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## ABSTRACT

Idaho National Laboratory worked with the U.S. Department of Navy to collect and evaluate data from federal fleet vehicle operations in the San Diego area. This study collected and evaluated data to validate the introduction and use of advanced battery electric vehicles (BEV) in fleet applications.

Findings are reported on vehicle and mission characterizations to support the successful introduction of BEVs into military base fleets.

Individual observations of these vehicles provide the basis for recommendations related to BEV adoption and whether a BEV can fulfill the mission requirements as currently defined.
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# INL Fleet Vehicle Characterization Study for the U.S. Department of Navy 

## 1. PROJECT OBJECTIVE

The objective of Idaho National Laboratory study for the U.S. Department of Navy was to perform analysis on light-duty internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles that are operating in four United States Marine Corps fleets in order to identify candidate vehicles for replacement by battery electric vehicles (BEVs). This report documents the results of this study.

The above objective was accomplished by conducting the following four tasks:

1. Data collection: Coordinated with the fleet manager to collect data on agency fleet vehicles. Data were provided to Idaho National Laboratory (INL) via the fleet management tool, Networkfleet ${ }^{\circledR}$, and consisted of an activity detail report and the stop detail reports, which included vehicle trip data.
2. Data analysis and review: Examined data collected by data loggers and fleet vehicle characteristics to describe typical fleet activity.
3. Battery electric vehicle (BEV) implementation feedback: Provide feedback to fleet personnel and the U.S. Navy on selection criteria for replacement BEVs in their specific fleet vehicle missions.
4. Observations and recommendations: Provide actionable information to introduce BEVs into agency fleet operations.
Data collected or received from the ICE vehicles include trip distance, idle time, time between uses, and parking locations. The reports provided data at 2-minute intervals and the collection period for this analysis was from August 1, 2014, to July 1, 2015.

Fleet managers may use the information supplied in this report to help them identify which vehicles are candidates for replacement by BEVs based on historical use. BEVs are preferred because of the greater potential reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, fuel cost, and petroleum usage, but they are not likely to be suitable for all vehicle missions.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1 Fleet Vehicle Selection

Agency fleet managers selected fleet vehicles for this study and provided basic information for each vehicle, including its managing base, primary vehicle mission, vehicle make, model, and model year.

The U.S. Navy identified 73 vehicles in their fleet for this assessment (Table 1). The vehicles span four operational Marine Corps installations (i.e., Marine Corps Logistics Base (MCLB) Barstow, Marine Corps Base (MCB) Camp Pendleton, Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Miramar, and Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center (MCAGCC) 29 Palms). The fleet manager assessed the wide range of vehicles and made selections of high-interest, representative vehicles based on vehicle missions and vehicle type/class.
Table 1. Vehicle mission.

| Vehicle Mission | Study Vehicles |
| :--- | :---: |
| MCLB Barstow | 5 |
| MCB Camp Pendleton | 53 |
| MCAS Miramar | 14 |
| MCAGCC 29 Palms | 1 |

### 2.2 Data Collection

Data collection occurred by vehicle identification, which was identified by base operations and a vehicle identification number or agency-assigned vehicle number. INL received no information related to the vehicle operator and provided no raw data to fleet managers. In this manner, INL did not collect, analyze, or report on driving habits of individual drivers.

### 2.2.1 Data Captured

Data consisted of key-on events, key-off events, and location, which were logged approximately every 2 minutes while the vehicle was on.

From these data points, the following information was available for evaluation:

- Trip start and stop time and location
- Trip distance and duration
- Idle start time, location, and duration
- Stop start time, location, and duration.


### 2.3 Data Analysis

### 2.3.1 Definitions

INL analyzed the vehicle's activity detail to determine the vehicle's daily usage, which was comprised of trips, stops, and idle events that occurred during a day. The following list provides definitions of these terms:

1. Trip: A trip begin with a key-on event and ended with the next key-off event.
2. Parking event: A vehicle parking event included the time between the key-off of the previous trip to the key-on of the next trip.
3. Idle time: Idle time was the amount of time a vehicle spends stationary after a key-on event when the vehicle was not moving for a period of 3 minutes or longer.
4. Trip travel time: Trip travel time was the amount of time between key-on and the next key-off, including idle time.

### 2.3.2 Data Evaluation

Processing data involved removal of null values and aggregation by different spatial and temporal scales. Aggregation was by day and trip to produce figures showing the patterns of use. Section 4 presents these results. INL observations are included in Section 5.

Statistical data analysis uses Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Excel, SQL Server 2012, and Matlab ${ }^{\circledR}$ software. Frequency distributions summarize the travel behavior of each vehicle and vehicle mission during the study period. Rounding of the tables and figures are to three significant digits.

### 2.3.3 Battery Electric Vehicle Range Estimates

In order to determine if existing ICE vehicles in the Marine Corps fleets can be replaced by BEVs, INL had to establish the likely range of BEVs being offered during model year 2015. The Model Year 2015 Fuel Economy Guide ${ }^{\text {a }}$ lists BEVs the U.S. Navy may procure with ranges from 82 miles (Chevrolet Spark EV) to 93 miles (Kia Soul Electric). A mid-point of 87.5 miles per charge was assumed (Table 2). However, these ranges were established without the real-world use of accessory loads (e.g., cabin

[^0]comfort) that drivers demand, and it is known that battery range is reduced over time. Therefore, INL used the method described as follows to estimate BEV range after approximately 5 years of use.
Table 2. Estimated real-world BEV range at 5 years and approximately 50,000 miles.

| Model | Fuel Economy <br> Guide Range | Estimated Range with <br> Accessories (15\% Reduction) | Estimated Range at <br> 5 Years (15\% Reduction) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chevrolet Spark | 82 | 69.7 | 59 |
| Midpoint | 87.5 | 74.4 | 63 |
| Kia Soul | 93 | 79.05 | 67 |

INL testing of BEVs has established that one test cannot be representative of the range every driver in every section of the United States will achieve. Testing by INL of the 2013 Nissan Leaf ${ }^{b}$ and the 2013 Ford Focus ${ }^{\text { }}$ demonstrated energy efficiencies of $133.4 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{mile}$ to $458.7 \mathrm{~Wh} /$ mile for the Leaf. This translates to 7.5 to $2.2 \mathrm{~kW} /$ mile. The variation is due to temperatures and speeds when testing. In addition, Nissan Leafs (with between 45,000 and 55,000 miles) operating as part of The EV Project in Los Angeles and San Diego were examined during 1,645 trips; they had an average range of 64.9 miles.

Based on the 6 months of operating data INL received, the internal combustion engine vehicles in the Marine Corps fleets averaged between 45,000 and 55,000 miles over a 5 -year period. Therefore, The EV Project's 64.9 -mile range appears reasonable when compared to the Table 2 calculations. As another example, range testing conducted by INL for Leafs charged at normal Level 2 charge infrastructure experienced range reductions of $21 \%$, on average, at 50,000 test miles. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Use of the $15 \%$ reduction for capacity fade over 50,000 miles seems to be a conservative estimate given that the areas the Marine BEVS will be operated in will not be as hot as the area the INL-tested Leafs operated in. Many other range reduction results can be referenced if needed.

## 3. VEHICLES

### 3.1 Vehicle Missions

Vehicle mission is an important characteristic in the fleet study. Based on information provided by the Marine Corps fleet managers, INL presumes that all vehicles in this study are pool vehicles. The pool vehicles in this study consisted of compact sedans, midsize sedans, small trucks, and full-size trucks.

[^1]
## 4. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF NAVY ANALYSIS

### 4.1 U.S. Department of Navy Fleet

The U.S. Navy provided reports for 73 vehicles in their fleets at four operating bases in the San Diego mission area. Table 3 shows the breakdown of these vehicles by vehicle type and by base.

Table 3. Navy fleet vehicles by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency type.

| Department | Description | Sedan Midsize | SUV | Minivan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Van } \\ & \text { Cargo } \end{aligned}$ | Van Pass | Truck | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCLB Barstow | Marine Corps Logistics Base | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| MCB Camp Pendleton | Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton | 14 | - | 7 | - | 12 | 20 | 53 |
| MCAS Miramar | Marine Corps Air Station | 3 | - | 4 | - | 2 | 5 | 14 |
| MCAGCC 29 Palms | Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Total |  | 19 | - | 12 | - | 15 | 27 | 73 |

### 4.2 Vehicles Selected for Monitoring

Seventy-three vehicles were included in the study at INL. Table A-1 in Appendix A presents an index of vehicles in tabular form, including vehicle make, model, year, and base. Selection of monitored vehicles was completed by U.S. Navy personnel. The objective of this selection was to determine viable BEV replacement candidates.

Appendix B provides a detailed summary analysis of each individual vehicle included in this study. The information from this analysis is presented by grouping the vehicles into their respective bases of operation. Because the base missions vary considerably, INL choose to group these mission areas and evaluate them separately. This will ensure that mission gaps are not created by recommended replacements.

### 4.3 Data Validity

INL data collection took place from August 1, 2014, through July 1, 2015. Vehicle data sheets (presented in Appendix B) detail the summary information plots and statistics related to each vehicle.

Five of the vehicles listed did not have any data collected in the analysis time period. One vehicle did not provide enough information to form a reliable recommendation. Table 4 shows this information by mission location.

Table 4. Base evaluations showing percent studied.

| Vehicle Mission | Study Vehicles | Total Reported | Percent Studied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCLB Barstow | 5 | 4 | $80.0 \%$ |
| MCB Camp Pendleton | 53 | 50 | $94.3 \%$ |
| MCAS Miramar | 14 | 13 | $92.9 \%$ |
| MCAGCC 29 Palms | 1 | 1 | $100.0 \%$ |

### 4.4 MCLB Barstow Vehicle Pool Evaluation

### 4.4.1 Site Information

At MCLB Barstow, data were collected from one mid-size sedan, one passenger van, and two small trucks.

Incorporation of BEVs into the pool mission is a definite possibility. Pool vehicles used for shorter trips qualify for BEV replacement, while other pool vehicle activities that are used for longer trips may require range beyond that of the available BEVs.

### 4.4.2 Summary for Pool Vehicles

Appendix B provides the vehicle data sheets for each of the pool vehicles monitored. This section aggregates data for all pool vehicles for MCLB Barstow. Table 5 summarizes pool vehicle travel during the study period for those days when the vehicle was driven. Vehicle use occurred primarily between 0800 and 2200 hours daily. The vehicles were driven 22,959 miles and logged 1,277 hours of operation. Vehicle 5B-G413964H did not provide data and was excluded from the summary and range analyses, because inclusion of the vehicle would skew the results in both cases.

Table 5. MCLB Barstow evaluation.

| Pool Summary |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Distance (miles) | $22,959.2$ |
| Total Drive Time (hours) | 1,277 |
| Daily Average Trip Distance (miles) | 36.0 |
| Percent of Days Driven | $49 \%$ |

Table 6 summarizes how often a pool vehicle exceeded the low, medium, and high electric vehicle ranges. The BEV ranges specified for low, medium, and high-range BEVs represent the expected single-charge ranges of BEVs that are currently available.
Table 6. MCLB Barstow range analysis.

|  | EV Range |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Range, <br> Medium Range, <br> High Range | Percentage of Days <br> Vehicle Exceeds <br> Electric Vehicle Range | Number of Days Vehicle <br> Exceeds Electric <br> Vehicle Range |
| 5B-294399 | 59 | $14 \%$ | 8 |
| 5B-G410512G | 63 | $9 \%$ | 5 |
|  | 67 | $9 \%$ | 5 |
|  | 59 | $5 \%$ | 10 |
| 5B-G410523G | 63 | $3 \%$ | 7 |
|  | 67 | $3 \%$ | 6 |
| 5B-G414199H | 59 | $8 \%$ | 20 |
|  | 63 | $6 \%$ | 14 |
|  | 69 | $5 \%$ | 12 |
|  | 63 | $20 \%$ | 29 |

### 4.4.3 Individual Vehicle Observations Compared to 59, 63, and 67-Mile Range Limits

1. Vehicle ID 5B-294399, Sedan

This vehicle was driven 56 days of the 334 days in the study period (16.7\%). The vehicle exceeded the 59 -mile range limit on 8 days (14\%). The vehicle exceeded the 63 -mile range limit on 5 days (9\%). The vehicle exceeded the 67 -mile range limit on 5 days ( $9 \%$ ). As shown in the vehicle data sheet in Appendix B, the vehicle performed a moderate number of short trips per day, possibly allowing daytime charge events.
This mid-sized sedan may be replaced with a BEV, provided that another vehicle is available for the long-range driving this vehicle occasionally supported. Charging can be accomplished overnight or on days when the vehicle is not driven.
2. Vehicle ID 5B-G410512G, Passenger Van

This vehicle was driven 205 days of the 334 days in the study period (61.3\%). The vehicle exceeded the 59 -mile range limit on 10 days or (5\%). The vehicle exceeded the 63 -mile range limit on 7 days $(3 \%)$. The vehicle exceeded the 67 -mile range limit on 6 days (3\%). As shown in the vehicle data sheet in Appendix B, the vehicle performed a moderate number of short trips per day, possibly allowing daytime charge events.
This passenger van may be replaced with a BEV, provided that another vehicle is available for the long-range driving this vehicle occasionally supported. Charging can be accomplished overnight or on days when the vehicle is not driven.
3. Vehicle ID 5B-G410523G, Small Truck

This vehicle was driven 250 days of the 334 days in the study period ( $74.8 \%$ ). The vehicle exceeded the 59 -mile range limit on 29 days ( $8 \%$ ). The vehicle exceeded the 63 -mile range limit on 29 days (6\%). The vehicle exceeded the 67 -mile range limit on 27 days (5\%). As shown in the vehicle data sheet in Appendix B, the vehicle performed a moderate number of short trips per day, possibly allowing daytime charge events.
This small truck may be replaced with a BEV, provided that another vehicle is available for the long-range driving this vehicle occasionally supported. Charging can be accomplished overnight or on days when the vehicle is not driven.
4. Vehicle ID 5B-G413964H, Minivan

No data were provided for this vehicle over the study period.
5. Vehicle ID 5B-G414199H, Small Truck

This vehicle was driven 143 days of the 334 days in the study period (42.8\%). The vehicle exceeded the 59 -mile range limit on 27 days ( $20 \%$ ). The vehicle exceeded the 63 -mile range limit on 27 days (20\%). The vehicle exceeded the 67 -mile range limit on 27 days (19\%). As shown in the vehicle data sheet in Appendix B, the vehicle performed a moderate number of short trips per day, possibly allowing daytime charge events.
This small truck may be replaced with a BEV, provided that another vehicle is available for the long-range driving this vehicle occasionally supported. Charging can be accomplished overnight or on days when the vehicle is not driven.

### 4.5 MCB Camp Pendleton Vehicle Pool Evaluation

### 4.5.1 Site Information

At MCB Camp Pendleton, data were collected from 13 mid-size sedans, 17 vans, nine small trucks, and 11 trucks for a total of 50 vehicles.

Incorporation of BEVs into the pool mission is a definite possibility. Pool vehicles used for shorter trips qualify for BEV replacement, while other pool vehicle activities used for longer trips may require range beyond that of available BEVs.

### 4.5.2 Summary for Pool Vehicles

Appendix B provides the vehicle data sheets for each of the pool vehicles monitored. This section aggregates data for all pool vehicles for MCB Camp Pendleton. Table 7 summarizes pool travel during the study period for those days when the vehicle was driven. Vehicle use occurred primarily between 0800 and 2200 hours daily. The vehicles were driven a total of 256,824 miles and logged 7,733 hours of operation. Vehicles 5L-G109773K, 5L-G414871K, 5L-G414872K, and 5L-G416051H did not provide a sufficient amount of data; therefore, they were excluded in the summary and range analyses, because inclusion of the vehicles would skew the results in both cases.

Table 7. MCB Camp Pendleton evaluation.

| Pool Summary |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Distance (miles) | $256,823.5$ |
| Total Drive Time (hours) | 7,733 |
| Daily Average Trip Distance (miles) | 33.6 |
| Percent of Days Driven | $43.2 \%$ |

Table 8 summarizes how often a pool vehicle exceeds the low, medium, and high electric vehicle ranges. The BEV ranges specified for low, medium, and high-range BEVs represent the expected single-charge ranges of BEVs that are currently available.
Table 8. MCB Camp Pendleton range.

|  | Low Range, <br> Medium Range, <br> High Range | EV Range <br> Percentage of Days <br> Vehicle Exceeds <br> Electric Vehicle Range | Number of Days <br> Vehicle Exceeds <br> Electric Vehicle Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 L - 2 9 4 2 6 6}$ | 59 | $9 \%$ | 10 |
|  | 63 | $9 \%$ | 10 |
| $\mathbf{5 L - 2 9 4 2 6 7}$ | 67 | $9 \%$ | 10 |
|  | 59 | $5 \%$ | 5 |
| $5 \mathbf{L - 2 9 4 2 7 4}$ | 63 | $3 \%$ | 3 |
|  | 67 | $3 \%$ | 3 |
| $5 \mathbf{5 L - 2 9 4 2 7 7}$ | 59 | $7 \%$ | 7 |
|  | 63 | $3 \%$ | 5 |
|  | 67 | $6 \%$ | 3 |
| $\mathbf{5 L - G 1 0 6 2 0 6 H}$ | 59 | $6 \%$ | 4 |
|  | 63 | $5 \%$ | 4 |
|  | 67 | $22 \%$ | 3 |
|  | 59 | $21 \%$ | 37 |


| EV Range |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Range, Medium Range, High Range | Percentage of Days Vehicle Exceeds Electric Vehicle Range | Number of Days Vehicle Exceeds Electric Vehicle Range |
| 5L-G106208H | 59 | 36\% | 32 |
|  | 63 | 34\% | 31 |
|  | 67 | 33\% | 30 |
| 5L-G106501H | 59 | 6\% | 6 |
|  | 63 | 6\% | 6 |
|  | 67 | 6\% | 6 |
| 5L-G107279L | 59 | 17\% | 34 |
|  | 63 | 15\% | 30 |
|  | 67 | 13\% | 25 |
| 5L-G109766K | 59 | 5\% | 9 |
|  | 63 | 5\% | 9 |
|  | 67 | 5\% | 9 |
| 5L-G109768K | 59 | 13\% | 22 |
|  | 63 | 12\% | 21 |
|  | 67 | 12\% | 20 |
| 5L-G109769K | 59 | 12\% | 28 |
|  | 63 | 10\% | 24 |
|  | 67 | 9\% | 21 |
| 5L-G109771K | 59 | 17\% | 10 |
|  | 63 | 17\% | 10 |
|  | 67 | 13\% | 8 |
| 5L-G136271L | 59 | 1\% | 1 |
|  | 63 | 1\% | 1 |
|  | 67 | 1\% | 1 |
| 5L-G410487G | 59 | 15\% | 19 |
|  | 63 | 12\% | 16 |
|  | 67 | 10\% | 13 |
| 5L-G410489G | 59 | 23\% | 40 |
|  | 63 | 20\% | 34 |
|  | 67 | 18\% | 31 |
| 5L-G410514G | 59 | 38\% | 10 |
|  | 63 | 35\% | 9 |
|  | 67 | 35\% | 9 |
| 5L-G411436F | 59 | 2\% | 4 |
|  | 63 | 2\% | 4 |
|  | 67 | 2\% | 4 |
| 5L-G411447F | 59 | 35\% | 33 |
|  | 63 | 33\% | 31 |
|  | 67 | 30\% | 28 |
| 5L-G411466F | 59 | 9\% | 13 |
|  | 63 | 7\% | 10 |
|  | 67 | 6\% | 9 |
| 5L-G411467F | 59 | 2\% | 3 |
|  | 63 | 1\% | 2 |
|  | 67 | 1\% | 2 |
| 5L-G414389H | 59 | 19\% | 36 |


| EV Range |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Range, Medium Range, High Range | Percentage of Days Vehicle Exceeds Electric Vehicle Range | Number of Days Vehicle Exceeds Electric Vehicle Range |
| 5L-G414405H | 63 | 14\% | 27 |
|  | 67 | 12\% | 24 |
|  | 59 | 4\% | 7 |
|  | 63 | 3\% | 5 |
| 5L-G414428H | 67 | 3\% | 5 |
|  | 59 | 12\% | 20 |
|  | 63 | 12\% | 20 |
| 5L-G414430H | 67 | 11\% | 18 |
|  | 59 | 28\% | 58 |
|  | 63 | 27\% | 55 |
| 5L-G414438H | 67 | 25\% | 52 |
|  | 59 | 18\% | 15 |
|  | 63 | 18\% | 15 |
| 5L-G414440H | 67 | 18\% | 15 |
|  | 59 | 9\% | 18 |
|  | 63 | 7\% | 13 |
| 5L-G414488L | 67 | 6\% | 11 |
|  | 59 | 8\% | 16 |
|  | 63 | 6\% | 12 |
| 5L-G414500L | 67 | 5\% | 10 |
|  | 59 | 21\% | 13 |
|  | 63 | 21\% | 13 |
| 5L-G414511L | 67 | 20\% | 12 |
|  | 59 | 15\% | 29 |
|  | 63 | 13\% | 25 |
| 5L-G414513L | 67 | 11\% | 21 |
|  | 59 | 2\% | 3 |
|  | 63 | 2\% | 3 |
| 5L-G414867K | 67 | 1\% | 1 |
|  | 59 | 1\% | 2 |
|  | 63 | 1\% | 1 |
| 5L-G414868K | 67 | 1\% | 1 |
|  | 59 | 11\% | 12 |
|  | 63 | 11\% | 12 |
| 5L-G414869K | 67 | 11\% | 12 |
|  | 59 | 9\% | 14 |
|  | 63 | 6\% | 10 |
| 5L-G421041D | 67 | 5\% | 8 |
|  | 59 | 0\% | 0 |
|  | 63 | 0\% | 0 |
| 5L-G421775L | 67 | 0\% | 0 |
|  | 59 | 8\% | 18 |
|  | 63 | 6\% | 13 |
| 5L-G421920L | 67 | 5\% | 11 |
|  | 59 | 36\% | 96 |
|  | 63 | 32\% | 85 |


| EV Range |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Range, Medium Range, High Range | Percentage of Days Vehicle Exceeds Electric Vehicle Range | Number of Days Vehicle Exceeds Electric Vehicle Range |
| 5L-G421922L | 67 | 29\% | 78 |
|  | 59 | 18\% | 11 |
|  | 63 | 13\% | 8 |
| 5L-G422169G | 67 | 13\% | 8 |
|  | 59 | 45\% | 57 |
|  | 63 | 44\% | 56 |
| 5L-G422206H | 67 | 44\% | 55 |
|  | 59 | 28\% | 34 |
|  | 63 | 26\% | 32 |
| 5L-G422215H | 67 | 25\% | 31 |
|  | 59 | 49\% | 125 |
|  | 63 | 42\% | 107 |
| 5L-G422227H | 67 | 40\% | 102 |
|  | 59 | 22\% | 33 |
|  | 63 | 21\% | 31 |
| 5L-G422233H | 67 | 21\% | 31 |
|  | 59 | 5\% | 8 |
|  | 63 | 3\% | 5 |
| 5L-G422235H | 67 | 3\% | 4 |
|  | 59 | 8\% | 13 |
|  | 63 | 8\% | 13 |
| 5L-G422467K | 67 | 7\% | 11 |
|  | 59 | 1\% | 2 |
|  | 63 | 0\% | 1 |
| 5L-G422482K | 67 | 0\% | 0 |
|  | 59 | 27\% | 41 |
|  | 63 | 27\% | 40 |
| 5L-G422486K | 67 | 25\% | 38 |
|  | 59 | 7\% | 13 |
|  | 63 | 6\% | 11 |
| 5L-G422785H | 67 | 5\% | 10 |
|  | 59 | 12\% | 25 |
|  | 63 | 11\% | 23 |
| 5L-G422884H | 67 | 10\% | 20 |
|  | 59 | 1\% | 2 |
|  | 63 | 1\% | 1 |
| 5L-G422886H | 67 | 1\% | 1 |
|  | 59 | 5\% | 6 |
|  | 63 | 4\% | 4 |
|  | 67 | 2\% | 2 |

### 4.5.3 Vehicle Observations Compared to 63-Mile Range Limit

Because this motor pool is much larger than the Barstow motor pool, analysis was performed by assigning the vehicles into groups rather than by a vehicle-by-vehicle analysis, including the following groups:

- Replacement recommended no impact
- Replacement impact of 1 to 10 days (replacement possible; however, either additional study is required or the stakeholder can make a decision based on need or future vehicle utilization)
- Replacement impact greater than 10 days
- No recommendation provided (due to insufficient data).

Because the midpoint 63-mile range compares reasonably to real world results, it provided a useful basis of comparison across the vehicle groups.
4.5.3.1 Vehicle Replacement No Impact. Analysis of these vehicles indicates that their daily usage may or may not exceed the 63 -mile range limit. These vehicles may be replaced by BEVs, with the assumption that the motor pool vehicles not recommended for replacement will be able to support the rare days when these vehicles were used to support long-distance driving. No vehicles meet a no impact condition.
4.5.3.2 Vehicle Replacement Impact of 1 to 10 Days. Analysis of these vehicles indicates that their daily usage may or may not exceed the 63-mile range limit, but it occurs for a higher number of trips and the frequency is enough that it warrants cautious consideration or additional study prior to replacing the vehicle with a BEV. Based on the statistics, it appears that operational risk or impact could be presented by going forward with BEV replacement. The following 22 vehicles have an impact of 1 to 10 days:

- 5L-294266
- 5L-G410514G
- 5L-G421041D
- 5L-294267
- 5L-G411436F
- 5L-G421922L
- 5L-294274
- 5L-G411466F
- 5L-G422233H
- 5L-294277
- 5L-G411467F
- 5L-G422467K
- 5L-G106501H
- 5L-G414405H
- 5L-G422884H
- 5L-G109766K
- 5L-G414513L
- 5L-G422886H
- 5L-G109771K
- 5L-G414867K
- 5L-G136271L
- 5L-G414869K
4.5.3.3 Vehicle Replacement Impact of Greater Than 10 Days. Analysis of these vehicles indicates that their daily usage frequently exceeds the 63-mile range limit and their high utilization (i.e., number of trips per day) makes supplemental charging during daytime operations difficult to meet the mission objectives. Consideration of the transportation of cargo and personnel also factors into this recommendation. Based on the statistics, it appears that replacing these vehicles would present high operational risk or impact. The following 27 vehicles have an impact of greater than 10 days. Replacement with a BEVs represents a significant risk to the operational mission:
- 5L-G106206H
- 5L-G106208H
- 5L-G107279L
- 5L-G109768K
- 5L-G109769K
- 5L-G410489G
- 5L-G414440H
- 5L-G411447F
- 5L-G414488L
- 5L-G414389H
- 5L-G414500L
- 5L-G414428H
- 5L-G414511L
- 5L-G410487G
- 5L-G414430H
- 5L-G414868K
- 5L-G414438H
- 5L-G421775L
- 5L-G421920L
- 5L-G422215H
- 5L-G422482K
- 5L-G422169G
- 5L-G422227H
- 5L-G422486K
- 5L-G422206H
- 5L-G422235H
- 5L-G422785H
4.5.3.4 No Recommendation Provided. Not enough data were available to provide a recommendation for replacement of the following four vehicles. Additional study would be required to determine if these vehicles can be replaced by BEVs. These vehicles are as follows:
- 5L-G109773K
- 5L-G414871K
- 5L-G414872K
- 5L-G416051H


### 4.6 MCAS Miramar Vehicle Pool Evaluation

### 4.6.1 Site Information

At MCAS Miramar, data were collected from three mid-size sedans, five vans, two small trucks, and three full size trucks for a total of 13 vehicles.

Incorporation of BEVs into the pool mission is a definite possibility. Pool vehicles used for shorter trips qualify for BEV replacement, while other pool vehicle activities that are used for longer trips may require range beyond that of available BEVs.

### 4.6.2 Summary for Pool Vehicles

Appendix B provides the vehicle data sheets for each of the pool vehicles monitored. This section aggregates data for all pool vehicles for MCAS Miramar. Table 9 summarizes pool travel during the study period for those days when the vehicle was driven. Vehicle use occurred primarily between 0800 and 2200 hours daily. The vehicles were driven 54,072 miles and logged 1603 hours of operation. Vehicle $5 \mathrm{M}-414515 \mathrm{~L}$ did not provide a sufficient amount of data; therefore, it was excluded in the summary and range analyses, because inclusion of the vehicle would skew the results in both cases.

Table 9. MCAS Miramar evaluation.

| Pool Summary |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Distance (miles) | $54,071.8$ |
| Total Drive Time (hours) | 1,603 |
| Daily Average Trip Distance (miles) | 27.3 |
| Percent of Days Driven | $42.4 \%$ |

Table 10 summarizes how often a pool vehicle exceeds the low, medium, and high electric vehicle ranges. The BEV ranges specified for low, medium, and high-range BEVs represent the expected single-charge ranges of BEVs that are currently available.

Table 10. MCAS Miramar range analysis.

|  | EV Range |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Range, <br> Medium Range, <br> High Range | Percentage of Days <br> Vehicle Exceeds <br> Electric Vehicle Range | Number of Days Vehicle <br> Exceeds Electric <br> Vehicle Range |  |  |
| 5M-G106209H | 59 | $18 \%$ | 31 |  |  |
|  | 63 | $18 \%$ | 31 |  |  |
|  | 67 | $16 \%$ | 28 |  |  |


| EV Range |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Range, Medium Range, High Range | Percentage of Days Vehicle Exceeds Electric Vehicle Range | Number of Days Vehicle Exceeds Electric Vehicle Range |
| 5M-G106212H | 59 | 6\% | 9 |
|  | 63 | 6\% | 9 |
|  | 67 | 6\% | 9 |
| 5M-G136272L | 59 | 16\% | 21 |
|  | 63 | 15\% | 20 |
|  | 67 | 13\% | 18 |
| 5M-G414171H | 59 | 3\% | 3 |
|  | 63 | 3\% | 3 |
|  | 67 | 3\% | 3 |
| 5M-G414446H | 59 | 5\% | 8 |
|  | 63 | 2\% | 5 |
|  | 67 | 1\% | 3 |
| 5M-G414516L | 59 | 5\% | 7 |
|  | 63 | 5\% | 7 |
|  | 67 | 4\% | 6 |
| 5M-G414859K | 59 | 46\% | 39 |
|  | 63 | 44\% | 37 |
|  | 67 | 41\% | 35 |
| 5M-G414860K | 59 | 8\% | 12 |
|  | 63 | 8\% | 12 |
|  | 67 | 7\% | 11 |
| 5M-G422183G | 59 | 43\% | 39 |
|  | 63 | 41\% | 37 |
|  | 67 | 40\% | 36 |
| 5M-G422205H | 59 | 29\% | 49 |
|  | 63 | 28\% | 48 |
|  | 67 | 28\% | 47 |
| 5M-G422890H | 59 | 17\% | 35 |
|  | 63 | 17\% | 35 |
|  | 67 | 16\% | 34 |
| 5M-G422891H | 59 | 6\% | 8 |
|  | 63 | 5\% | 7 |
|  | 67 | 4\% | 6 |
| 5M-G423185H | 59 | 5\% | 9 |
|  | 63 | 5\% | 9 |
|  | 67 | 5\% | 9 |

### 4.6.3 Vehicle Observations Compared to a 63-Mile Range Limit

Analysis of the vehicles required the vehicles to be aggregated into the following groups due to the size of the motor pool:

- Replacement recommended no impact
- Replacement impact of 1 to 10 days (however, either additional study is required or the stakeholder can make a decision based on need or future vehicle utilization)
- Replacement impact greater than 10 days
- No recommendation provided (due to no data available or insufficient data for a result).
4.6.3.1 Vehicle Replacement No Impact. Analysis of these vehicles indicates that their daily usage may or may not exceed the 63-mile range limit. These vehicles may be replaced by BEVs, with the assumption that motor pool vehicles not recommended for replacement will be able to support the rare days when these vehicles were used to support long-distance driving. No vehicles meet a no impact condition.
4.6.3.2 Vehicle Replacement Impact of 1 to 10 Days. Analysis of these vehicles indicates that their daily usage may or may not exceed the 63-mile range limit, but it occurs for a higher number of trips and the frequency is enough that it warrants cautious consideration or additional study prior to replacing the vehicle with a BEV. Based on the statistics, it appears that operational risk or impact could be presented by going forward with BEV replacement. The following six vehicles have an impact of 1 to 10 days:
- 5M-G106212H
- 5M-G414446H
- 5M-G422891H
- 5M-G414171H
- 5M-G414516L
- 5M-G423185H


### 4.6.3.3 Vehicle Replacement Impact of Greater Than 10 Days. Analysis of these vehicles

 indicates that their daily usage frequently exceeds the 63-mile range limit and their high utilization (i.e., number of trips per day) makes supplemental charging during daytime operations difficult to meet the mission objectives. Consideration of the transportation of cargo and personnel also factors into this recommendation. Based on the statistics, it appears that replacing these vehicles would present high operational risk or impact. The following seven vehicles have an impact of greater than 10 days. Replacement with a BEVs represents a significant risk to the operational mission.- 5M-G106209H
- 5M-G414860K
- 5M-G414859K
- 5M-G136272L
- 5M-G422890H
- 5M-G422183G
- 5M-G422205H
4.6.3.4 No Recommendation Provided. Not enough data were available to provide a recommendation for replacement of one vehicle. Additional study would be required to determine if this vehicle can be replaced by a BEV. The vehicle is 5M-G414515L.


### 4.7 MCAGCC 29 Palms Vehicle Pool Evaluation

### 4.7.1 Site Information

At MCAGCC 29 Palms, data were collected from only a single mid-size sedan.
Incorporation of BEVs into the pool mission is a definite possibility. Pool vehicles used for shorter trips qualify for BEV replacement, while other pool vehicle activities used for longer trips may require range beyond that of available BEVs.

### 4.7.2 Summary for Pool Vehicle

Appendix B provides the vehicle data sheet for the pool vehicle monitored. This section aggregates data for the MCAGCC 29 Palms pool vehicle. Table 11 summarizes pool vehicle travel during the study period for those days when the vehicle was driven. Vehicle use occurred primarily between 0800 and 2200 hours daily. The vehicle was driven 5,688 miles and logged 279 hours of operation.

Table 11. MCAGCC 29 Palms evaluation.

| Pool Summary |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Distance (miles) | $5,688.2$ |
| Total Drive Time (hours) | 279 |
| Daily Average Trip Distance (miles) | 18.5 |
| Percent of Days Driven | $91.9 \%$ |

Table 12 summarizes how often a pool vehicle exceeds the low, medium, and high electric vehicle ranges. The BEV ranges specified for low, medium, and high-range BEVs represent the expected single-charge ranges of BEVs that are currently available.
Table 12. MCAGCC 29 Palms range analysis.

|  | EV Range |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Range, <br> Medium Range, <br> High Range | Percentage of Days <br> Vehicle Exceeds <br> Electric Vehicle Range | Number of Days <br> Vehicle Exceeds <br> Electric Vehicle Range |  |  |
| 5P-G130225A | 59 | $2 \%$ | 6 |  |  |
|  | 63 | $2 \%$ | 5 |  |  |
|  | 67 | $1 \%$ | 4 |  |  |

### 4.7.3 Individual Vehicle Observation(s) Compared to 59, 63, and 67-Mile Range Limits

1. Vehicle ID 5P-G130225A, Sedan

This vehicle was driven 274 days of the 334 days in the study period (82.0\%). The vehicle exceeded the 59 -mile range limit on 6 days ( $2 \%$ ). The vehicle exceeded the 63 -mile range limit on 5 days ( $2 \%$ ). The vehicle exceeded the 67 -mile range limit on 4 days (1\%). As shown on the vehicle data sheet in Appendix B, the vehicle performed a moderate number of short trips per day, possibly allowing for daytime charge events.
This compact sedan vehicle may be replaced with a BEV, provided that another vehicle is available for the long-range driving this vehicle occasionally supported. Charging can be accomplished overnight or on days when the vehicle is not driven.

## 5. OBSERVATIONS

INL appreciates the opportunity to present the results of this evaluation for these 73 specific vehicles. Observations for possible follow-up actions include the following:

Infrastructure Planning: In conjunction with the replacement plan, evaluation of U.S. Navy sites for placement of PEV charging infrastructure could be beneficial. INL has significant experience in this area and such plans will consider fleet vehicle charging needs and the convenience that charging infrastructure provides employees and visitors. This planning also considers the existing facility electrical distribution
system. Vehicle home base considerations factor into the ratio of PEVs to electric vehicle supply equipment units to maintain all vehicles at operational readiness.

Additional Investigations: A more thorough examination of the quantities and types of fleet vehicles within each usage category may be beneficial to quantify additional fleet replacements by PEVs. In particular, an investigation of vehicles that essentially stay within one military installation and those that regularly transit between bases may be helpful in providing details of long-duration trips. Also, a detailed analysis that focuses more on daily use of the vehicles (such as vehicle parking locations and durations, frequency of long-trip distances by vehicle, and time-of-use metrics) to provide a finer view for future replacement strategies may be beneficial.

# Appendix A <br> U.S. Navy Vehicle Index 

Table A-1. INL vehicle index.

| Vehicle No. | Vehicle Label | Make | Vehicle Ind Model | Year | Type | Base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5B-294399 | Ford | Fusion | 2010 | Sedan | MCLB Barstow |
| 2 | 5B-G410512G | Chevrolet | Uplander LS | 2008 | Van | MCLB Barstow |
| 3 | 5B-G410523G | Chevrolet | Colorado | 2008 | Small pickup | MCLB Barstow |
| 4 | 5B-G413964H | Dodge | Caravan | 2009 | Van | MCLB Barstow |
| 5 | 5B-G414199H | Dodge | Dakota | 2009 | Small pickup | MCLB Barstow |
| 6 | 5L-294266 | Chevy | Malibu | 2009 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 7 | 5L-294267 | Chevy | Malibu | 2009 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 8 | 5L-294274 | Chevy | Malibu | 2009 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 9 | 5L-294277 | Chevy | Malibu | 2009 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 10 | 5L-G106206H | Pontiac | G6 | 2009 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 11 | 5L-G106208H | Pontiac | G6 | 2009 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 12 | 5L-G106501H | Pontiac | G6 | 2009 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 13 | 5L-G107279L | Chevy | Malibu | 2011 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 14 | 5L-G109766K | Ford | Fusion Hybrid | 2011 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 15 | 5L-G109768K | Ford | Fusion Hybrid | 2011 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 16 | 5L-G109769K | Ford | Fusion Hybrid | 2011 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 17 | 5L-G109771K | Ford | Fusion Hybrid | 2011 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 18 | 5L-G109773K | Ford | Fusion Hybrid | 2011 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 19 | 5L-G136271L | Ford | Focus | 2012 | Sedan | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 20 | 5L-G410487G | Chevrolet | Uplander LS | 2008 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 21 | 5L-G410489G | Chevrolet | Uplander LS | 2008 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 22 | 5L-G410514G | Chevrolet | Uplander LS | 2008 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 23 | 5L-G411436F | Chevrolet | Uplander | 2007 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 24 | 5L-G411447F | Chevrolet | Uplander | 2007 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 25 | 5L-G411466F | Chevrolet | Uplander | 2007 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 26 | 5L-G411467F | Chevrolet | Uplander | 2007 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 27 | 5L-G414389H | Dodge | Caravan | 2009 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 28 | 5L-G414405H | Dodge | Caravan | 2009 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 29 | 5L-G414428H | Dodge | Dakota SXT | 2009 | Small pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 30 | 5L-G414430H | Dodge | Dakota SXT | 2009 | Small pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 31 | 5L-G414438H | Dodge | Dakota | 2009 | Small pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 32 | 5L-G414440H | Dodge | Dakota | 2009 | Small pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 33 | 5L-G414488L | Dodge | Grand Caravan | 2011 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 34 | 5L-G414500L | Dodge | Grand Caravan | 2011 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |


| Vehicle No. | Vehicle Label | Make | Vehicle Ind Model | Year | Type | Base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 5L-G414511L | Ram | Dakota | 2011 | Small pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 36 | 5L-G414513L | Ram | Dakota | 2011 | Small pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 37 | 5L-G414867K | Ford | Ranger | 2010 | Small pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 38 | 5L-G414868K | Ford | Ranger | 2010 | Small pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 39 | 5L-G414869K | Ford | Ranger | 2010 | Small pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 40 | 5L-G414871K | Dodge | Grand Caravan | 2010 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 41 | 5L-G414872K | Dodge | Grand Caravan | 2010 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 42 | 5L-G416051H | Dodge | Grand Caravan | 2009 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 43 | 5L-G421041D | Chevrolet | Silverado | 2006 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 44 | 5L-G421775L | Ford | F-150 | 2011 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 45 | 5L-G421920L | Ford | F-150 | 2011 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 46 | 5L-G421922L | Ford | F-150 | 2011 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 47 | 5L-G422169G | Chevrolet | Express | 2008 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 48 | 5L-G422206H | Chevrolet | Express 1500 | 2009 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 49 | 5L-G422215H | Chevrolet | Express | 2009 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 50 | 5L-G422227H | Ford | F-150 | 2009 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 51 | 5L-G422233H | Ford | F-150 | 2009 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 52 | 5L-G422235H | Ford | F-150 | 2009 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 53 | 5L-G422467K | Chevrolet | Silverado 1500 | 2010 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 54 | 5L-G422482K | Chevrolet | Express | 2010 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 55 | 5L-G422486K | Chevrolet | Express | 2010 | Van | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 56 | 5L-G422785H | Chevrolet | Silverado | 2010 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 57 | 5L-G422884H | Chevrolet | Silverado | 2010 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 58 | 5L-G422886H | Chevrolet | Silverado | 2010 | Pickup | MCB Camp Pendleton |
| 59 | 5M-G106209H | Pontiac | G6 | 2009 | Sedan | MCAS Miramar |
| 60 | 5M-G106212H | Pontiac | G6 | 2009 | Sedan | MCAS Miramar |
| 61 | 5M-G136272L | Ford | Focus | 2012 | Sedan | MCAS Miramar |
| 62 | 5M-G414171H | Dodge | Dakota | 2009 | Small pickup | MCAS Miramar |
| 63 | 5M-G414446H | Dodge | Dakota | 2009 | Small pickup | MCAS Miramar |
| 64 | 5M-G414515L | Dodge | Grand Caravan | 2011 | Van | MCAS Miramar |
| 65 | 5M-G414516L | Dodge | Grand Caravan | 2011 | Van | MCAS Miramar |
| 66 | 5M-G414859K | Dodge | Grand Caravan | 2010 | Van | MCAS Miramar |
| 67 | 5M-G414860K | Dodge | Grand Caravan | 2010 | Van | MCAS Miramar |
| 68 | 5M-G422183G | Chevrolet | Express | 2008 | Van | MCAS Miramar |
| 69 | 5M-G422205H | Chevrolet | Express | 2009 | Van | MCAS Miramar |
| 70 | 5M-G422890H | Chevrolet | Silverado 1500 | 2010 | Pickup | MCAS Miramar |
| 71 | 5M-G422891H | Chevrolet | Silverado 1500 | 2010 | Pickup | MCAS Miramar |
| 72 | 5M-G423185H | Chevrolet | Silverado 1500 | 2010 | Pickup | MCAS Miramar |


|  |  | Vehicle Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle <br> No. | Vehicle Label | Make | Model | Year | Type | Base |
| 73 | 5P-G130225A | Honda | Civic | 2009 | Sedan | MCAGCC 29 Palms |

## Appendix B <br> U.S. Navy Vehicle Data Sheets

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 56
Average Number of Trips 15.9

Average Trip Distance 28.2

Total Number of Trips 891
Total Distance (miles) 1580.6

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5B-294399
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
FORD
FUSION sedan


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veeeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $14 \%$ | 8 |
| 67 | $9 \%$ | 5 |
|  | $9 \%$ | 5 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
274
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
11.7
18.8

Total Number of Trips 3532
Total Distance (miles)
5688.2

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5P-G130225A
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Honda
Civic
sedan


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $2 \%$ | 6 |
| 63 | $2 \%$ | 5 |
| 67 | $1 \%$ | 4 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 180
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips .

Total Distance (miles) 1042

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G423185H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Chevrolet
Silverado 1500
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $5 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $5 \%$ | 9 |
| 67 | $5 \%$ | 9 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
138
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
6.8

Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles) 944

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G422891H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Chevrolet
Silverado 1500
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $6 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $5 \%$ | 8 |
| 67 | $4 \%$ | 7 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 208
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips 1201

Total Distance (miles) 5984.4

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G422890H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Chevrolet
Silverado 1500
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $17 \%$ | 35 |
| 63 | $17 \%$ | 35 |
| 67 | $16 \%$ | 34 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 170
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G422205H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Chevrolet
Express
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $29 \%$ | 49 |
| 63 | $28 \%$ | 48 |
| 67 | $28 \%$ | 47 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G422183G
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2008
Chevrolet
Express
van

91
4.2
50.6

381
4605.6 8894


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $43 \%$ | 39 |
| 63 | $41 \%$ | 37 |
| 67 | $40 \%$ | 36 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 147
Average Number of Trips 4.6

Average Trip Distance 21.5

Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M -G414860K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Dodge
Grand Caravan
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veeeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $8 \%$ | 12 |
| 67 | $8 \%$ | 12 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M -G414859K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Dodge
Grand Caravan
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $46 \%$ | 39 |
| 63 | $44 \%$ | 37 |
| 67 | $41 \%$ | 35 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
136
Average Number of Trips
4.2

Average Trip Distance 13.0

Total Number of Trips 565
Total Distance (miles) 1764.4

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G414516L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
Dodge
Grand Caravan
van


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $5 \%$ | 7 |
| 63 | $5 \%$ | 7 |
| 67 | $4 \%$ | 6 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:

5M-G414515L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
Dodge
Grand Caravan
van

Total Number of Days with Driving 1
Average Number of Trips 0.0
Average Trip Distance 0.0
Total Number of Trips 0
Total Distance (miles) 0.0
Total Trip Duration (minutes) 0


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

EV Range
59
63
67

Percentage of Number of days days vehicle vehicle exceeds exceeds EV range EV range

0\%

## 0\%

0\%

0
0
0

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
226
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
12.7
25.4

Total Distance (miles) 2859

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G414446H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Dakota
small pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $4 \%$ | 8 |
| 63 | $2 \%$ | 5 |
| 67 | $1 \%$ | 3 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 87
Average Number of Trips
3.4

Average Trip Distance
9.2

Total Number of Trips 298
Total Distance (miles)
799.5

Total Trip Duration (minutes) 2064

5M-G414171H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Dakota
small pickup


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $3 \%$ | 3 |
| 63 | $3 \%$ | 3 |
| 67 | $3 \%$ | 3 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
134
Average Number of Trips
4.5

Average Trip Distance 25.9

Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles) 608

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G136272L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2012
FORD
Focus
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $16 \%$ | 21 |
| 63 | $15 \%$ | 20 |
| 67 | $13 \%$ | 18 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
162
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance4.4

Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G106212H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Pontiac
G6
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

|  | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EV Range | exceeds EV range | EV range |
| 59 | $6 \%$ | 9 |
| 63 | $6 \%$ | 9 |
| 67 | $6 \%$ | 9 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 170
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5M-G106209H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Pontiac
G6
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $18 \%$ | 31 |
| 63 | $18 \%$ | 31 |
| 67 | $16 \%$ | 28 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
112
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422886H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Chevrolet
Silverado pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $5 \%$ | 6 |
| 63 | $4 \%$ | 4 |
| 67 | $2 \%$ | 2 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 177
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422884H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Chevrolet
Silverado
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $1 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $1 \%$ | 2 |
| 67 | $1 \%$ | 1 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 207
Average Number of Trips 6.3

Average Trip Distance 33.9
Total Number of Trips 1294
Total Distance (miles)
7015.5

Total Trip Duration (minutes) 15571

5L-G422785H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Chevrolet
Silverado
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $12 \%$ | 25 |
| 63 | $11 \%$ | 23 |
| 67 | $10 \%$ | 20 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 183
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance 19.0 5.2

Total Number of Trips 950
Total Distance (miles) 3482.7

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422486K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Chevrolet
Express
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: |
| exceeds EV range | EV range |
| $7 \%$ | 13 |
| $6 \%$ | 11 |
| $5 \%$ | 10 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 150
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422482K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Chevrolet
Express
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $27 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $27 \%$ | 41 |
| 67 | $25 \%$ | 40 |
| exceeds EV range | 38 |  |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
200
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422467K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Chevrolet
Silverado 1500
pickup


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: |
| exceeds EV range | EV range |
| $1 \%$ | 2 |
| $0 \%$ | 1 |
| $0 \%$ | 0 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 160
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422235H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
FORD
F-150
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $8 \%$ | 13 |
| 63 | $8 \%$ | 13 |
| 67 | $7 \%$ | 11 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 150
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422233H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
FORD
F-150
pickup


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $5 \%$ | 8 |
| 63 | $3 \%$ | 5 |
| 67 | $3 \%$ | 4 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
149
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422227H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
FORD
F-150
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: |
| exceeds EV range | EV range |
| $22 \%$ | 33 |
| $21 \%$ | 31 |
| $21 \%$ | 31 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422215H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Chevrolet
Express
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

|  | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EV Range | exceeds EV range | EV range |
| 59 | $49 \%$ | 125 |
| 63 | $42 \%$ | 107 |
| 67 | $40 \%$ | 102 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 123
Average Number of Trips 5.5

Average Trip Distance 49.0

Total Number of Trips 682
Total Distance (miles) 6021.3

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G422206H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Chevrolet
Express 1500
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: |
| $28 \%$ | 34 |
| $26 \%$ | 32 |
| $25 \%$ | 31 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
5L-G422169G
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2008
Chevrolet
Express
van

Average Number of Trips 3.5
Average Trip Distance 68.5
Total Number of Trips 436
Total Distance (miles) 8637.0
Total Trip Duration (minutes) 15037

126
8637.0
15037


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veceeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $45 \%$ | 57 |
| 67 | $44 \%$ | 56 |
|  | $44 \%$ | 55 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 60
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G421922L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
FORD
F-150
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $18 \%$ | 11 |
| 63 | $13 \%$ | 8 |
| 67 | $13 \%$ | 8 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 269
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G421920L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
FORD
F-150
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $36 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $32 \%$ | 96 |
| 67 | $29 \%$ | 85 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 232
Average Number of Trips 12.4
Average Trip Distance 25.4
Total Number of Trips 2886
Total Distance (miles)
5882.2

Total Trip Duration (minutes) 36586

5L-G421775L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
FORD
F-150
pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $8 \%$ | 18 |
| 63 | $6 \%$ | 13 |
| 67 | $5 \%$ | 11 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
163
Average Number of Trips
4.4

Average Trip Distance
18.5

Total Number of Trips 724
Total Distance (miles) 3016.0

Total Trip Duration (minutes) 9552

5L-G421041D
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2006
Chevrolet
Silverado pickup


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $6 \%$ | 9 |
| 63 | $4 \%$ | 7 |
| 67 | $4 \%$ | 7 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:

5L-G416051H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Grand Caravan
van

Total Number of Days with Driving 1
Average Number of Trips 0.0
Average Trip Distance 0.0
Total Number of Trips 0
Total Distance (miles) 0.0
Total Trip Duration (minutes) 0


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

EV Range
59
63
67

Percentage of Number of days days vehicle vehicle exceeds exceeds EV range EV range
$0 \% \quad 0$
0\%
0\%

0
0

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:

5L-G414872K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Dodge
Grand Caravan
van

Total Number of Days with Driving 1
Average Number of Trips 0.0
Average Trip Distance 0.0
Total Number of Trips 0
Total Distance (miles) 0.0
Total Trip Duration (minutes) 0


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

EV Range
59
63
67

Percentage of Number of days days vehicle vehicle exceeds exceeds EV range EV range

0\%

## 0\%

0\%

0
0
0

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
17
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
105
Total Distance (miles)
784.2

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414871K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
Dodge
Grand Caravan
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $35 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $35 \%$ | 6 |
| 67 | $35 \%$ | 6 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
164
Average Number of Trips 4.9

Average Trip Distance 30.6

Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles) 804

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414869K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
FORD
Ranger
small pickup


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veceeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $9 \%$ | 14 |
| 67 | $6 \%$ | 10 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
105
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips .

Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414868K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
FORD
Ranger
small pickup


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $11 \%$ | 12 |
| 63 | $11 \%$ | 12 |
| 67 | $11 \%$ | 12 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
177
Average Number of Trips 4.9

Average Trip Distance 16.5

Total Number of Trips 868
Total Distance (miles) 2919.4

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414867K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2010
FORD
Ranger
small pickup


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \%$ | 2 |
| $1 \%$ | 1 |
| $1 \%$ | 1 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
143
Average Number of Trips 3.5
Average Trip Distance 18.0
Total Number of Trips 495
Total Distance (miles)
2569.9

Total Trip Duration (minutes) 5209

5L-G414513L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
RAM
Dakota
small pickup


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $2 \%$ | 3 |
| 63 | $2 \%$ | 3 |
| 67 | $1 \%$ | 1 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414511L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
RAM
Dakota
small pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $15 \%$ | 29 |
| 63 | $13 \%$ | 25 |
| 67 | $11 \%$ | 21 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
61
Average Number of Trips
3.9

Average Trip Distance
43.6

Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
240
2659.3

Total Trip Duration (minutes) 6434

5L-G414500L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
Dodge
Grand Caravan
van


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $21 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $21 \%$ | 13 |
| 67 | $20 \%$ | 13 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414488L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
Dodge
Grand Caravan
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $8 \%$ | 16 |
| 63 | $6 \%$ | 12 |
| 67 | $5 \%$ | 10 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
192
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414440H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Dakota
small pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $9 \%$ | 18 |
| 63 | $7 \%$ | 13 |
| 67 | $6 \%$ | 11 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414438H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Dakota
small pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $18 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $18 \%$ | 15 |
| 67 | $18 \%$ | 15 |
| exceeds EV range | 15 |  |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
205
Average Number of Trips
8.3

Average Trip Distance
62.5

Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
1709

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414430H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Dakota SXT
small pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $28 \%$ | 58 |
| 67 | $27 \%$ | 55 |
|  | $25 \%$ | 52 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
163
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414428H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Dakota SXT
small pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veeeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $12 \%$ | 20 |
| 67 | $12 \%$ | 20 |
|  | $11 \%$ | 18 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
184
Average Number of Trips 4.0
Average Trip Distance 17.3
Total Number of Trips 741
Total Distance (miles)
3180.6

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414405H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Caravan
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veeeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $4 \%$ | 7 |
| 67 | $3 \%$ | 5 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 194
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G414389H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Caravan
van


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $19 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $14 \%$ | 36 |
| 67 | $12 \%$ | 27 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
136
Average Number of Trips
4.5

Average Trip Distance 19.9

Total Number of Trips 616
Total Distance (miles)
2706.4

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G411467F
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2007
Chevrolet
Uplander
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veceeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $2 \%$ | 3 |
| 67 | $1 \%$ | 2 |
|  | $1 \%$ | 2 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
139
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G411466F
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2007
Chevrolet
Uplander
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veceeds EV range | EV range |
| 63 | $9 \%$ | 13 |
| 67 | $7 \%$ | 10 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G411447F
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2007
Chevrolet
Uplander
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $35 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $33 \%$ | 33 |
| 67 | $30 \%$ | 31 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 255
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G411436F
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2007
Chevrolet
Uplander
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $2 \%$ | 4 |
| 63 | $2 \%$ | 4 |
| 67 | $2 \%$ | 4 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G410514G
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2008
Chevrolet
Uplander LS
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $38 \%$ | 10 |
| 63 | $35 \%$ | 9 |
| 67 | $35 \%$ | 9 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
174
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G410489G
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2008
Chevrolet
Uplander LS
van


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $23 \%$ | 40 |
| 63 | $20 \%$ | 34 |
| 67 | $18 \%$ | 31 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 130
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G410487G
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2008
Chevrolet
Uplander LS
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $15 \%$ | 19 |
| 67 | $12 \%$ | 16 |
|  | $10 \%$ | 13 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
72
Average Number of Trips 2.9

Average Trip Distance 11.9
Total Number of Trips 209
Total Distance (miles)
857.3

Total Trip Duration (minutes) 2235

5L-G136271L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2012
FORD
Focus
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $1 \%$ | 1 |
| 63 | $1 \%$ | 1 |
| 67 | $1 \%$ | 1 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
1
Average Number of Trips 0.0

Average Trip Distance 0.0

Total Number of Trips 0
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G109773K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
FORD
Fusion Hybrid sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: |
| exceeds EV range | EV range |
| $0 \%$ | 0 |
| $0 \%$ | 0 |
| $0 \%$ | 0 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips 60 5.3

Average Trip Distance 39.3

Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles) 323 2356.1

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G109771K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
FORD
Fusion Hybrid sedan


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $17 \%$ | 10 |
| 63 | $17 \%$ | 10 |
| 67 | $13 \%$ | 8 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
232
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G109769K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
FORD
Fusion Hybrid sedan


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veeeds EV range | EV range |
| 63 | $12 \%$ | 28 |
| 67 | $10 \%$ | 24 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 170
Average Number of Trips 17.9

Average Trip Distance 45.2

Total Number of Trips 3036
Total Distance (miles) 7681.6

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G109768K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
FORD
Fusion Hybrid sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $13 \%$ | 22 |
| 63 | $12 \%$ | 21 |
| 67 | $12 \%$ | 20 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
174
Average Number of Trips 4.4

Average Trip Distance 14.4
Total Number of Trips 768
Total Distance (miles) 2505.0

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G109766K
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
FORD
Fusion Hybrid sedan


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $5 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $5 \%$ | 9 |
| 67 | $5 \%$ | 9 |
| exceeds EV range |  |  |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 198
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G107279L
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2011
Chevy
Malibu
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veeeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $17 \%$ | 34 |
| 67 | $15 \%$ | 30 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 107
Average Number of Trips
2.9

Average Trip Distance 12.5
Total Number of Trips 308
Total Distance (miles)
1339.5

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G106501H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Pontiac
G6
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $6 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $6 \%$ | 6 |
| 67 | $6 \%$ | 6 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
90
Average Number of Trips
4.7

Average Trip Distance 67.5

Total Number of Trips 420
Total Distance (miles) 6072.2

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G106208H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Pontiac
G6
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $36 \%$ | EV range |
| 63 | $34 \%$ | 32 |
| 67 | $33 \%$ | 31 |
| exceeds EV range | 30 |  |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle M ake:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 170
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-G106206H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Pontiac
G6
sedan


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veceeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $22 \%$ | 37 |
| 67 | $21 \%$ | 36 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-294277
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Chevy
Malibu
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $6 \%$ | 4 |
| 63 | $6 \%$ | 4 |
| 67 | $5 \%$ | 3 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
101
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-294274
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Chevy
Malibu
sedan


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $7 \%$ | 7 |
| 63 | $5 \%$ | 5 |
| 67 | $3 \%$ | 3 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
106
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-294267
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Chevy
Malibu
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $5 \%$ | 5 |
| 63 | $3 \%$ | 3 |
| 67 | $3 \%$ | 3 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
113
Average Number of Trips 9.9

Average Trip Distance 32.3

Total Number of Trips 1120
Total Distance (miles)
3647.3

Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5L-294266
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Chevy
Malibu
sedan


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $9 \%$ | 10 |
| 63 | $9 \%$ | 10 |
| 67 | $9 \%$ | 10 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

143
5.2
58.4

744
8356.4

16233

5B-G414199H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Dakota
small pickup


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: |
| exceeds EV range | EV range |
| $20 \%$ | 29 |
| $20 \%$ | 29 |
| $19 \%$ | 27 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:

5B-G413964H
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2009
Dodge
Caravan
van

Total Number of Days with Driving 1
Average Number of Trips 0.0
Average Trip Distance 0.0
Total Number of Trips 0
Total Distance (miles) 0.0
Total Trip Duration (minutes) 0


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

EV Range
59
63
67

Percentage of Number of days days vehicle vehicle exceeds exceeds EV range EV range

0\%

## 0\%

0\%

0
0
0

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle M odel:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving
250
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5B-G410523G
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2008
Chevrolet
Colorado
small pickup


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | veeeds EV range <br> EV range |  |
| 63 | $8 \%$ | 20 |
| 67 | $6 \%$ | 14 |

Vehicle:
Report Period:
M odel Year:
Vehicle Make:
Vehicle Model:
Body Type:
Total Number of Days with Driving 205
Average Number of Trips
Average Trip Distance
Total Number of Trips
Total Distance (miles)
Total Trip Duration (minutes)

5B-G410512G
8/1/2014 00:00:00-7/1/2015 00:00:00
2008
Chevrolet
Uplander LS
van


Daily Vehicle Travel Distance


Distribution of Daily Vehicle Travel Times ${ }^{1}$


Daily Vehicle Travel Time ${ }^{1}$


Note 1: Travel time includes all time when vehicle is in the "key on" state, even when the vehicle is not moving

| EV Range | Percentage of <br> days vehicle <br> exceeds EV range | Number of days <br> vehicle exceeds <br> EV range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | $5 \%$ | 10 |
| 63 | $3 \%$ | 7 |
| 67 | $3 \%$ | 6 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ https://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/pdfs/guides/FEG2015.pdf

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ http://avt.inl.gov/pdf/fsev/fact2013nissanleaf.pdf
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ http://avt.inl.gov/pdf/fsev/fact2013fordfocus.pdf
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ http://avt.inl.gov/pdf/prog_info/IEA_DCFCImpactStudySept2014.pdf

