | 4,155 | 7,072 | 63\% |
| G42-0590K | eNV200 | 100\% | 10,776 | 599 | 12,033 | 3,750 | 8,283 | 69\% |
| G42-1232M | Rav4 | 100\% | 1,272 | 67 | 1,346 | 487 | 859 | 64\% |
| G42-1281M | VTRUX Van | 81\% | 6,629 | 510 | 10,250 | 2,739 | 7,510 | 73\% |
| G43-3437B | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,716 | 114 | 2,299 | 597 | 1,702 | 74\% |
| G61-0513K | Outlander | 70\% | 6,829 | 402 | 8,075 | 2,614 | 5,460 | 68\% |
| Total |  |  | 124,382 | 6,431 | 129,264 | 38,436 | 90,828 | 70\% |

In summary, with the monitored vehicles replaced with PEVs, over $90,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ are avoided each year using Washington State statistics.
Table D-5. GHG reduction of monitored vehicle replacement (national comparison).

|  |  | \% CD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replacement |  |  |
| Mode |  |  |
| Travel |  |  | | Annual |
| :---: |
| Miles |
| CD |$\quad$| Gas |
| :---: |
| Gallons |
| Saved | | GHG |
| :---: |
| Emission |
| ICE | | PEV |
| :---: |
| GHG |
| Nat. | | PEV |
| :---: |
| GHG Sav |
| Nat. | | GHG |
| :---: |
| Savings |
| Nat. $\%$ |

## D. 4 Commands Group Support Fleet Evaluation

Section 4.1.1.1 provided the Intertek suggestion that a fleet of 34 BEVs and 22 PHEVs conservatively meet the full Commands group support fleet vehicle travel requirements.

The replacement vehicles follow the suggestions of Table 17 in Section 5.
Using averages for these vehicles, the potential replacements offer the fuel cost reductions and GHG reductions shown in Tables D-6 and D-7 (these reductions are summarized in Section 5).
Table D-6. Commands group full support fleet PEV replacement reductions (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel <br> Saving <br> Local | Fuel <br> Red <br> Local\% | GHG <br> Emission <br> ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 325,976 | 18,473 | $\$ 52,279$ | $\$ 7,440$ | $\$ 44,839$ | $86 \%$ | 371,310 | 113,279 | 258,030 | $69 \%$ |

Table D-7. Commands group full support fleet PEV replacement reductions (national statistics).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> National | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Nat | Fuel <br> Savings <br> Nat | Fuel <br> Reds <br> Nat\% | GHG <br> Emission <br> ICE | PEV GHG <br> Nat | PEV GHG <br> Sav Nat | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Nat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 325,976 | 18,473 | $\$ 45,444$ | $\$ 16,927$ | $\$ 28,517$ | $63 \%$ | 371,310 | 199,215 | 172,094 | $46 \%$ |

## D. 5 Commands Group Transport Fleet Evaluation

Section 4.1 identifies 13 cargo vans in the Commands fleet with a transport mission. One cargo van with a support mission was monitored in this study and all of its daily travel and outings were less than 40 miles, suggesting that all vans could be replaced with BEVs. However, a more conservative approach would be to use eight BEVs and five PHEVS. The Commands fleet averages were applied to these vehicles. The projected reductions for transport vehicles are shown in Tables D-8 and D-9.

Table D-8. Commands group full transport fleet PEV replacement reductions (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel <br> Saving <br> Local | Fuel <br> Red <br> Local\% | GHG <br> Emission <br> ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83,631 | 5,118 | $\$ 14,483$ | $\$ 2,056$ | $\$ 12,428$ | $86 \%$ | 102,869 | 31,300 | 71,568 | $70 \%$ |

Table D-9. Commands group full transport fleet PEV replacement reductions (national statistics).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> National | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Nat | Fuel <br> Savings <br> Nat | Fuel <br> Reds <br> Nat\% | GHG <br> Emission <br> ICE | PEV GHG <br> Nat | PEV GHG <br> Sav Nat | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Nat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83,631 | 5,118 | $\$ 12,590$ | $\$ 4,677$ | $\$ 7,913$ | $63 \%$ | 102,869 | 55,045 | 47,823 | $46 \%$ |

## D. 5 Commands Group Full Fleet Evaluation

Section 4.1.1.1 provided the Intertek suggestion that a fleet of 42 BEVs and 27 PHEVs conservatively meet the full Commands group fleet vehicle travel requirements.

The replacement vehicles follow the suggestions of Table 17 in Section 5.
Using averages for these vehicles, the potential replacements offer the fuel cost reductions and GHG reductions shown in Tables D-10 and D-11 (these reductions are summarized in Section 5).

Table D-10. Commands group full fleet PEV replacement reductions (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel <br> Saving <br> Local | Fuel <br> Red <br> Local\% | GHG <br> Emission <br> ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 409,608 | 23,591 | $\$ 66,762$ | $\$ 9,495$ | $\$ 57,267$ | $86 \%$ | 474,178 | 144,579 | 329,599 | $70 \%$ |

Table D-11. Commands group full fleet PEV replacement reductions (national statistics).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> National | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Nat | Fuel <br> Savings <br> Nat | Fuel <br> Reds <br> Nat\% | GHG <br> Emission <br> ICE | PEV GHG <br> Nat | PEV GHG <br> Sav Nat | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Nat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 409,608 | 23,591 | $\$ 58,034$ | $\$ 21,604$ | $\$ 36,430$ | $63 \%$ | 474,178 | 254,260 | 219,918 | $46 \%$ |

## D. 6 Commands Fleet Summary

Table D-12 provides average values for all monitored vehicles belonging to the Commands group. Table D-12. Commands group monitored vehicles average values.

| Annual Miles $C D$ | Gas Gallons Saved | Gas Cost Local | Elect Fuel Cost Local |  | Fuel Saving Local |  | Fuel <br> Red Local\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6,910 | 357 | \$ 1,011 | \$ 1 | 140 | \$ |  | 86\% |
|  | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | Sav | GHG <br> ving \% <br> Loc |  |  |
|  | 7,181 | 2,135 | 5,046 |  | 70\% |  |  |

Table D-12 shows that there is a significant opportunity for savings not only in fuel costs but in GHG emissions with the deployment of PEVs in this fleet.

## Appendix E

# Greenhouse Gas Emissions Avoided and Fuel Cost Reduction Analysis - Departments Fleet 

## E. 1 Replacement Plug-In Electric Vehicle for Departments Fleet

Section 4.2 provided analysis results for the Departments fleet vehicles monitored during the study period based on the data recorded and reported in the vehicle data sheets found in Appendix C. Pool, support, and enforcement missions were identified for these vehicles. For the study, PEV replacements were based on vehicle class. The observations of Section 4.2 suggest that replacing these 42 monitored vehicles with 21 BEVs and 21 PHEVs would meet current mission requirements. Specific vehicle cargo requirements have not been specified; therefore, they were not considered in these replacement suggestions.

Table E-1. NASWI Departments group PEV replacements.


|  |  | Vehicle Replacements |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Vehicles | PEV Replacements |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Id | Make | Model | EPA Class | PEV Make | PEV Model | Mission |
| G42-0766L | Chevrolet | C1500 | Pickup | Via | VTRUX PU | Support |
| G42-0881H | Ford | F150 | Pickup | Nissan | eNV200 | Pool |
| G42-1222M | Chevrolet | G1300 Express | Van - Pass | Via | VTRUX Van | Support |
| G42-1223M | Chevrolet | G1300 Express | Van - Pass | Nissan | eNV200 | Support |
| G42-2035L | Chevrolet | Tahoe | SUV | Honda | Fit | Enforce |
| G43-0895K | Ford | E-350 | Van - Pass | Nissan | eNV200 | Support |
| G43-0896K | Ford | E-350 | Van - Pass | Nissan | eNV200 | Support |
| G43-0936K | Chevrolet | C2500HD | Van - Cargo | Nissan | eNV200 | Enforce |
| G43-2287M | Chevrolet | G2300 | Van - Pass | Nissan | eNV200 | Support |
| G43-2288M | Chevrolet | G2300 Express | Van - Pass | Nissan | eNV200 | Support |
| G62-0203H | Ford | F150 | Pickup | Toyota | Rav4 | Support |
| G62-0871K | Ford | Expedition | SUV | Mitsubishi | Outlander | Enforce |
| G62-2420P | Ford | FI50 SSV | Pickup | Via | VTRUX Van | Enforce |

The vehicle data sheets for vehicles G41-1765H, G41-1768H, and G62-0203H report that insufficient data were collected for detailed analysis. The replacement vehicles were selected based on the vehicles' reported annual mileage and the ratio of the balance of vehicles. In addition, the average of all daily distance traveled less than 40 miles was used for analysis.

Section 5 provides the methodology and assumptions for reduction in GHG and fuel costs calculations. The miles recorded by vehicles during the 47-day study were extrapolated into annual miles. If the PEV replacing the monitored vehicle is a BEV, all annual miles can potentially occur in CD mode. If the PEV is a PHEV, the percentage of miles that were less than 40 miles per day recorded by the monitored vehicles (Appendix C) was multiplied by the annual miles to identify miles in CD mode. Only these miles were used in the reduction calculations. This is conservative because the replacement PHEV is likely more fuel efficient than the monitored vehicle when powered by the ICE.

Vehicle G62-2420P showed just $2 \%$ of days were less than 40 miles. While the methodology identified in the above paragraph is conservative, it is overly so for cases like this because all PHEV trips include at least the first 40 miles in CD mode. This vehicle was used daily; therefore, the percent of miles in CD mode was determined by the ratio of 40 miles to the average total miles per day $=30 \%$.

The fuel cost savings and GHG emissions reductions are presented by mission category.

## E. 2 Monitored Pool Mission Vehicle Reductions

## E.2.1 Monitored Pool Mission Vehicle Fuel Cost Reduction

Tables E-2 and E-3 identify the calculated miles in CD mode for each replacement vehicle and the projected fuel cost reductions for the pool vehicles using Washington State and national data. As noted in Section 5, both the local cost of fuel and the national average were used for comparisons.

Because Washington State fuel costs are higher than the national average, local gasoline costs are greater than national figures. Also, because Puget Sound Energy relies more on cheaper hydroelectric power than the average of all national power providers, electrical fuel costs in Washington State are lower.

The following is an example calculation for Vehicle G10-2848L. The NASWI reported annual miles for this vehicle are 1,416 miles. The suggested replacement PEV is a PHEV. The Appendix C daily travel percentage for this vehicle less than 40 miles was $53 \%$; therefore, annual miles in CD mode is 750 miles. EPA fuel economy for the vehicle replaced is 26 mpg , implying 28.8 gallons of gasoline are unused. Washington State cost for gasoline is $\$ 2.83 /$ gallon for an annual cost of $\$ 82$. The replacement PEV
requires $370 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mi}$, with 277.7 kWh required for recharging the battery. Local power cost is $\$ 0.057138 / \mathrm{kWh}$, resulting in annual electric cost of $\$ 16$. The savings are $\$ 66$ for an $81 \%$ reduction in fuel cost.

Table E-2. Monitored pool vehicle replacement fuel cost reduction (Washington State).

|  | Replacement <br> ReV | CD <br> Mode <br> Travel | Annual <br> Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Fuel <br> Cost <br> Wash. | Elect <br> Cost <br> Wash. | Fuel <br> Savings <br> Wash. | Fuel Red. <br> Wash. $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G10-2844L | Fusion | $17 \%$ | 116 | 4 | $\$ 13$ | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $81 \%$ |
| G10-2848L | Fusion | $53 \%$ | 750 | 29 | $\$ 82$ | $\$ 16$ | $\$ 66$ | $81 \%$ |
| G10-2850L | Fusion | $38 \%$ | 2,818 | 108 | $\$ 307$ | $\$ 60$ | $\$ 247$ | $81 \%$ |
| G10-2968L | Fusion | $36 \%$ | 2,769 | 107 | $\$ 301$ | $\$ 59$ | $\$ 243$ | $81 \%$ |
| G42-1940N | VTRUX Van | $20 \%$ | 2,405 | 172 | $\$ 486$ | $\$ 65$ | $\$ 421$ | $87 \%$ |
| G61-0245G | Outlander | $79 \%$ | 11,073 | 527 | $\$ 1,492$ | $\$ 278$ | $\$ 1,214$ | $81 \%$ |
| G41-1142K | Outlander | $76 \%$ | 2,523 | 133 | $\$ 376$ | $\$ 63$ | $\$ 312$ | $83 \%$ |
| G42-0334G | VTRUX Van | $56 \%$ | 1,028 | 73 | $\$ 208$ | $\$ 28$ | $\$ 180$ | $87 \%$ |
| G42-0881H | eNV200 | $100 \%$ | 9,720 | 608 | $\$ 1,719$ | $\$ 222$ | $\$ 1,497$ | $87 \%$ |
| Total |  |  | 33,203 | 1,761 | $\$ 4,984$ | $\$ 794$ | $\$ 4,190$ | $84 \%$ |

In summary, over $\$ 4,000$ in fuel costs in Washington State can be saved for these pool vehicles by using PEVs as replacement vehicles. This represents an $84 \%$ reduction in fuel costs.

Table E-3 identifies the projected fuel cost reductions for the pool vehicles using national statistics.
Table E-3. Monitored vehicle replacement fuel cost reduction (national comparisons).

|  | Replacement <br> Rod <br> PEV | Annual <br> Travel | Gas <br> CD | Fuel <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Cost <br> Nat. | Electricity <br> Cost Nat. | Favel <br> Saving <br> S Nat. | Fuel Red. <br> Nat. $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G10-2844L | Fusion | $17 \%$ | 116 | 4 | $\$ 11$ | $\$ 6$ | $\$ 5$ | $49 \%$ |
| G10-2848L | Fusion | $53 \%$ | 750 | 29 | $\$ 71$ | $\$ 36$ | $\$ 35$ | $49 \%$ |
| G10-2850L | Fusion | $38 \%$ | 2,818 | 108 | $\$ 267$ | $\$ 136$ | $\$ 131$ | $49 \%$ |
| G10-2968L | Fusion | $36 \%$ | 2,769 | 107 | $\$ 262$ | $\$ 133$ | $\$ 129$ | $49 \%$ |
| G42-1940N | VTRUX Van | $20 \%$ | 2,405 | 172 | $\$ 423$ | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 274$ | $65 \%$ |
| G61-0245G | Outlander | $79 \%$ | 11,073 | 527 | $\$ 1,297$ | $\$ 633$ | $\$ 664$ | $51 \%$ |
| G41-1142K | Outlander | $76 \%$ | 2,523 | 133 | $\$ 327$ | $\$ 144$ | $\$ 182$ | $56 \%$ |
| G42-0334G | VTRUX Van | $56 \%$ | 1,028 | 73 | $\$ 181$ | $\$ 63$ | $\$ 117$ | $65 \%$ |
| G42-0881H | eNV200 | $100 \%$ | 9,720 | 608 | $\$ 1,494$ | $\$ 505$ | $\$ 989$ | $66 \%$ |
| Total |  |  | 33,203 | 1,761 | $\$ 4,332$ | $\$ 1,806$ | $\$ 2,527$ | $58 \%$ |

## E.2.2 Monitored Pool Mission Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Reduction

Tables E-4 and E-5 identify the reduction in GHG projected in Washington State and nationally when replacing the current pool vehicles with PEVs. The calculated miles in CD mode were also used in the calculation of GHG reduction. As noted in Section 5, emissions from burning gasoline are known and emissions from the use of electricity are dependent on the power generation mix that is used to recharge the PEV battery.

Because Puget Sound Energy relies more on cleaner hydroelectric power than the average of all national power providers, electrical emissions in Washington State are lower. Electrical emissions are significantly lower than gasoline emissions.

The following is example calculation for Vehicle G10-2848L. As shown above, annual miles in CD mode is 750 miles and 28.8 gallons of gasoline are unused. ICE vehicles produce $20.1 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{gallon}$; therefore, annual emissions for the monitored vehicle are $580 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$. Puget Sound Energy produces $0.8700 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{kWh}$. As above, 277.7 kWh are required for recharging the battery, resulting in $242-\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$, with savings of $339 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ for a $58 \%$ reduction in GHG emissions.
Table E-4. Monitored pool vehicle replacement GHG reduction (Washington State).

| \% CD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle ID | Replacement <br> PEV | Annual <br> Mode <br> Travel | Gas <br> CD | GHG <br> Gallons <br> Saved | PEV <br> Emission <br> ICE | PHG <br> Wash. | PEV GHG <br> Savings <br> Wash. | GHG <br> Savings <br> Wash. $\%$ |
| G10-2844L | Fusion | $17 \%$ | 116 | 4 | 90 | 37 | 52 | $58 \%$ |
| G10-2848L | Fusion | $53 \%$ | 750 | 29 | 580 | 242 | 339 | $58 \%$ |
| G10-2850L | Fusion | $38 \%$ | 2,818 | 108 | 2,179 | 907 | 1,271 | $58 \%$ |
| G10-2968L | Fusion | $36 \%$ | 2,769 | 107 | 2,141 | 891 | 1,249 | $58 \%$ |
| G42-1940N | VTRUX Van | $20 \%$ | 2,405 | 172 | 3,453 | 994 | 2,459 | $71 \%$ |
| G61-0245G | Outlander | $79 \%$ | 11,073 | 527 | 10,598 | 4,239 | 6,359 | $60 \%$ |
| G41-1142K | Outlander | $76 \%$ | 2,523 | 133 | 2,669 | 966 | 1,703 | $64 \%$ |
| G42-0334G | VTRUX Van | $56 \%$ | 1,028 | 73 | 1,476 | 425 | 1,051 | $71 \%$ |
| G42-0881H | eNV200 | $100 \%$ | 9,720 | 608 | 12,211 | 3,383 | 8,828 | $72 \%$ |
| Total |  |  | 33,203 | 1,761 | 35,396 | 12,083 | 23,313 | $66 \%$ |

In summary, over $23,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ can be avoided for these pool vehicles by using PEVs as replacement vehicles. This represents a $66 \%$ reduction in emissions in Washington State.

Table E-5 presents the reductions using national statistics.
Table E-5. Monitored pool vehicle replacement GHG reduction (national statistics).

| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% CD } \\ & \text { Mode } \\ & \text { Travel } \end{aligned}$ | Annual Miles CD | Gas <br> Gallons Saved | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GHG } \\ & \text { Emission } \\ & \text { ICE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEV } \\ & \text { GHG } \\ & \text { Nat. } \end{aligned}$ | PEV GHG <br> Savings Nat. | GHG Savings Nat. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G10-2844L | Fusion | 17\% | 116 | 4 | 90 | 66 | 24 | 27\% |
| G10-2848L | Fusion | 53\% | 750 | 29 | 580 | 425 | 155 | 27\% |
| G10-2850L | Fusion | 38\% | 2,818 | 108 | 2,179 | 1,595 | 583 | 27\% |
| G10-2968L | Fusion | 36\% | 2,769 | 107 | 2,141 | 1,568 | 573 | 27\% |
| G42-1940N | VTRUX Van | 20\% | 2,405 | 172 | 3,453 | 1,748 | 1,705 | 49\% |
| G61-0245G | Outlander | 79\% | 11,073 | 527 | 10,598 | 7,454 | 3,144 | 30\% |
| G41-1142K | Outlander | 76\% | 2,523 | 133 | 2,669 | 1,699 | 971 | 36\% |
| G42-0334G | VTRUX Van | 56\% | 1,028 | 73 | 1,476 | 747 | 729 | 49\% |
| G42-0881H | eNV200 | 100\% | 9,720 | 608 | 12,211 | 5,949 | 6,262 | 51\% |
| Total |  |  | 33,203 | 1,761 | 35,396 | 21,250 | 14,146 | 40\% |

## E.2.3 Departments Group Full Pool Mission Fleet Evaluation

Section 4.2 identifies 20 vehicles in the Departments group pool fleet. Intertek suggests that a fleet of two BEVs and 18 PHEVs conservatively meets the other vehicle travel requirements. The replacement vehicles follow the suggestions of Table 17 in Section 5.

Using averages for these vehicles, the potential replacements offer the fuel cost reductions and GHG reductions shown in Tables E-6 and E-7.

Table E-6. Departments group pool fleet PEV replacement reductions (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel Saving <br> Local | Fuel Red <br> Local\% | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 72,752 | 3902 | $\$ 11,044$ | $\$ 1,741$ | $\$$ | 9,304 | $84 \%$ | 78,441 | 26,503 | 51,938 |

Table E-7. Departments group pool fleet PEV replacement reductions (national statistics).

| Annual Miles CD | Gas <br> Gallons Saved | Gas Cost <br> National |  | Elect Fuel Cost Nat |  | Fuel Savings Nat |  | Fuel Reds <br> Nat\% | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Nat | PEV GHG <br> Sav Nat | $\overline{\mathrm{GHG}}$ <br> Saving \% Nat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72,752 | 3902 | \$ | 9,600 | \$ | 3,960 | \$ | 5,640 | 59\% | 78,441 | 46,610 | 31,832 | 41\% |

## E. 3 Monitored Support Mission Vehicle Reductions

## E.3.1 Monitored Departments Group Support Mission Vehicle Fuel Cost Reduction

Tables E-8 and E-9 identify the calculated miles in CD mode for each replacement vehicle and the projected fuel cost reductions for the support vehicles.

As before, because Washington State fuel costs are higher than the national average, local gasoline costs are greater than national figures. Also, because Puget Sound Energy relies more on cheaper hydroelectric power than the average of all national power providers, electrical fuel costs in Washington State are lower.

Table E-8. Monitored group support vehicle replacement fuel cost reduction (Washington State).

| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% CD } \\ & \text { Mode } \\ & \text { Travel } \end{aligned}$ | Annual Miles CD | Gas Gallons Saved | Fuel <br> Cost <br> Wash. | Elect Cost Wash. | Fuel <br> Savings <br> Wash. | Fuel Red. Wash. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G10-0984N | Focus | 100\% | 4,668 | 212 | \$600 | \$83 | \$518 | 86\% |
| G10-1137M | Leaf | 100\% | 3,130 | 120 | \$341 | \$54 | \$287 | 84\% |
| G10-1139M | Fusion | 74\% | 1,314 | 51 | \$143 | \$28 | \$115 | 81\% |
| G10-1141M | Fusion | 70\% | 10,676 | 411 | \$1,162 | \$226 | \$936 | 81\% |
| G10-3590P | Leaf | 100\% | 3,840 | 132 | \$375 | \$66 | \$309 | 82\% |
| G10-5286H | Volt | 96\% | 5,887 | 256 | \$724 | \$118 | \$607 | 84\% |
| G41-1137K | Fit | 100\% | 5,808 | 306 | \$865 | \$96 | \$769 | 89\% |
| G41-1349G | Rav4 | 100\% | 2,388 | 159 | \$451 | \$60 | \$390 | 87\% |
| G41-1350G | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,956 | 78 | \$221 | \$45 | \$177 | 80\% |
| G41-1536L | Fit | 100\% | 4,296 | 215 | \$608 | \$71 | \$537 | 88\% |
| G41-1597L | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,672 | 230 | \$649 | \$84 | \$566 | 87\% |
| G41-1763H | Rav4 | 100\% | 4,920 | 234 | \$663 | \$124 | \$539 | 81\% |
| G41-1765H | VTRUX PU | 79\% | 3,707 | 177 | \$500 | \$101 | \$399 | 80\% |
| G41-1767H | eNV200 | 100\% | 11,004 | 524 | \$1,483 | \$251 | \$1,231 | 83\% |
| G41-1768H | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,440 | 69 | \$194 | \$33 | \$161 | 83\% |
| G41-4334M | VTRUX PU | 96\% | 1,705 | 81 | \$230 | \$46 | \$183 | 80\% |
| G42-0335G | VTRUX Van | 62\% | 1,949 | 139 | \$394 | \$53 | \$341 | 87\% |
| G42-0656K | eNV200 | 100\% | 7,476 | 415 | \$1,175 | \$171 | \$1,005 | 85\% |
| G42-0766L | VTRUX PU | 88\% | 8,004 | 364 | \$1,030 | \$217 | \$812 | 79\% |
| G42-1222M | VTRUX Van | 92\% | 8,059 | 576 | \$1,629 | \$219 | \$1,410 | 87\% |
| G42-1223M | eNV200 | 100\% | 11,364 | 812 | \$2,297 | \$260 | \$2,037 | 89\% |
| G43-0895K | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,816 | 318 | \$900 | \$87 | \$813 | 90\% |


|  |  | \% CD | Annual | Gas | Fuel | Elect | Fuel |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Replacement | Mode | Miles | Gallons | Cost | Cost | Savings <br> Wehicle ID | PEV |

In summary, over $\$ 18,000$ in fuel costs in Washington State can be saved by using PEVs as replacement vehicles. This represents an $86 \%$ reduction in costs.
Table E-9. Monitored support vehicle replacement fuel cost reduction (national statistics).

| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | \% CD <br> Mode <br> Travel | Annual Miles CD |  | Fuel <br> Cost <br> Nat. | Electricity <br> Cost Nat. | Fuel <br> Savings <br> Nat. | Fuel <br> Red. <br> Nat. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G10-0984N | Focus | 100\% | 4,668 | 212 | \$522 | \$188 | \$334 | 64\% |
| G10-1137M | Leaf | 100\% | 3,130 | 120 | \$296 | \$122 | \$174 | 59\% |
| G10-1139M | Fusion | 74\% | 1,314 | 51 | \$124 | \$63 | \$61 | 49\% |
| G10-1141M | Fusion | 70\% | 10,676 | 411 | \$1,010 | \$514 | \$497 | 49\% |
| G10-3590P | Leaf | 100\% | 3,840 | 132 | \$326 | \$150 | \$176 | 54\% |
| G10-5286H | Volt | 96\% | 5,887 | 256 | \$630 | \$268 | \$362 | 57\% |
| G41-1137K | Fit | 100\% | 5,808 | 306 | \$752 | \$219 | \$533 | 71\% |
| G41-1349G | Rav4 | 100\% | 2,388 | 159 | \$392 | \$137 | \$255 | 65\% |
| G41-1350G | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,956 | 78 | \$192 | \$102 | \$91 | 47\% |
| G41-1536L | Fit | 100\% | 4,296 | 215 | \$528 | \$162 | \$366 | 69\% |
| G41-1597L | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,672 | 230 | \$565 | \$191 | \$374 | 66\% |
| G41-1763H | Rav4 | 100\% | 4,920 | 234 | \$576 | \$281 | \$295 | 51\% |
| G41-1765H | VTRUX PU | 79\% | 3,707 | 177 | \$434 | \$229 | \$205 | 47\% |
| G41-1767H | eNV200 | 100\% | 11,004 | 524 | \$1,289 | \$572 | \$717 | 56\% |
| G41-1768H | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,440 | 69 | \$169 | \$75 | \$94 | 56\% |
| G41-4334M | VTRUX PU | 96\% | 1,705 | 81 | \$200 | \$105 | \$94 | 47\% |
| G42-0335G | VTRUX Van | 62\% | 1,949 | 139 | \$343 | \$120 | \$222 | 65\% |
| G42-0656K | eNV200 | 100\% | 7,476 | 415 | \$1,022 | \$389 | \$633 | 62\% |
| G42-0766L | VTRUX PU | 88\% | 8,004 | 364 | \$895 | \$494 | \$401 | 45\% |
| G42-1222M | VTRUX Van | 92\% | 8,059 | 576 | \$1,416 | \$498 | \$918 | 65\% |
| G42-1223M | eNV200 | 100\% | 11,364 | 812 | \$1,997 | \$591 | \$1,406 | 70\% |
| G43-0895K | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,816 | 318 | \$782 | \$198 | \$584 | 75\% |
| G43-0896K | eNV200 | 100\% | 6,672 | 556 | \$1,368 | \$347 | \$1,021 | 75\% |
| G43-2287M | eNV200 | 100\% | 4,812 | 370 | \$911 | \$250 | \$660 | 73\% |
| G43-2288M | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,084 | 237 | \$584 | \$160 | \$423 | 73\% |
| G62-0203H | Rav4 | 100\% | 9,160 | 573 | \$1,408 | \$524 | \$884 | 63\% |
| Total |  |  | 134,808 | 7,614 | \$18,730 | \$6,949 | \$11,781 | 63\% |

## E.3.2 Monitored Support Mission Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Reduction

Tables E-10 and E-11 identify the reduction in GHGs projected when replacing the current support mission vehicles with PEVs.

As before, because Puget Sound Energy relies more on cleaner hydroelectric power than the average of all national power providers, electrical emissions in Washington State are lower. Electrical emissions are significantly lower than gasoline emissions.

Table E-10. Monitored support vehicle replacement GHG reduction (Washington State).

| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% CD } \\ & \text { Mode } \\ & \text { Travel } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Miles } \\ & \text { CD } \end{aligned}$ | Gas Gallons Saved | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GHG } \\ & \text { Emission } \\ & \text { ICE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PEV } \\ & \text { GHG } \end{aligned}$ Wash. | PEV GHG Sav Wash. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G10-0984N | Focus | 100\% | 4,668 | 212 | 4,265 | 1,259 | 3,006 | 70\% |
| G10-1137M | Leaf | 100\% | 3,130 | 120 | 2,420 | 817 | 1,603 | 66\% |
| G10-1139M | Fusion | 74\% | 1,314 | 51 | 1,016 | 423 | 593 | 58\% |
| G10-1141M | Fusion | 70\% | 10,676 | 411 | 8,254 | 3,437 | 4,817 | 58\% |
| G10-3590P | Leaf | 100\% | 3,840 | 132 | 2,662 | 1,002 | 1,659 | 62\% |
| G10-5286H | Volt | 96\% | 5,887 | 256 | 5,144 | 1,793 | 3,352 | 65\% |
| G41-1137K | Fit | 100\% | 5,808 | 306 | 6,144 | 1,465 | 4,679 | 76\% |
| G41-1349G | Rav4 | 100\% | 2,388 | 159 | 3,200 | 914 | 2,286 | 71\% |
| G41-1350G | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,956 | 78 | 1,573 | 681 | 892 | 57\% |
| G41-1536L | Fit | 100\% | 4,296 | 215 | 4,317 | 1,084 | 3,234 | 75\% |
| G41-1597L | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,672 | 230 | 4,613 | 1,278 | 3,335 | 72\% |
| G41-1763H | Rav4 | 100\% | 4,920 | 234 | 4,709 | 1,883 | 2,826 | 60\% |
| G41-1765H | VTRUX PU | 79\% | 3,707 | 177 | 3,548 | 1,532 | 2,016 | 57\% |
| G41-1767H | eNV200 | 100\% | 11,004 | 524 | 10,532 | 3,829 | 6,703 | 64\% |
| G41-1768H | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,440 | 69 | 1,378 | 501 | 877 | 64\% |
| G41-4334M | VTRUX PU | 96\% | 1,705 | 81 | 1,632 | 705 | 927 | 57\% |
| G42-0335G | VTRUX Van | 62\% | 1,949 | 139 | 2,799 | 806 | 1,993 | 71\% |
| G42-0656K | eNV200 | 100\% | 7,476 | 415 | 8,348 | 2,602 | 5,747 | 69\% |
| G42-0766L | VTRUX PU | 88\% | 8,004 | 364 | 7,313 | 3,308 | 4,005 | 55\% |
| G42-1222M | VTRUX Van | 92\% | 8,059 | 576 | 11,571 | 3,330 | 8,240 | 71\% |
| G42-1223M | eNV200 | 100\% | 11,364 | 812 | 16,315 | 3,955 | 12,361 | 76\% |
| G43-0895K | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,816 | 318 | 6,392 | 1,328 | 5,064 | 79\% |
| G43-0896K | eNV200 | 100\% | 6,672 | 556 | 11,176 | 2,322 | 8,854 | 79\% |
| G43-2287M | eNV200 | 100\% | 4,812 | 370 | 7,440 | 1,675 | 5,766 | 77\% |
| G43-2288M | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,084 | 237 | 4,768 | 1,073 | 3,695 | 77\% |
| G62-0203H | Rav4 | 100\% | 9,160 | 573 | 11,507 | 3,506 | 8,001 | 70\% |
| Total |  |  | 134,808 | 7,614 | 153,036 | 46,507 | 106,529 | 70\% |

In summary, with the monitored vehicles replaced with these PEVs, over $106,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ are avoided each year using Washington State statistics.
Table E-11. Monitored support vehicle replacement GHG reduction (national statistics).

| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | \% CD <br> Mode <br> Travel | Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | GHG Emission ICE | PEV <br> GHG <br> Nat. | PEV GHG Sav Nat. | GHG <br> Savings <br> Nat. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G10-0984N | Focus | 100\% | 4,668 | 212 | 4,265 | 2,214 | 2,051 | 48\% |
| G10-1137M | Leaf | 100\% | 3,130 | 120 | 2,420 | 1,437 | 983 | 41\% |
| G10-1139M | Fusion | 74\% | 1,314 | 51 | 1,016 | 744 | 272 | 27\% |
| G10-1141M | Fusion | 70\% | 10,676 | 411 | 8,254 | 6,044 | 2,210 | 27\% |
| G10-3590P | Leaf | 100\% | 3,840 | 132 | 2,662 | 1,763 | 899 | 34\% |
| G10-5286H | Volt | 96\% | 5,887 | 256 | 5,144 | 3,152 | 1,992 | 39\% |


| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | \% CD <br> Mode <br> Travel | Annual Miles CD | Gas Gallons Saved | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV <br> GHG <br> Nat. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEV } \\ & \text { GHG Sav } \\ & \text { Nat. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | GHG <br> Savings <br> Nat. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G41-1137K | Fit | 100\% | 5,808 | 306 | 6,144 | 2,577 | 3,567 | 58\% |
| G41-1349G | Rav4 | 100\% | 2,388 | 159 | 3,200 | 1,608 | 1,592 | 50\% |
| G41-1350G | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,956 | 78 | 1,573 | 1,197 | 376 | 24\% |
| G41-1536L | Fit | 100\% | 4,296 | 215 | 4,317 | 1,906 | 2,411 | 56\% |
| G41-1597L | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,672 | 230 | 4,613 | 2,247 | 2,366 | 51\% |
| G41-1763H | Rav4 | 100\% | 4,920 | 234 | 4,709 | 3,312 | 1,397 | 30\% |
| G41-1765H | VTRUX PU | 79\% | 3,707 | 177 | 3,548 | 2,694 | 854 | 24\% |
| G41-1767H | eNV200 | 100\% | 11,004 | 524 | 10,532 | 6,734 | 3,798 | 36\% |
| G41-1768H | eNV200 | 100\% | 1,440 | 69 | 1,378 | 881 | 497 | 36\% |
| G41-4334M | VTRUX PU | 96\% | 1,705 | 81 | 1,632 | 1,239 | 393 | 24\% |
| G42-0335G | VTRUX Van | 62\% | 1,949 | 139 | 2,799 | 1,417 | 1,382 | 49\% |
| G42-0656K | eNV200 | 100\% | 7,476 | 415 | 8,348 | 4,575 | 3,773 | 45\% |
| G42-0766L | VTRUX PU | 88\% | 8,004 | 364 | 7,313 | 5,817 | 1,496 | 20\% |
| G42-1222M | VTRUX Van | 92\% | 8,059 | 576 | 11,571 | 5,857 | 5,714 | 49\% |
| G42-1223M | eNV200 | 100\% | 11,364 | 812 | 16,315 | 6,955 | 9,361 | 57\% |
| G43-0895K | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,816 | 318 | 6,392 | 2,335 | 4,056 | 63\% |
| G43-0896K | eNV200 | 100\% | 6,672 | 556 | 11,176 | 4,083 | 7,092 | 63\% |
| G43-2287M | eNV200 | 100\% | 4,812 | 370 | 7,440 | 2,945 | 4,495 | 60\% |
| G43-2288M | eNV200 | 100\% | 3,084 | 237 | 4,768 | 1,887 | 2,881 | 60\% |
| G62-0203H | Rav4 | 100\% | 9,160 | 573 | 11,507 | 6,167 | 5,341 | 46\% |
| Total |  |  | 134,808 | 7,614 | 153,036 | 81,788 | 71,248 | 47\% |

## E.3.3 Departments Group Full Support Fleet Evaluation

Section 4.2 identifies 60 vehicles in the Departments group support mission fleet. Intertek suggests that a fleet of 42 BEVs and 18 PHEVs conservatively meet the vehicle travel requirements. The replacement vehicles follow the suggestions of Table 17 in Section 5.

Using averages for these vehicles, potential replacements offer the fuel cost reductions and GHG reductions shown in Tables E-12 and E-13.

Table E-12. Departments full support fleet PEV replacement reductions (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel Saving <br> Local | Fuel Red <br> Local\% | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 312,740 | 18,329 | $\$ 51,871$ | $\$ 7,353$ | $\$ 44,519$ | $86 \%$ | 371,314 | 112,005 | 259,309 | $70 \%$ |

In summary, if all the support fleet were to be replaced by PEVs, a reduction in over $\$ 44,000$ in fuel costs and nearly 260,000 lbs-CO2e can be avoided.
Table E-13. Departments full support fleet PEV replacement reductions (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> National | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Nat | Fuel Savings <br> Nat | Fuel Reds <br> Nat\% | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Nat | PEV GHG <br> Sav Nat | SHGving \% <br> Nat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 312,740 | 18,329 | $\$ 45,090$ | $\$ 16,729$ | $\$ 128,360$ | $63 \%$ | 371,314 | 196,974 | 174,340 | $47 \%$ |

## E. 4 Monitored Enforcement Mission Vehicle Reductions

## E.4.1 Monitored Departments Enforcement Mission Vehicle Fuel Cost Reduction

Tables E-14 and E-15 identify the calculated miles in CD mode for each replacement vehicle and projected fuel cost reductions for enforcement vehicles.

As before, because Washington State fuel costs are higher than the national average, local gasoline costs are greater than national figures. Also, because Puget Sound Energy relies more on cheaper hydroelectric power than the average of all national power providers, electrical fuel costs in Washington State are lower.

Table E-14. Monitored enforcement vehicle replacement fuel cost reduction (Washington State).

|  |  | \% CD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replacement |  |  |
| Mode |  |  |
| Travel |  |  |$\quad$| Annual |
| :---: |
| Miles |
| CD |$\quad$| Gas |
| :---: |
| Gallons |
| Saved |$\quad$| Fuel |
| :---: |
| Cost |
| Wash. | | Elect |
| :---: |
| Cost |
| Wash. | | Fuel |
| :---: |
| Savings |
| Wash. | | Fuel Red. |
| :---: |
| Wash. $\%$ |

In summary, over $\$ 4,000$ in fuel costs in Washington State can be saved by using PEVs as replacement vehicles. This represents an $88 \%$ reduction in costs.
Table E-15. Monitored enforcement vehicle replacement fuel cost reduction (national statistics).

| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% CD } \\ \text { Mode } \\ \text { Travel } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annual } \\ \text { Miles } \\ \text { CD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Fuel <br> Cost <br> Nat. | Electricity <br> Cost Nat. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fuel } \\ & \text { Savings } \\ & \text { Nat. } \end{aligned}$ | Fuel Red. <br> Nat. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G11-0472L | Fusion | 64\% | 1,206 | 52 | \$129 | \$58 | \$71 | 55\% |
| G11-1424L | Fusion | 50\% | 3,264 | 148 | \$365 | \$157 | \$208 | 57\% |
| G11-2898K | Fusion | 62\% | 2,262 | 103 | \$253 | \$109 | \$144 | 57\% |
| G42-2035L | Fit | 100\% | 10,700 | 629 | \$1,548 | \$403 | \$1,145 | 74\% |
| G43-0936K | eNV200 | 100\% | 7,140 | 595 | \$1,464 | \$371 | \$1,092 | 75\% |
| G62-0871K | Outlander | 19\% | 1,441 | 90 | \$222 | \$82 | \$139 | 63\% |
| G62-2420P | VTRUX Van | 30\% | 968 | 51 | \$125 | \$60 | \$66 | 52\% |
| Total |  |  | 26,981 | 1,669 | \$4,106 | \$1,241 | \$2,865 | 70\% |

## E.4.2 Monitored Enforcement Mission Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Reduction

Tables E-16 and E-17 identify the reduction in GHGs projected when replacing the current enforcement mission vehicles with PEVs.

Table E-16. Monitored enforcement vehicle replacement GHG reduction (Washington State).

|  |  | \% CD | Annual | Gas | GHG | PEV | PEV | GHG |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Replacement | Mode | Miles | Gallons | Emission | GHG | GHG Sav | Savings |
| Vehicle ID | PEV | Travel | CD | Saved | ICE | Wash. | Wash. | Wash. \% |
| G11-0472L | Fusion | $64 \%$ | 1,206 | 52 | 1,054 | 388 | 666 | $63 \%$ |
| G11-1424L | Fusion | $50 \%$ | 3,264 | 148 | 2,982 | 1,051 | 1,931 | $65 \%$ |


| Vehicle ID | Replacement PEV | \% CD <br> Mode <br> Travel | Annual Miles CD | Gas <br> Gallons Saved | GHG Emission ICE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEV } \\ & \text { GHG } \\ & \text { Wash. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEV } \\ & \text { GHG Sav } \\ & \text { Wash. } \end{aligned}$ | GHG <br> Savings <br> Wash. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G11-2898K | Fusion | 62\% | 2,262 | 103 | 2,066 | 728 | 1,338 | 65\% |
| G42-2035L | Fit | 100\% | 10,700 | 629 | 12,651 | 2,700 | 9,952 | 79\% |
| G43-0936K | eNV200 | 100\% | 7,140 | 595 | 11,960 | 2,485 | 9,475 | 79\% |
| G62-0871K | Outlander | 19\% | 1,441 | 90 | 1,810 | 552 | 1,259 | 70\% |
| G62-2420P | VTRUX Van | 30\% | 968 | 51 | 1,024 | 400 | 624 | 61\% |
| Total |  |  | 26,981 | 1,669 | 33,548 | 8,303 | 25,245 | 75\% |

In summary, with the monitored enforcement vehicles replaced with these PEVs, over $25,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO} 2 \mathrm{e}$ are avoided each year using Washington State statistics.
Table E-17. Monitored enforcement vehicle replacement GHG reduction (National statistics).

|  |  | \% CD | Annual |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replacement | Mode | Miles | Gallons | GHG | PEV |  |  |  |
| Emission | GHG | PEV GHG | GHG |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings | Savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle ID | PEV | Travel | CD | Saved | ICE | Nat. | Nat. | Nat. $\%$ |
| G11-0472L | Fusion | $64 \%$ | 1,206 | 52 | 1,054 | 683 | 371 | $35 \%$ |
| G11-1424L | Fusion | $50 \%$ | 3,264 | 148 | 2,982 | 1,848 | 1,134 | $38 \%$ |
| G11-2898K | Fusion | $62 \%$ | 2,262 | 103 | 2,066 | 1,280 | 786 | $38 \%$ |
| G42-2035L | Fit | $100 \%$ | 10,700 | 629 | 12,651 | 4,748 | 7,904 | $62 \%$ |
| G43-0936K | eNV200 | $100 \%$ | 7,140 | 595 | 11,960 | 4,370 | 7,590 | $63 \%$ |
| G62-0871K | Outlander | $19 \%$ | 1,441 | 90 | 1,810 | 970 | 840 | $46 \%$ |
| G62-2420P | VTRUX Van | $30 \%$ | 968 | 51 | 1,024 | 704 | 321 | $31 \%$ |
| Total |  |  | 26,981 | 1,669 | 33,548 | 14,602 | 18,946 | $56 \%$ |

## E.4.3 Departments Group Full Enforcement Fleet Evaluation

Section 4.2 identifies 25vehicles in the Departments group enforcement mission fleet. Intertek suggests that a fleet of six BEVs and 19 PHEVs conservatively meets the vehicle travel requirements. The replacement vehicles follow the suggestions of Table 17 in Section 5.

Using averages for these vehicles, the potential replacements offer the fuel cost reductions and GHG reductions shown in Tables E-18 and E-19.

Table E-18. Departments group full enforcement fleet PEV replacement reductions (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel Saving <br> Local | Fuel Red <br> Local\% | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | SHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98,014 | 5,506 | $\$ 15,581$ | $\$ 2,062$ | $\$ 13,518$ | $87 \%$ | 110,662 | 31,402 | 79,260 | $72 \%$ |

In summary, if all of the enforcement fleet were to be replaced by PEVs, a reduction over $\$ 67,000$ in fuel costs could occur and $390,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ can be avoided.

Table E-19. Departments full enforcement fleet PEV replacement reductions (national statistics).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> National | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Nat | Fuel Savings <br> Nat | Fuel Reds <br> Nat\% | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Nat | PEV GHG <br> Sav Nat | SHG <br> Saving \% <br> Nat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98,014 | 5,506 | $\$ 13,544$ | $\$ 4,692$ | $\$ 18,851$ | $65 \%$ | 110,662 | 55,224 | 55,438 | $50 \%$ |

## E. 4 Transport Mission Vehicle Reductions

Section 4.2 shows that the Departments group has one cargo van with a transport mission. Because it was not monitored, it is suggested that a suitable styled PHEV could provide a replacement (this vehicle is included in the full Departments group summary in Section E.5).

## E. 5 Full Departments Group Full Fleet Summary

The full Departments group fleet includes support, enforcement, and transport missions. Projected results for all mission groups are shown in Table E-20 and summarized in Section 5.

Table E-20. Departments group full fleet PEV replacement reductions (Washington State).

| Annual Miles <br> CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost <br> Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel Saving <br> Local | Fuel Red <br> Local\% | GHG <br> Emission ICE | PEV GHG <br> Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 483,507 | 27,737 | $\$ 78,496$ | $\$ 11,156$ | $\$ 167,341$ | $86 \%$ | 560,417 | 169,910 | 390,507 | $70 \%$ |

In summary, if all Departments group fleet vehicles are replaced with PEVs, over $\$ 67,000$ in fuel costs may be saved, along with $390,000 \mathrm{lbs}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ avoided.

## E. 6 Departments Group Summary

Table E-21 provides the average values for all monitored vehicles belonging to the Departments group.

Table E-21. Departments group monitored vehicles average values.

| Annual Miles CD | Gas <br> Gallons <br> Saved | Gas Cost Local | Elect Fuel <br> Cost Local | Fuel Saving Local | Fuel Red Local\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11,512 | 660 | \$ 1,869 | \$ 266 | \$ 1,603 | 86\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { GHG } \\ \text { Emission ICE } \end{gathered}$ | PEV GHG Local | PEV GHG <br> Sav Local | GHG <br> Saving \% <br> Loc |  |
|  | 13,343 | 4,045 | 9,298 | 70\% |  |

Table E-21 shows that there is a significant opportunity for savings not only in fuel costs but in GHG emissions with the deployment of PEVs in this fleet.
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